

Taiwan, ROC

Chi Huang, Election Study Center, National Chengchi University

**Taiwan's Election and Democratization Study, 2012-2016 (IV):
Telephone Interview and Internet Survey of the Presidential
Satisfaction--The Fourteenth Wave (TEDS2015_PA12)**

Study Documentation

December 31, 2019

Metadata Production

Metadata Producer(s)	Survey Research Data Archive (SRDA) , Center for Survey Research, Research Center for Humanities Social Sciences, Academia Sinica
Identification	D00151en

Table of Contents

Overview	4
Scope & Coverage	4
Producers & Sponsors	4
Data Collection	4
Data Processing & Appraisal	4
Accessibility	5
Files Description	6
TEDS2015-I PA12E	6
TEDS2015-T PA12E	6
Variables Group(s)	7
Internet Survey	7
Telephone Interview	7
Sample Information	7
Politics and Media	7
Government Performance	7
Image and Capability	8
Cabinet Performance	8
Social Issues	9
Economic Evaluations	9
Position on Unification and Independence	9
Party Identification	9
Taiwanese/Chinese Identification	9
Voting Participation	9
Personal Data	10
Sample Information	10
Politics and Media	10
Government Performance	10
Image and Capability	11
Cabinet Performance	11
Social Issues	12
Economic Evaluations	12
Position on Unification and Independence	12
Party Identification	12
Taiwanese/Chinese Identification	12
Voting Participation	13
Personal Data	13
New Variable	15
Weight	15
Variables Description	16
TEDS2015-I PA12E	17
TEDS2015-T PA12E	29

Taiwan's Election and Democratization Study, 2012-2016 (IV): Telephone Interview and Internet Survey of the Presidential Satisfaction--The Fourteenth Wave (TEDS2015_PA12) (TEDS - Taiwan's Election and Democratization Study)

Overview	
Type	Election Studies
Identification	D00151en
Version	Production Date: 2019-12-31 2
Kind of Data	Sampling
Unit of Analysis	Individuals

Scope & Coverage	
Time Period(s)	2015
Countries	Taiwan, ROC
Geographic Coverage	Taiwan

Producers & Sponsors	
Primary Investigator(s)	Chi Huang, Election Study Center, National Chengchi University
Other Producer(s)	Election Study Center, National Chengchi University
Funding Agency/ies	Ministry of Science and Technology

Data Collection	
Data Collection Dates	start 2015-12-15 end 2015-12-29 start 2015-12-15 end 2015-12-26
Time Period(s)	start 2015-08-01 end 2016-07-31
Data Collection Mode	Telephone Survey

Data Processing & Appraisal	
Data Editing	CSR has checked wild codes and out-of-range values, to validate and clean data. There is no doubt about data accuracy after the validation.
Other Processing	Telephone and Internet Survey

Accessibility	
Contact(s)	Survey Research Data Archive (Center for Survey Research, Research Center for Humanities Social Sciences, Academia Sinica) , http://srda.sinica.edu.tw , srda@gate.sinica.edu.tw
Distributor(s)	Survey Research Data Archive
Depositor(s)	Election Study Center, National Chengchi University
<u>Access Conditions</u> Standard Access Data (Downloads for Regular Member, Academia Sinica Researcher)	

Files Description

Dataset contains 2 file(s)

TEDS2015-I_PA12E	
# Cases	783
# Variable(s)	36

TEDS2015-T_PA12E	
# Cases	1100
# Variable(s)	76

Variables Group(s)

Dataset contains 28 group(s)

Group Internet Survey	
Subgroup(s)	Sample Information , Politics and Media , Government Performance , Image and Capability , Cabinet Performance , Social Issues , Economic Evaluations , Position on Unification and Independence , Party Identification , Taiwanese/Chinese Identification , Voting Participation , Personal Data

Group Telephone Interview	
Subgroup(s)	Sample Information , Politics and Media , Government Performance , Image and Capability , Cabinet Performance , Social Issues , Economic Evaluations , Position on Unification and Independence , Party Identification , Taiwanese/Chinese Identification , Voting Participation , Personal Data , New Variable , Weight

Group Sample Information							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	id	ID	continuous	numeric-14.0	783	0	-
2	submitdate	Date	discrete	character-20	783	-	-

Group Politics and Media							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q1	1.Which TV news did you watch most often?	discrete	numeric-2.0	783	0	-
2	q2	2.Generally, would you say that you are very, somewhat, not very or not at all interested in politics?	discrete	numeric-1.0	783	0	-

Group Government Performance							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q3	3.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou overall performance as president over the past six months?	discrete	numeric-1.0	783	0	-
2	q4	4.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in handling the Cross-strait relations?	discrete	numeric-1.0	783	0	-
3	q5	5.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in diplomacy?	discrete	numeric-1.0	783	0	-
4	q6	6.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance of national defense?	discrete	numeric-1.0	783	0	-
5	q7	7.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance	discrete	numeric-1.0	783	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		in promoting economic development?					
6	q8	8.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in handling the livelihood issues?	discrete	numeric-1.0	783	0	-
7	q9	9.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou's government in handling the food safety management?	discrete	numeric-2.0	783	0	-

Group Image and Capability

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q10	10.In personal morality, if 0 means that he has bad personal morality, 10 means that he has good morality, how would you rate Ma, Ying-jeou using a 0-to-10 scale?	discrete	numeric-2.0	783	0	-
2	q11	11.In leadership, if 0 means that he has poor leadership, 10 means he has good leadership, how would you rate Ma, Ying-jeou using a 0-to-10 scale?	discrete	numeric-2.0	783	0	-
3	q12	12.Regard your trust toward President Ma, Ying-jeou, if 0 means that you have a deep distrust of him, 10 means that you have a high trust of him, how would you rate Ma, Ying-jeou using a 0-to-10 scale?	discrete	numeric-2.0	783	0	-
4	q13	13.In human resource allocation, if 0 means that he employs persons irrelevantly, 10 means that he employs persons relevantly, how would you rate Ma, Ying-jeou using a 0-to-10 scale?	discrete	numeric-2.0	783	0	-

Group Cabinet Performance

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q15	15.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Mao Chi-kuo as premier over the last six months?	discrete	numeric-1.0	783	0	-
2	q16	16.Are you satisfied with the performance of Eric Chu when he was the chairman of KMT?	discrete	numeric-1.0	783	0	-
3	q17	17.Are you satisfied with the performance of Tsai, Ing-wen when she was the chairman of DPP?	discrete	numeric-1.0	783	0	-

Group Social Issues							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q14	14.The leaders of the two sides of Taiwan Strait met in Singapore in November last year. General speaking, do you think this meeting had either a positive or negative impact on the future development of Taiwan?	discrete	numeric-1.0	783	0	-

Group Economic Evaluations							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q18	18.Would you say that over the past six months, the state of economy of Taiwan has gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse?	discrete	numeric-1.0	783	0	-
2	q19	19.Would you say that in the next six months, the state of the economy of Taiwan will get better, stay about the same, or get worse?	discrete	numeric-1.0	783	0	-

Group Position on Unification and Independence							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	tondu	TONDU	discrete	numeric-1.0	783	0	-

Group Party Identification							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	partyid	PARTYID	discrete	numeric-1.0	783	0	-

Group Taiwanese/Chinese Identification							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	t_cidentity	T_Cidentity	discrete	numeric-1.0	783	0	-

Group Voting Participation							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q21	21.President Ma Ying-jeou will retire on May next year. Generally speaking, how satisfied are you with his overall performance as president for these seven years?	discrete	numeric-1.0	783	0	-
2	q22	22.In 2012 Presidential Election, who did you vote for?	discrete	numeric-2.0	783	0	-

Group Personal Data							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	sex	SEX	discrete	numeric-1.0	783	0	-
2	age	AGE	discrete	numeric-1.0	783	0	-
3	edu	EDU	discrete	numeric-1.0	783	0	-
4	arear	AREAR	discrete	numeric-1.0	783	0	-
5	career8	CAREER8	discrete	numeric-1.0	783	0	-
6	sengi	SENGI	discrete	numeric-1.0	783	0	-
7	masengi	MASENGI	discrete	numeric-1.0	783	0	-
8	q20	20.Please tell me where your household is registered?	discrete	numeric-2.0	783	0	-
9	q23	23a.What time do you usually go to bed? (24 hours)	discrete	numeric-2.0	783	0	-
10	q23a	23.What time do you usually get up? (24 hours)	discrete	numeric-2.0	783	0	-

Group Sample Information							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	id	identified number	continuous	numeric-6.0	1100	0	-
2	date	day	discrete	numeric-6.0	1100	0	-
3	btime	starting time	continuous	numeric-6.0	1100	0	-
4	etime	ending time	continuous	numeric-6.0	1100	0	-

Group Politics and Media							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q1	1.Which TV news did you watch most often?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-
2	q2	2.Generally, would you say that you are very, somewhat, not very or not at all interested in politics?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-

Group Government Performance							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q3	3.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou overall performance as president over the past six months?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-
2	q4	4.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in handling the Cross-strait relations?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-
3	q5	5.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in diplomacy?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
4	q6	6.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in national defense?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-
5	q7	7.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in promoting economic development?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-
6	q8	8.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in handling the livelihood issues?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-
7	q9	9.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in handling the food safety management?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-

Group Image and Capability

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q10	10.In personal morality, if 0 means that he has bad personal morality, 10 means that he has good morality, how would you rate Ma, Ying-jeou using a 0-to-10 scale?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-
2	q11	11.In leadership, if 0 means that he has poor leadership, 10 means that he has good leadership, how would you rate Ma, Ying-jeou using a 0-to-10 scale?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-
3	q12	12.Regard your trust toward President Ma, Ying-jeou, if 0 means that you have a deep distrust of him, 10 means that you have a high trust of him, how would you rate Ma, Ying-jeou using a 0-to-10 scale?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-
4	q13	13.In human resource allocation, if 0 means that he employs persons irrelevantly, 10 means that he employs persons relevantly, how would you rate Ma, Ying-jeou using a 0-to-10 scale?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-

Group Cabinet Performance

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q15	15.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Mao Chi-kuo as premier over the last six months?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-
2	q16	16.Are you satisfied with the performance of Eric Chu when he was the chairman of KMT?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
3	q17	17.Are you satisfied with the performance of Tsai, Ing-wen when she was the chairman of DPP?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-

Group Social Issues

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q14	14.The leaders of the two sides of Taiwan Strait met in Singapore in November last year. General speaking, do you think this meeting had either a positive or negative impact on the future development of Taiwan?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-

Group Economic Evaluations

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q18	18.Would you say that over the past six months, the state of economy of Taiwan has gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-
2	q19	19.Would you say that in the next six months, the state of the economy of Taiwan will get better, stay about the same, or get worse?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-

Group Position on Unification and Independence

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q20	20.Concerning the relationship between Taiwan and mainland China, which of the following six positions do you agree with?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-

Group Party Identification

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q21	21.Among all political parties in our country, which party do you think of yourself as leaning toward?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-
2	q22	21a.Do you lean very strongly or somewhat?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-
3	q23	21b.Relatively speaking, do you lean toward any political party?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-

Group Taiwanese/Chinese Identification

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
---	------	-------	------	--------	-------	---------	----------

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q24	22.In Taiwan, some people think they are Taiwanese. There are also some people who think that they are Chinese. Do you consider yourself as Taiwanese, Chinese or both?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-

Group Voting Participation

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q53	29.President Ma Ying-jeou will retire on May next year. Generally speaking, how satisfied are you with his overall performance as president for these seven years?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-
2	q54	30.In 2012 Presidential Election, who did you vote for?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-

Group Personal Data

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q25	23.Year of birth	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-
2	q26	24.Education level	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-
3	q27	25.Father's ethnic background	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-
4	q28	26.Mother's ethnic background	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-
5	q29	27.Main occupation of respondent	discrete	numeric-3.0	1100	0	-
6	q30	27a.Main occupation of respondent's spouse	discrete	numeric-3.0	1100	0	-
7	q31	27b.Main occupation of respondent before retirement or unemployment	discrete	numeric-3.0	1100	0	-
8	q32	28.Please tell me where your household is registered?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-
9	q33	28.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Yi Lan County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-
10	q34	28.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Hsinchu County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-
11	q35	28.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Miaoli County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-
12	q36	28.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Changhua County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-
13	q37	28.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Nantou County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
14	q38	28.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Yunlin County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-
15	q39	28.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Chiayi County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-
16	q40	28.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Pingtung County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-
17	q41	28.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Taitung County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-
18	q42	28.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Hualien County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-
19	q43	28.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Penghu County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-
20	q44	28.Where your household is registered? Which district in Keelung City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-
21	q45	28.Where your household is registered? Which district in Hsinchu City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-
22	q46	28.Where your household is registered? Which district in Chiayi City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-
23	q47	28.Where your household is registered? Which district in Taipei City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-
24	q48	28.Where your household is registered? Which district in Kaohsiung City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-
25	q49	28.Where your household is registered? Which district in New Taipei City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-
26	q50	28.Where your household is registered? Which district in Taichung City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-
27	q51	28.Where your household is registered? Which district in Tainan City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-
28	q52	28.Where your household is registered? Which district in Taoyuan City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-
29	q55	31a.What time do you usually go to bed? (24 hours)	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-
30	q56	31.What time do you usually get up? (24 hours)	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-
31	q57	31b.Would you please leave us your email address?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-
32	q58	32.Respondent's gender	discrete	numeric-1.0	1100	0	-
33	q59	33.Language used to conduct interview	discrete	numeric-1.0	1100	0	-

Group New Variable							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	sex	Sex	discrete	numeric-1.0	1100	0	-
2	age	Age	discrete	numeric-1.0	1100	0	-
3	edu	Education	discrete	numeric-1.0	1100	0	-
4	sengi	Father's ethnic background	discrete	numeric-1.0	1100	0	-
5	masengi	Mother's ethnic background	discrete	numeric-1.0	1100	0	-
6	t_cidentity	Taiwanese/Chinese identity	discrete	numeric-1.0	1100	0	-
7	partyid	Party identification	discrete	numeric-1.0	1100	0	-
8	party	Party support	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-
9	career	Occupation5	discrete	numeric-1.0	1100	0	-
10	career8	Occupation8	discrete	numeric-1.0	1100	0	-
11	tondu	Position on unification and independence	discrete	numeric-1.0	1100	0	-
12	arear	Area	discrete	numeric-1.0	1100	0	-

Group Weight							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	w	weight	continuous	numeric-8.5	1100	0	-

Variables Description

Dataset contains 112 variable(s)

File : TEDS2015-I_PA12E

id: ID

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 5363-16630700200203] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=826800801378.963 /-] [StdDev=1783208051151.69 /-]

submitdate: Date

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=783 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2015-12-15		233	29.8%
2015-12-16		248	31.7%
2015-12-17		74	9.5%
2015-12-18		89	11.4%
2015-12-19		47	6.0%
2015-12-20		23	2.9%
2015-12-21		34	4.3%
2015-12-22		17	2.2%
2015-12-23		11	1.4%
2015-12-24		3	0.4%
2015-12-25		2	0.3%
2015-12-26		2	0.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

sex: SEX

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	454	58.0%
2	Female	329	42.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

age: AGE

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	20~29 years old	165	21.1%
2	30~39 years old	211	26.9%
3	40~49 years old	218	27.8%
4	50~59 years old	137	17.5%
5	over 60 years old	52	6.6%
9	nonresponse	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

edu: EDU

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

File : TEDS2015-I_PA12E

edu: EDU

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Primary school graduate	0	
2	Junior high school graduate	7	0.9%
3	High school or vocational school graduate	90	11.5%
4	Technical college graduate	114	14.6%
5	University graduate	572	73.1%
9	nonresponse	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

arear: AREAR

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taipei metro area	192	24.5%
2	New Taipei city-Chilung	114	14.6%
3	Taoyuan-Hsinchu-Miaoli	105	13.4%
4	Taichung-Changhwa-Nanto	134	17.1%
5	Yunlin-Chiai-Tainan	105	13.4%
6	Kaohsiung-Pingtung-Pemghu	98	12.5%
7	Yi Lan-Hwalian-Taitung	34	4.3%
9	nonresponse	1	0.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

career8: CAREER8

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Civil servants	153	19.5%
2	Managers and Professionals (priv.)	246	31.4%
3	CLERKS (priv.)	175	22.3%
4	Labor (priv.)	74	9.5%
5	FARMER	3	0.4%
6	Students	47	6.0%
7	Housekeeping	42	5.4%
8	Others	43	5.5%
9	nonresponse	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

sengi: SENGI

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taiwanese Hakka	77	9.8%
2	Taiwanese Min-nan	558	71.3%
3	Mainlander	138	17.6%

File : TEDS2015-I_PA12E

sengi: SENGI

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Aboriginal	3	0.4%
5	Foreigner	0	
9	nonresponse	7	0.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

masengi: MASENGI

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taiwanese Hakka	88	11.2%
2	Taiwanese Min-nan	626	79.9%
3	Mainlander	55	7.0%
4	Aboriginal	3	0.4%
5	Foreigner	1	0.1%
9	nonresponse	10	1.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

partyid: PARTYID

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	KMT	238	30.4%
2	DPP	238	30.4%
3	NP	11	1.4%
4	PFP	40	5.1%
5	TSU	13	1.7%
6	neutral and independents	176	22.5%
7	nonresponse and other parties	67	8.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

t_cidentity: T_Cidentity

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taiwanese	468	59.8%
2	Both	293	37.4%
3	Chinese	20	2.6%
9	nonresponse	2	0.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

tondu: TONDU

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Immediate unification	12	1.5%

File : TEDS2015-I_PA12E

tondu: TONDU

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Immediate independence	38	4.9%
3	Maintain the status quo, move toward unification in the futu	122	15.6%
4	Maintain the status quo, move toward independence in the fut	207	26.4%
5	Maintain the status quo, decide either unification or indepe	266	34.0%
6	Maintain the status quo forever	131	16.7%
7	Is already an independence country	1	0.1%
9	nonresponse	6	0.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q1: 1.Which TV news did you watch most often?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-96] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taiwan Television	23	2.9%
2	China Television	37	4.7%
3	Chinese Television	23	2.9%
4	Formosa Television	65	8.3%
5	TVBS	196	25.0%
6	Sanlih E-Television	70	8.9%
7	Eastern Television	59	7.5%
8	CTi Television	60	7.7%
9	Era Television	46	5.9%
10	Gala Television	1	0.1%
11	Unique Satellite TV	28	3.6%
12	Da-Ai Television	5	0.6%
13	Taiwan Public Television	49	6.3%
14	Hakka Television	0	
15	Indigenous Television	0	
16	NEXTTV	88	11.2%
17	GoodNews	0	
18	Global News (MOD)	2	0.3%
19	CNN	1	0.1%
20	BBC	0	
21	NHK	1	0.1%
22	Local TV	0	
23	UDN TV	2	0.3%
24	FOX	1	0.1%
92	Don't watch	7	0.9%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It's hard to say	19	2.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q2: 2.Generally, would you say that you are very, somewhat, not very or not at all interested in politics?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

File : TEDS2015-I_PA12E

q2: 2. Generally, would you say that you are very, somewhat, not very or not at all interested in politics?

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not interested at all	52	6.6%
2	Not very interested	284	36.3%
3	Somewhat interested	364	46.5%
4	Very interested	83	10.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q3: 3. How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou overall performance as president over the past six months?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	29	3.7%
2	Satisfied	137	17.5%
3	Not very satisfied	297	37.9%
4	Not satisfied at all	320	40.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q4: 4. How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in handling the Cross-strait relations?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	104	13.3%
2	Satisfied	199	25.4%
3	Not very satisfied	219	28.0%
4	Not satisfied at all	261	33.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q5: 5. How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in diplomacy?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	86	11.0%
2	Satisfied	197	25.2%
3	Not very satisfied	276	35.2%
4	Not satisfied at all	224	28.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q6: 6. How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance of national defense?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	38	4.9%
2	Satisfied	196	25.0%
3	Not very satisfied	317	40.5%

File : TEDS2015-I_PA12E

q6: 6.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance of national defense?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Not satisfied at all	232	29.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q7: 7.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in promoting economic development?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	23	2.9%
2	Satisfied	92	11.7%
3	Not very satisfied	267	34.1%
4	Not satisfied at all	401	51.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q8: 8.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in handling the livelihood issues?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	13	1.7%
2	Satisfied	76	9.7%
3	Not very satisfied	273	34.9%
4	Not satisfied at all	421	53.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q9: 9.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou's government in handling the food safety management?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-94] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	6	0.8%
2	Satisfied	42	5.4%
3	Not very satisfied	170	21.7%
4	Not satisfied at all	430	54.9%
94	Nothing to do with President Ma Ying-jeou	135	17.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q10: 10.In personal morality, if 0 means that he has bad personal morality, 10 means that he has good morality, how would you rate Ma, Ying-jeou using a 0-to-10 scale?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 has bad morality	97	12.4%
2	1	63	8.0%
3	2	39	5.0%
4	3	61	7.8%
5	4	30	3.8%
6	5	93	11.9%

File : TEDS2015-I_PA12E

q10: 10.In personal morality, if 0 means that he has bad personal morality, 10 means that he has good morality, how would you rate Ma, Ying-jeou using a 0-to-10 scale?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	6	59	7.5%
8	7	92	11.7%
9	8	122	15.6%
10	9	75	9.6%
11	10 has good morality	52	6.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q11: 11.In leadership, if 0 means that he has poor leadership, 10 means he has good leadership, how would you rate Ma, Ying-jeou using a 0-to-10 scale?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 has poor leadership	185	23.6%
2	1	124	15.8%
3	2	93	11.9%
4	3	91	11.6%
5	4	59	7.5%
6	5	106	13.5%
7	6	64	8.2%
8	7	29	3.7%
9	8	23	2.9%
10	9	4	0.5%
11	10 has good leadership	5	0.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q12: 12.Regard your trust toward President Ma, Ying-jeou, if 0 means that you have a deep distrust of him, 10 means that you have a high trust of him, how would you rate Ma, Ying-jeou using a 0-to-10 scale?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 have a deep distrust	189	24.1%
2	1	90	11.5%
3	2	67	8.6%
4	3	69	8.8%
5	4	33	4.2%
6	5	104	13.3%
7	6	48	6.1%
8	7	56	7.2%
9	8	58	7.4%
10	9	35	4.5%
11	10 have a high trust	34	4.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : TEDS2015-I_PA12E

q13: 13.In human resource allocation, if 0 means that he employs persons irrelevantly, 10 means that he employs persons relevantly, how would you rate Ma, Ying-jeou using a 0-to-10 scale?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 employs persons irrelevantly	187	23.9%
2	1	120	15.3%
3	2	103	13.2%
4	3	95	12.1%
5	4	63	8.0%
6	5	104	13.3%
7	6	54	6.9%
8	7	29	3.7%
9	8	22	2.8%
10	9	2	0.3%
11	10 employs persons relevantly	4	0.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q14: 14.The leaders of the two sides of Taiwan Strait met in Singapore in November last year. General speaking, do you think this meeting had either a positive or negative impact on the future development of Taiwan?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A positive impact	366	46.7%
2	A negative impact	242	30.9%
3	No impact	175	22.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q15: 15.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Mao Chi-kuo as premier over the last six months?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	13	1.7%
2	Satisfied	161	20.6%
3	Not very satisfied	432	55.2%
4	Not satisfied at all	177	22.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q16: 16.Are you satisfied with the performance of Eric Chu when he was the chairman of KMT?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	24	3.1%
2	Satisfied	125	16.0%
3	Not very satisfied	345	44.1%
4	Not satisfied at all	289	36.9%

File : TEDS2015-I_PA12E

q16: 16.Are you satisfied with the performance of Eric Chu when he was the chairman of KMT?

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q17: 17.Are you satisfied with the performance of Tsai, Ing-wen when she was the chairman of DPP?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	79	10.1%
2	Satisfied	322	41.1%
3	Not very satisfied	268	34.2%
4	Not satisfied at all	114	14.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q18: 18.Would you say that over the past six months, the state of economy of Taiwan has gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Better	22	2.8%
2	About the same	329	42.0%
3	Worse	432	55.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q19: 19.Would you say that in the next six months, the state of the economy of Taiwan will get better, stay about the same, or get worse?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Better	53	6.8%
2	About the same	368	47.0%
3	Worse	362	46.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q20: 20.Please tell me where your household is registered?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2-68] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Yi Lan County	26	3.3%
4	Hsinchu County	18	2.3%
5	Miao Li County	9	1.1%
7	Changhua County	26	3.3%
8	Nantou County	10	1.3%
9	Yunlin County	18	2.3%
10	Chiayi County	9	1.1%
13	Pingtung County	11	1.4%
14	Taitung County	1	0.1%
15	Hualien County	7	0.9%

File : TEDS2015-I_PA12E

q20: 20.Please tell me where your household is registered?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
16	Peng Hu County	1	0.1%
17	Keelung City	11	1.4%
18	Hsinchu City	10	1.3%
20	Chiayi City	6	0.8%
28	Kinmen County	1	0.1%
63	Taipei City	164	20.9%
64	Kaoshiung City	86	11.0%
65	New Taipei City	133	17.0%
66	Taichung City	100	12.8%
67	Tainan City	70	8.9%
68	Taoyuan City	66	8.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q21: 21.President Ma Ying-jeou will retire on May next year. Generally speaking, how satisfied are you with his overall performance as president for these seven years?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	17	2.2%
2	Satisfied	125	16.0%
3	Not very satisfied	286	36.5%
4	Not satisfied at all	355	45.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q22: 22.In 2012 Presidential Election, who did you vote for?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Ma, Ying-jeou and Wu, Den-yih	361	46.1%
2	Tsai, Ing-wen and Su, Jia-chyuan	226	28.9%
3	James Soong and Lin, Ruey Shiung	33	4.2%
89	Didn't pick up the ballot	0	
91	Forgot	0	
92	Not eligible to vote	32	4.1%
93	Didn't vote	103	13.2%
94	Cast an invalid vote	28	3.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q23: 23a.What time do you usually go to bed? (24 hours)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	82	10.5%
2	2	38	4.9%

File : TEDS2015-I_PA12E

q23: 23a.What time do you usually go to bed? (24 hours)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	3	10	1.3%
4	4	1	0.1%
5	5	3	0.4%
6	6	1	0.1%
7	7	0	
8	8	0	
9	9	1	0.1%
10	10	1	0.1%
11	11	0	
12	12	0	
13	13	1	0.1%
14	14	1	0.1%
15	15	0	
16	16	0	
17	17	0	
18	18	0	
19	19	0	
20	20	9	1.1%
21	21	16	2.0%
22	22	109	13.9%
23	23	275	35.1%
24	24	235	30.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q23a: 23.What time do you usually get up? (24 hours)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-24] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	0	
2	2	1	0.1%
3	3	2	0.3%
4	4	11	1.4%
5	5	45	5.7%
6	6	232	29.6%
7	7	290	37.0%
8	8	132	16.9%
9	9	33	4.2%
10	10	22	2.8%
11	11	6	0.8%
12	12	2	0.3%
13	13	1	0.1%
14	14	2	0.3%
15	15	2	0.3%
16	16	0	

File : TEDS2015-I_PA12E**# q23a: 23.What time do you usually get up? (24 hours)**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
17	17	1	0.1%
18	18	0	
19	19	0	
20	20	0	
21	21	0	
22	22	1	0.1%
23	23	0	
24	24	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : TEDS2015-T_PA12E

id: identified number

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 25000-145997] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=82287.206 /-] [StdDev=37336.79 /-]

date: day

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 151215-151229] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=151220.578 /-] [StdDev=4.232 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
151215		99	9.0%
151216		71	6.5%
151217		163	14.8%
151218		109	9.9%
151219		90	8.2%
151220		96	8.7%
151221		105	9.5%
151222		26	2.4%
151223		67	6.1%
151224		79	7.2%
151226		33	3.0%
151227		34	3.1%
151228		50	4.5%
151229		78	7.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

btime: starting time

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 154342-220749] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=201658.739 /-] [StdDev=11080.34 /-]

etime: ending time

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 154342-221836] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=203441.505 /-] [StdDev=11239.779 /-]

q1: 1.Which TV news did you watch most often?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-96] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taiwan Television	32	2.9%
2	China Television	62	5.6%
3	Chinese Television	25	2.3%
4	Formasa Television	115	10.5%
5	TVBS	171	15.5%
6	Sanlih E-Television	116	10.5%
7	Eastern Television	82	7.5%
8	CTi Televisio	59	5.4%
9	Era Television	44	4.0%
10	Gala Television	1	0.1%

File : TEDS2015-T_PA12E

q1: 1.Which TV news did you watch most often?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
11	Unique Satellite TV	11	1.0%
12	Da-Ai Television	9	0.8%
13	Taiwan Public Television	17	1.5%
14	Hakka TV	0	
15	Indigenous Television	0	
16	Next TV	61	5.5%
17	Good News	0	
18	Global News	1	0.1%
19	CNN	1	0.1%
20	NHK	0	
21	BBC	1	0.1%
22	Local Television	0	
23	UDN Television	1	0.1%
24	FOX	1	0.1%
92	Don't watch	164	14.9%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It's hard to say	126	11.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q2: 2.Generally, would you say that you are very, somewhat, not very or not at all interested in politics?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not interested at all	232	21.1%
2	Not very interested	469	42.6%
3	Somewhat interested	294	26.7%
4	Very interested	62	5.6%
95	Refuse to answer	1	0.1%
96	It depends	14	1.3%
97	No opinion	10	0.9%
98	Don't know	18	1.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q3: 3.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou overall performance as president over the past six months?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	40	3.6%
2	Satisfied	166	15.1%
3	Not very satisfied	315	28.6%
4	Not satisfied at all	442	40.2%
95	Refuse to answer	13	1.2%
96	It's hard to say	18	1.6%
97	No opinion	47	4.3%

File : TEDS2015-T_PA12E

q3: 3.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou overall performance as president over the past six months?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Don't know	59	5.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q4: 4.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in handling the Cross-strait relations?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
--------------------	---

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
---------------------------	--------------------------------

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	105	9.5%
2	Satisfied	257	23.4%
3	Not very satisfied	253	23.0%
4	Not satisfied at all	328	29.8%
95	Refuse to answer	7	0.6%
96	It's hard to say	12	1.1%
97	No opinion	44	4.0%
98	Don't know	94	8.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q5: 5.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in diplomacy?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
--------------------	---

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
---------------------------	--------------------------------

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	108	9.8%
2	Satisfied	272	24.7%
3	Not very satisfied	261	23.7%
4	Not satisfied at all	277	25.2%
95	Refuse to answer	7	0.6%
96	It's hard to say	11	1.0%
97	No opinion	41	3.7%
98	Don't know	123	11.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q6: 6.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in national defense?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
--------------------	---

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
---------------------------	--------------------------------

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	43	3.9%
2	Satisfied	197	17.9%
3	Not very satisfied	327	29.7%
4	Not satisfied at all	267	24.3%
95	Refuse to answer	6	0.5%
96	It's hard to say	12	1.1%
97	No opinion	60	5.5%
98	Don't know	188	17.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : TEDS2015-T_PA12E

q7: 7.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in promoting economic development?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	25	2.3%
2	Satisfied	138	12.5%
3	Not very satisfied	355	32.3%
4	Not satisfied at all	491	44.6%
95	Refuse to answer	5	0.5%
96	It's hard to say	10	0.9%
97	No opinion	17	1.5%
98	Don't know	59	5.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q8: 8.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in handling the livelihood issues?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	31	2.8%
2	Satisfied	110	10.0%
3	Not very satisfied	387	35.2%
4	Not satisfied at all	485	44.1%
95	Refuse to answer	3	0.3%
96	It's hard to say	13	1.2%
97	No opinion	21	1.9%
98	Don't know	50	4.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q9: 9.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in handling the food safety management?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	22	2.0%
2	Satisfied	75	6.8%
3	Not very satisfied	280	25.5%
4	Not satisfied at all	642	58.4%
94	Nothing to do with President Ma Ying-jeou	23	2.1%
95	Refuse to answer	5	0.5%
96	It's hard to say	9	0.8%
97	No opinion	11	1.0%
98	Don't know	33	3.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q10: 10.In personal morality, if 0 means that he has bad personal morality, 10 means that he has good morality, how would you rate Ma, Ying-jeou using a 0-to-10 scale?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]

File : TEDS2015-T_PA12E

q10: 10.In personal morality, if 0 means that he has bad personal morality, 10 means that he has good morality, how would you rate Ma, Ying-jeou using a 0-to-10 scale?

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	0 has bad morality	136	12.4%
1		35	3.2%
2		40	3.6%
3		79	7.2%
4		43	3.9%
5		213	19.4%
6		117	10.6%
7		97	8.8%
8		148	13.5%
9		57	5.2%
10	10 has good morality	67	6.1%
95	Refuse to answer	11	1.0%
98	Don't know	57	5.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q11: 11.In leadership, if 0 means that he has poor leadership, 10 means that he has good leadership, how would you rate Ma, Ying-jeou using a 0-to-10 scale?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	0 has poor leadership	191	17.4%
1		61	5.5%
2		74	6.7%
3		140	12.7%
4		95	8.6%
5		262	23.8%
6		101	9.2%
7		52	4.7%
8		46	4.2%
9		11	1.0%
10	10 has good leadership	14	1.3%
95	Refuse to answer	6	0.5%
98	Don't know	47	4.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q12: 12.Regard your trust toward President Ma, Ying-jeou, if 0 means that you have a deep distrust of him, 10 means that you have a high trust of him, how would you rate Ma, Ying-jeou using a 0-to-10 scale?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	0 have a deep distrust	248	22.5%
1		49	4.5%

File : TEDS2015-T_PA12E

q12: 12.Regard your trust toward President Ma, Ying-jeou, if 0 means that you have a deep distrust of him, 10 means that you have a high trust of him, how would you rate Ma, Ying-jeou using a 0-to-10 scale?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2		66	6.0%
3		93	8.5%
4		77	7.0%
5		211	19.2%
6		88	8.0%
7		76	6.9%
8		67	6.1%
9		27	2.5%
10	10 have a high trust	47	4.3%
95	Refuse to answer	6	0.5%
98	Don't know	45	4.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q13: 13.In human resource allocation, if 0 means that he employs persons irrelevantly, 10 means that he employs persons relevantly, how would you rate Ma, Ying-jeou using a 0-to-10 scale?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	0 employs persons irrelevantly	222	20.2%
1		72	6.5%
2		95	8.6%
3		136	12.4%
4		86	7.8%
5		235	21.4%
6		89	8.1%
7		46	4.2%
8		25	2.3%
9		12	1.1%
10	10 employs persons relevantly	12	1.1%
95	Refuse to answer	4	0.4%
98	Don't know	66	6.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q14: 14.The leaders of the two sides of Taiwan Strait met in Singapore in November last year. General speaking, do you think this meeting had either a positive or negative impact on the future development of Taiwan?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	A positive impact	488	44.4%
2	A negative impact	314	28.5%
3	No impact	95	8.6%
95	Refuse to answer	14	1.3%
96	It's hard to say	27	2.5%

File : TEDS2015-T_PA12E

q14: 14.The leaders of the two sides of Taiwan Strait met in Singapore in November last year. General speaking, do you think this meeting had either a positive or negative impact on the future development of Taiwan?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No opinion	9	0.8%
98	Don't know	153	13.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q15: 15.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Mao Chi-kuo as premier over the last six months?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	21	1.9%
2	Satisfied	194	17.6%
3	Not very satisfied	362	32.9%
4	Not satisfied at all	214	19.5%
95	Refuse to answer	7	0.6%
96	It's hard to say	16	1.5%
97	No opinion	55	5.0%
98	Don't know	231	21.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q16: 16.Are you satisfied with the performance of Eric Chu when he was the chairman of KMT?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	54	4.9%
2	Satisfied	234	21.3%
3	Not very satisfied	328	29.8%
4	Not satisfied at all	275	25.0%
95	Refuse to answer	15	1.4%
96	It's hard to say	14	1.3%
97	No opinion	47	4.3%
98	Don't know	133	12.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q17: 17.Are you satisfied with the performance of Tsai, Ing-wen when she was the chairman of DPP?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	149	13.5%
2	Satisfied	411	37.4%
3	Not very satisfied	179	16.3%
4	Not satisfied at all	87	7.9%
95	Refuse to answer	16	1.5%
96	It's hard to say	12	1.1%
97	No opinion	69	6.3%

File : TEDS2015-T_PA12E

q17: 17.Are you satisfied with the performance of Tsai, Ing-wen when she was the chairman of DPP?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Don't know	177	16.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q18: 18.Would you say that over the past six months, the state of economy of Taiwan has gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Better	29	2.6%
2	About the same	409	37.2%
3	Worse	635	57.7%
95	Refuse to answer	1	0.1%
96	It depends	1	0.1%
97	No opinion	1	0.1%
98	Don't know	24	2.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q19: 19.Would you say that in the next six months, the state of the economy of Taiwan will get better, stay about the same, or get worse?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Better	77	7.0%
2	About the same	500	45.5%
3	Worse	398	36.2%
95	Refuse to answer	1	0.1%
96	It depends	27	2.5%
97	No opinion	1	0.1%
98	Don't know	96	8.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q20: 20.Concerning the relationship between Taiwan and mainland China, which of the following six positions do you agree with?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Immediate unification	18	1.6%
2	Immediate independence	38	3.5%
3	Maintain the status quo, move toward unification in the futu	103	9.4%
4	Maintain the status quo, move toward independence in the fut	176	16.0%
5	Maintain the status quo, decide either unification or indepe	371	33.7%
6	Maintain the status quo forever	321	29.2%
7	Is already an independent country	3	0.3%
95	Refuse to answer	11	1.0%
96	It's hard to say	10	0.9%

File : TEDS2015-T_PA12E

q20: 20.Concerning the relationship between Taiwan and mainland China, which of the following six positions do you agree with?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No opinion	15	1.4%
98	Don't know	34	3.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q21: 21.Among all political parties in our country, which party do you think of yourself as leaning toward?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	KMT [continue to 21a]	256	23.3%
2	DPP [continue to 21a]	290	26.4%
3	NP [continue to 21a]	9	0.8%
4	PFP [continue to 21a]	37	3.4%
5	TSU [continue to 21a]	6	0.5%
6	All support [continue to 21b]	6	0.5%
7	All don't support [continue to 21b]	304	27.6%
12	DPP and PFP [continue to 21b]	1	0.1%
19	Vote for candidate not for his/her party [continue to 21b]	16	1.5%
20	Green Party [continue to 21a]	5	0.5%
21	New Power Party [continue to 21a]	26	2.4%
22	Minkuotang [continue to 21a]	2	0.2%
23	Social Democratic Party [continue to 21a]	0	
24	Tree Party [continue to 21a]	0	
28	Non-Partisan Solidarity Union [continue to 21a]	1	0.1%
29	Unionist Party [continue to 21a]	1	0.1%
30	Faith And Hope League [continue to 21a]	2	0.2%
31	Green Party Taiwan & Social Democratic Party Alliance [conti	1	0.1%
95	Refuse to answer [continue to 21b]	29	2.6%
96	It depends [continue to 21b]	43	3.9%
97	No opinion [continue to 21b]	37	3.4%
98	Don't know [continue to 21b]	28	2.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q22: 21a.Do you lean very strongly or somewhat?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very strongly	228	20.7%
2	Somewhat	408	37.1%
99	Skipped	464	42.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q23: 21b.Relatively speaking, do you lean toward any political party?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 3-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

File : TEDS2015-T_PA12E

q23: 21b. Relatively speaking, do you lean toward any political party?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	KMT	31	2.8%
4	DPP	23	2.1%
5	NP	1	0.1%
6	PFP	4	0.4%
7	TSU	0	
8	None	318	28.9%
14	DPP and PFP	1	0.1%
20	Opposititon Party	1	0.1%
21	Vote for candidate not for his/her party	13	1.2%
23	Green Party	0	
24	New Power Party	3	0.3%
25	Minkuotang	0	
26	Social Democratic Party	1	0.1%
27	Tree Party	0	
32	Faith And Hope League	0	
95	Refuse to answer	16	1.5%
96	It depends	17	1.5%
97	No opinion	21	1.9%
98	Don't know	14	1.3%
99	Skipped	636	57.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q24: 22. In Taiwan, some people think they are Taiwanese. There are also some people who think that they are Chinese. Do you consider yourself as Taiwanese, Chinese or both?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taiwanese	656	59.6%
2	Both	364	33.1%
3	Chinese	32	2.9%
95	Refuse to answer	5	0.5%
96	It's hard to say	9	0.8%
97	No opinion	11	1.0%
98	Don't know	23	2.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q25: 23. Year of birth

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 14-95] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
14		1	0.1%
16		1	0.1%
17		1	0.1%
18		2	0.2%

File : TEDS2015-T_PA12E

q25: 23.Year of birth

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
20		1	0.1%
21		2	0.2%
22		3	0.3%
23		2	0.2%
24		6	0.5%
25		5	0.5%
26		3	0.3%
27		4	0.4%
28		1	0.1%
29		8	0.7%
30		7	0.6%
31		5	0.5%
32		4	0.4%
33		14	1.3%
34		7	0.6%
35		10	0.9%
36		13	1.2%
37		8	0.7%
38		17	1.5%
39		10	0.9%
40		22	2.0%
41		15	1.4%
42		15	1.4%
43		18	1.6%
44		18	1.6%
45		27	2.5%
46		23	2.1%
47		29	2.6%
48		24	2.2%
49		22	2.0%
50		48	4.4%
51		28	2.5%
52		30	2.7%
53		38	3.5%
54		33	3.0%
55		38	3.5%
56		28	2.5%
57		22	2.0%
58		21	1.9%
59		22	2.0%
60		27	2.5%
61		32	2.9%
62		28	2.5%

File : TEDS2015-T_PA12E

q25: 23.Year of birth

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
63		25	2.3%
64		15	1.4%
65		20	1.8%
66		22	2.0%
67		20	1.8%
68		16	1.5%
69		21	1.9%
70		23	2.1%
71		15	1.4%
72		14	1.3%
73		14	1.3%
74		12	1.1%
75		15	1.4%
76		14	1.3%
77		9	0.8%
78		7	0.6%
79		19	1.7%
80		9	0.8%
81		17	1.5%
82		10	0.9%
83		9	0.8%
84		7	0.6%
95	Refuse to answer	24	2.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q26: 24.Education level

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Illiterate	24	2.2%
2	Primary school graduate	77	7.0%
3	Junior high school graduate	96	8.7%
4	High school or vocational school graduate	346	31.5%
5	Technical college graduate	169	15.4%
6	University graduate	292	26.5%
7	Post-graduate education	91	8.3%
95	Refuse to answer	5	0.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q27: 25.Father's ethnic background

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taiwanese Hakka	109	9.9%

File : TEDS2015-T_PA12E

q27: 25.Father's ethnic background

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Taiwanese Min-nan	809	73.5%
3	Mainlander	142	12.9%
4	Aboriginal	7	0.6%
5	Foreigner	0	
6	Recent Mainland immigrant	2	0.2%
7	Recent Foreign immigrant	4	0.4%
95	Refuse to answer	5	0.5%
98	Don't know	22	2.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q28: 26.Mother's ethnic background

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taiwanese Hakka	115	10.5%
2	Taiwanese Min-nan	872	79.3%
3	Mainlander	70	6.4%
4	Aboriginal	12	1.1%
5	Foreigner	0	
6	Recent Mainland immigrant	0	
7	Recent Foreign immigrant	4	0.4%
95	Refuse to answer	5	0.5%
98	Don't know	22	2.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q29: 27.Main occupation of respondent

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 101-995] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
101	Legislators	1	0.1%
102	Government Administrators	5	0.5%
103	Chief Executives (Pub.)	12	1.1%
104	Chief Executives (Priv.)	108	9.8%
105	Directors have employee	63	5.7%
106	Directors don't have employee	39	3.5%
201	Science Professionals (Pub.)	2	0.2%
202	Science Professionals (Priv.)	2	0.2%
203	Health Associate Professionals (Pub.)	6	0.5%
204	Health Associate Professionals (Priv.)	10	0.9%
205	Accountants	4	0.4%
206	Teachers (Pub.)	27	2.5%
207	Teachers (Priv.)	20	1.8%
208	Lawyers and Legal Professionals (Pub.)	1	0.1%
209	Lawyers and Legal Professionals (Priv.)	1	0.1%

File : TEDS2015-T_PA12E

q29: 27.Main occupation of respondent

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
210	Religion man	1	0.1%
211	Abstractionist	1	0.1%
212	Writer (author, reporter, dramatist)	0	
213	Eng. Science Professionals (Pub.)	1	0.1%
214	Eng. Science Professionals (Priv.)	8	0.7%
215	Sportsman	0	
301	Office Clerks (Pub.)	22	2.0%
302	Office Clerks (Priv.)	108	9.8%
303	Salespersons	2	0.2%
401	Personal Services Workers (Priv.)	31	2.8%
501	Agr., Animal Husbandry, Forestry and Fishing Workers (Priv.)	29	2.6%
601	Production Laborers and Rel. Workers (Pub.)	23	2.1%
602	Production Laborers and Rel. Workers (Priv.)	198	18.0%
701	Students	20	1.8%
801	Servicemen	6	0.5%
901	Housekeeping, without domestic crafting [continue to 27a]	151	13.7%
902	Housekeeping, with domestic crafting [continue to 27a]	17	1.5%
903	Housekeeping, helping familial business without pay [continu	10	0.9%
904	Housekeeping, helping familial business with pay [continue t	6	0.5%
905	Unemployment [skip to 27b]	28	2.5%
906	Retired [skip to 27b]	129	11.7%
907	Not working, but has income	0	
911	Haven't employed	1	0.1%
995	Refuse to answer	7	0.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q30: 27a.Main occupation of respondent's spouse

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
101	Legislators	0	
102	Government Administrators	2	0.2%
103	Chief Executives (Pub.)	4	0.4%
104	Chief Executives (Priv.)	28	2.5%
105	Directors have employee	6	0.5%
106	Directors don't have employee	9	0.8%
201	Science Professionals (Pub.)	0	
202	Science Professionals (Priv.)	0	
203	Health Associate Professionals (Pub.)	0	
204	Health Associate Professionals (Priv.)	2	0.2%
205	Accountants	0	
206	Teachers (Pub.)	5	0.5%
207	Teachers (Priv.)	2	0.2%
208	Lawyers and Legal Professionals (Pub.)	0	

File : TEDS2015-T_PA12E

q30: 27a.Main occupation of respondent's spouse

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
209	Lawyers and Legal Professionals (Priv.)	0	
210	Religion man	0	
211	Abstractionist	0	
212	Writer (author, reporter, dramatist)	0	
213	Eng. Science Professionals (Pub.)	0	
214	Eng. Science Professionals (Priv.)	1	0.1%
215	Sportsman	0	
301	Office Clerks (Pub.)	8	0.7%
302	Office Clerks (Priv.)	17	1.5%
303	Salespersons	1	0.1%
401	Personal Services Workers (Priv.)	3	0.3%
501	Agr., Animal Husbandry, Forestry and Fishing Workers (Priv.)	6	0.5%
601	Production Laborers and Rel. Workers (Pub.)	8	0.7%
602	Production Laborers and Rel. Workers (Priv.)	55	5.0%
701	Students	0	
801	Servicemen	7	0.6%
901	Widowed	9	0.8%
902	Divorced	5	0.5%
907	Not working, but has income	1	0.1%
911	Haven't employed	1	0.1%
995	Refuse to answer	4	0.4%
999	Skipped	916	83.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q31: 27b.Main occupation of respondent before retirement or unemployment

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
101	Legislators	2	0.2%
102	Government Administrators	5	0.5%
103	Chief Executives (Pub.)	5	0.5%
104	Chief Executives (Priv.)	15	1.4%
105	Directors have employee	11	1.0%
106	Directors don't have employee	5	0.5%
201	Science Professionals (Pub.)	0	
202	Science Professionals (Priv.)	0	
203	Health Associate Professionals (Pub.)	1	0.1%
204	Health Associate Professionals (Priv.)	2	0.2%
205	Accountants	0	
206	Teachers (Pub.)	13	1.2%
207	Teachers (Priv.)	1	0.1%
208	Lawyers and Legal Professionals (Pub.)	0	
209	Lawyers and Legal Professionals (Priv.)	0	
210	Religion man	0	

File : TEDS2015-T_PA12E

q31: 27b.Main occupation of respondent before retirement or unemployment

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
211	Abstractionist	1	0.1%
212	Writer (author, reporter, dramatist)	0	
213	Eng. Science Professionals (Pub.)	1	0.1%
214	Eng. Science Professionals (Priv.)	0	
215	Sportsman	0	
301	Office Clerks (Pub.)	6	0.5%
302	Office Clerks (Priv.)	8	0.7%
303	Salespersons	0	
401	Personal Services Workers (Priv.)	5	0.5%
501	Agr., Animal Husbandry, Forestry and Fishing Workers (Priv.)	7	0.6%
601	Production Laborers and Rel. Workers (Pub.)	4	0.4%
602	Production Laborers and Rel. Workers (Priv.)	53	4.8%
701	Students	2	0.2%
801	Servicemen	6	0.5%
995	Refuse to answer	4	0.4%
999	Skipped	943	85.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q32: 28.Please tell me where your household is registered?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Yi Lan County	21	1.9%
4	Hsinchu County	17	1.5%
5	Miao Li County	23	2.1%
7	Changhua County	92	8.4%
8	Nantou County	32	2.9%
9	Yunlin County	42	3.8%
10	Chiayi County	31	2.8%
13	Pingtung County	44	4.0%
14	Taitung County	7	0.6%
15	Hualien County	22	2.0%
16	Peng Hu County	4	0.4%
17	Keelung City	16	1.5%
18	Hsinchu City	23	2.1%
20	Chiayi City	18	1.6%
28	Kinmen County	2	0.2%
30	Lienchiang County	1	0.1%
63	Taipei City	115	10.5%
64	Kaoshiung City	140	12.7%
65	New Taipei City	166	15.1%
66	Taichung City	110	10.0%
67	Tainan City	87	7.9%
68	Taoyuan City	79	7.2%

File : TEDS2015-T_PA12E

q32: 28.Please tell me where your household is registered?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
95	Refuse to answer	6	0.5%
98	Don't know	2	0.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q33: 28.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Yi Lan County?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yilan City	2	0.2%
2	Luodong Township	7	0.6%
3	Suao Township	0	
4	Toucheng Township	2	0.2%
5	Jiaoxi Township	1	0.1%
6	Zhuangwei Township	0	
7	Yuanshan Township	1	0.1%
8	Dongshan Township	5	0.5%
9	Wujie Township	2	0.2%
10	Sanxing Township	1	0.1%
11	Datong Township	0	
12	Nanao Township	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1079	98.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q34: 28.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Hsinchu County?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Zhubei City	4	0.4%
2	Zhudong Township	2	0.2%
3	Xinpu Township	3	0.3%
4	Guanxi Township	0	
5	Hukou Township	4	0.4%
6	Xinfeng Township	2	0.2%
7	Qionglin Township	0	
8	Hengshan Township	1	0.1%
9	Beipu Township	1	0.1%
10	Baoshan Township	0	
11	Emei Township	0	
12	Jianshi Township	0	
13	Wufeng Township	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	

File : TEDS2015-T_PA12E

q34: 28.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Hsinchu County?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99	Skipped	1083	98.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q35: 28.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Miaoli County?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Miaoli City	3	0.3%
2	Yuanli Township	3	0.3%
3	Tongxiao Township	1	0.1%
4	Zhunan Township	5	0.5%
5	Toufen City	3	0.3%
6	Houlong Township	0	
7	Zhuolan Township	0	
8	Dahu Township	1	0.1%
9	Gongguan Township	0	
10	Tongluo Township	0	
11	Nanzhuang Township	0	
12	Touwu Township	0	
13	Sanyi Township	1	0.1%
14	Xihu Township	1	0.1%
15	Zaoqiao Township	1	0.1%
16	Sanwan Township	2	0.2%
17	Shitan Township	0	
18	Taian Township	0	
95	Refuse to answer	2	0.2%
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1077	97.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q36: 28.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Changhua County?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Changhua City	20	1.8%
2	Lugang Township	6	0.5%
3	Hemei Township	8	0.7%
4	Xianxi Township	2	0.2%
5	Shengang Township	4	0.4%
6	Fuxing Township	3	0.3%
7	Xiushui Township	3	0.3%
8	Huatan Township	5	0.5%
9	Fenyuan Township	2	0.2%
10	Yuanlin City	11	1.0%

File : TEDS2015-T_PA12E

q36: 28.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Changhua County?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
11	Xihu Township	3	0.3%
12	Tianzhong Township	2	0.2%
13	Dacun Township	4	0.4%
14	Puyan Township	1	0.1%
15	Puxin Township	1	0.1%
16	Yongjing Township	2	0.2%
17	Shetou Township	3	0.3%
18	Ershui Township	0	
19	Beidou Township	3	0.3%
20	Erlin Township	0	
21	Tianwei Township	1	0.1%
22	Pitou Township	3	0.3%
23	Fangyuan Township	0	
24	Dacheng Township	0	
25	Zhutang Township	1	0.1%
26	Xizhou Township	2	0.2%
95	Refuse to answer	1	0.1%
98	Don't know	1	0.1%
99	Skipped	1008	91.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q37: 28.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Nantou County?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Nantou City	13	1.2%
2	Puli Township	4	0.4%
3	Caotun Township	5	0.5%
4	Zhushan Township	1	0.1%
5	Jiji Township	0	
6	Mingjian Township	4	0.4%
7	Lugu Township	0	
8	Zhongliao Township	1	0.1%
9	Yuchi Township	1	0.1%
10	Guoxing Township	1	0.1%
11	Shuili Township	1	0.1%
12	Xinyi Township	0	
13	Renai Township	0	
95	Refuse to answer	1	0.1%
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1068	97.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : TEDS2015-T_PA12E

q38: 28.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Yunlin County?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Douliu City	6	0.5%
2	Dounan Township	3	0.3%
3	Huwei Township	4	0.4%
4	Xiluo Township	2	0.2%
5	Tuku Township	3	0.3%
6	Beigang Township	3	0.3%
7	Gukeng Township	3	0.3%
8	Dapi Township	1	0.1%
9	Citong Township	2	0.2%
10	Linnei Township	1	0.1%
11	Erlun Township	0	
12	Lunbei Township	3	0.3%
13	Mailiao Township	4	0.4%
14	Dongshi Township	1	0.1%
15	Baozhong Township	0	
16	Taixi Township	1	0.1%
17	Yuanchang Township	2	0.2%
18	Sihu Township	0	
19	Kouhu Township	1	0.1%
20	Shuilin Township	0	
95	Refuse to answer	1	0.1%
98	Don't know	1	0.1%
99	Skipped	1058	96.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q39: 28.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Chiayi County?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taibao City	2	0.2%
2	Puzi City	3	0.3%
3	Budai Township	3	0.3%
4	Dalin Township	3	0.3%
5	Minxiong Township	4	0.4%
6	Xikou Township	0	
7	Xingang Township	3	0.3%
8	Liujiiao Township	1	0.1%
9	Dongshi Township	1	0.1%
10	Yizhu Township	2	0.2%
11	Lucao Township	2	0.2%
12	Shuishang Township	1	0.1%

File : TEDS2015-T_PA12E

q39: 28.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Chiayi County?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
13	Zhongpu Township	1	0.1%
14	Zhuqi Township	1	0.1%
15	Meishan Township	1	0.1%
16	Fanlu Township	1	0.1%
17	Dapu Township	0	
18	Alishan Township	0	
95	Refuse to answer	2	0.2%
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1069	97.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q40: 28.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Pingtung County?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Pingtung City	16	1.5%
2	Chaozhou Township	3	0.3%
3	Donggang Township	3	0.3%
4	Hengchun Township	0	
5	Wandan Township	5	0.5%
6	Changzhi Township	1	0.1%
7	Linluo Township	0	
8	Jiuru Township	2	0.2%
9	Ligang Township	2	0.2%
10	Yanpu Township	0	
11	Gaoshu Township	0	
12	Wanluan Township	0	
13	Neipu Township	4	0.4%
14	Zhutian Township	0	
15	Xinpi Township	0	
16	Fangliao Township	1	0.1%
17	Xinyuan Township	2	0.2%
18	Kanding Township	0	
19	Linbian Township	0	
20	Nanzhou Township	1	0.1%
21	Jiadong Township	2	0.2%
22	Liuqiu Township	0	
23	Checheng Townshi	0	
24	Manzhou Townshi	0	
25	Fangshan Township	0	
26	Sandimen Township	0	
27	Wutai Township	0	
28	Majia Township	0	
29	Taiwu Townshi	0	

File : TEDS2015-T_PA12E

q40: 28.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Pingtung County?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
30	Laiyi Township	0	
31	Chunri Townshi	0	
32	Shizi Township	0	
33	Mudan Township	0	
95	Refuse to answer	1	0.1%
98	Don't know	1	0.1%
99	Skipped	1056	96.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q41: 28.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Taitung County?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taitung City	7	0.6%
2	Chenggong Township	0	
3	Guanshan Township	0	
4	Beinan Township	0	
5	Luye Township	0	
6	Chishang Township	0	
7	Donghe Township	0	
8	Changbin Township	0	
9	Taimali Township	0	
10	Dawu Township	0	
11	Lyudao Township	0	
12	Haiduan Township	0	
13	Yanping Townshi	0	
14	Jinfeng Township	0	
15	Daren Township	0	
16	Lanyu Township	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1093	99.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q42: 28.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Hualien County?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Hualien City	7	0.6%
2	Fenglin Township	0	
3	Yuli Township	3	0.3%
4	Xincheng Townshi	1	0.1%
5	Jian Township	5	0.5%
6	Shoufeng Township	1	0.1%

File : TEDS2015-T_PA12E

q42: 28.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Hualien County?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	Guangfu Township	1	0.1%
8	Fengbin Township	0	
9	Ruisui Township	1	0.1%
10	Fuli Township	2	0.2%
11	Xiulin Townshi	0	
12	Wanrong Township	0	
13	Zhuoxi Township	0	
95	Refuse to answer	1	0.1%
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1078	98.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q43: 28.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Penghu County?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Magong City	3	0.3%
2	Huxi Township	0	
3	Baisha Township	1	0.1%
4	Xiyu Township	0	
5	Wang'an Township	0	
6	Qimei Township	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1096	99.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q44: 28.Where your household is registered? Which district in Keelung City?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Zhongzheng District	1	0.1%
2	Qidu District	1	0.1%
3	Nuannuan District	4	0.4%
4	Ren'ai District	3	0.3%
5	Zhongshan District	3	0.3%
6	Anle District	2	0.2%
7	Xinyi District	2	0.2%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1084	98.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q45: 28.Where your household is registered? Which district in Hsinchu City?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
--------------------	---

File : TEDS2015-T_PA12E

q45: 28. Where your household is registered? Which district in Hsinchu City?

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	East District	9	0.8%
2	North District	5	0.5%
3	Xiangshan District	6	0.5%
95	Refuse to answer	1	0.1%
98	Don't know	2	0.2%
99	Skipped	1077	97.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q46: 28. Where your household is registered? Which district in Chiayi City?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	East District	7	0.6%
2	West District	10	0.9%
95	Refuse to answer	1	0.1%
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1082	98.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q47: 28.Where your household is registered? Which district in Taipei City?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Songshan District	4	0.4%
2	Xinyi District	6	0.5%
3	Daan District	12	1.1%
4	Zhongshan District	6	0.5%
5	Zhongzheng District	6	0.5%
6	Datong District	4	0.4%
7	Wanhua District	5	0.5%
8	Wenshan District	18	1.6%
9	Nangang District	4	0.4%
10	Neihu District	17	1.5%
11	Shilin District	13	1.2%
12	Beitou District	18	1.6%
95	Refuse to answer	2	0.2%
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	985	89.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q48: 28.Where your household is registered? Which district in Kaohsiung City?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yancheng District	0	
2	Gushan District	5	0.5%
3	Zuoying District	6	0.5%
4	Nanzi District	12	1.1%
5	Sanmin District	22	2.0%
6	Xinxing District	0	
7	Qianjin District	3	0.3%
8	Lingya District	12	1.1%
9	Qianzhen District	5	0.5%
10	Qijin District	0	
11	Xiaogang District	7	0.6%
12	Fengshan District	14	1.3%
13	Linyuan District	4	0.4%
14	Daliao District	8	0.7%
15	Dashu District	4	0.4%
16	Dashe District	1	0.1%
17	Renwu District	2	0.2%
18	Niaosong District	2	0.2%
19	Gangshan District	7	0.6%
20	Qiaotou District	4	0.4%
21	Yanchao District	4	0.4%
22	Tianliao District	1	0.1%

q48: 28.Where your household is registered? Which district in Kaohsiung City?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
23	Alian District	2	0.2%
24	Luzhu District	1	0.1%
25	Hunei District	1	0.1%
26	Qieding District	2	0.2%
27	Yongan District	0	
28	Mituo District	2	0.2%
29	Ziguan District	2	0.2%
30	Qishan District	2	0.2%
31	Meinong District	1	0.1%
32	Liugui District	0	
33	Jiaxian District	0	
34	Shanlin District	0	
35	Neimen District	0	
36	Maolin District	0	
37	Taoyuan District	0	
38	Namaxia District	0	
95	Refuse to answer	3	0.3%
98	Don't know	1	0.1%
99	Skipped	960	87.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q49: 28.Where your household is registered? Which district in New Taipei City?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Banqiao District	27	2.5%
2	Sanchong District	17	1.5%
3	Zhonghe District	10	0.9%
4	Yonghe District	9	0.8%
5	Xinzhuang District	20	1.8%
6	Xindian District	9	0.8%
7	Shulin District	5	0.5%
8	Yingge District	5	0.5%
9	Sanxia District	7	0.6%
10	Danshui District	12	1.1%
11	Xizhi District	5	0.5%
12	Ruifang District	2	0.2%
13	Tucheng District	8	0.7%
14	Luzhou District	6	0.5%
15	Wugu District	3	0.3%
16	Taishan District	1	0.1%
17	Linkou District	6	0.5%
18	Shenkeng District	3	0.3%
19	Shiding District	2	0.2%
20	Pinglin District	0	

q49: 28.Where your household is registered? Which district in New Taipei City?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
21	Sanzhi District	2	0.2%
22	Shimen District	1	0.1%
23	Bali District	2	0.2%
24	Pingxi District	1	0.1%
25	Shuangxi District	0	
26	Gongliao District	0	
27	Jinshan District	0	
28	Wanli District	1	0.1%
29	Wulai District	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	2	0.2%
99	Skipped	934	84.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q50: 28.Where your household is registered? Which district in Taichung City?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Central District	0	
2	East District	5	0.5%
3	South District	5	0.5%
4	West District	6	0.5%
5	North District	1	0.1%
6	Xitun District	7	0.6%
7	Nantun District	7	0.6%
8	Beitun District	7	0.6%
9	Fengyuan District	7	0.6%
10	Dongshi District	1	0.1%
11	Dajia District	3	0.3%
12	Qingshui District	5	0.5%
13	Shalu District	4	0.4%
14	Wuqi District	0	
15	Houli District	1	0.1%
16	Shengang District	3	0.3%
17	Tanzi District	3	0.3%
18	Daya District	0	
19	Xinshe District	1	0.1%
20	Shigang District	0	
21	Waipu District	0	
22	Daan District	0	
23	Wuri District	8	0.7%
24	Dadu District	4	0.4%
25	Longjing District	6	0.5%
26	Wufeng District	2	0.2%
27	Taiping District	11	1.0%

q50: 28.Where your household is registered? Which district in Taichung City?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
28	Dali District	11	1.0%
29	Heping District	0	
95	Refuse to answer	2	0.2%
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	990	90.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q51: 28.Where your household is registered? Which district in Tainan City?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
--------------------	---

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
---------------------------	--------------------------------

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Xinying District	1	0.1%
2	Yanshui District	1	0.1%
3	Baihe District	5	0.5%
4	Liuying District	0	
5	Houbi District	1	0.1%
6	Dongshan District	2	0.2%
7	Madou District	2	0.2%
8	Xiaying District	1	0.1%
9	Liujia District	1	0.1%
10	Guantian District	2	0.2%
11	Danei District	0	
12	Jiali District	3	0.3%
13	Xuejia District	3	0.3%
14	Sigang District	1	0.1%
15	Qigu District	0	
16	Jiangjun District	1	0.1%
17	Beimen District	0	
18	Xinhua District	0	
19	Shanhua District	4	0.4%
20	Xinshi District	3	0.3%
21	Anding District	1	0.1%
22	Shanshang District	0	
23	Yujing District	1	0.1%
24	Nanxi District	0	
25	Nanhua District	0	
26	Zuozhen District	0	
27	Rende District	1	0.1%
28	Guiren District	5	0.5%
29	Guanmiao District	0	
30	Longqi District	0	
31	Yongkang District	5	0.5%
32	East District	11	1.0%
33	South District	6	0.5%
34	North District	7	0.6%

# q51: 28.Where your household is registered? Which district in Tainan City?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
35	Annan District	8	0.7%
36	Anping District	2	0.2%
37	West Central District	3	0.3%
95	Refuse to answer	6	0.5%
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1013	92.1%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# q52: 28.Where your household is registered? Which district in Taoyuan City?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taoyuan District	20	1.8%
2	Zhongli District	12	1.1%
3	Daxi District	6	0.5%
4	Yangmei District	5	0.5%
5	Luzhu District	3	0.3%
6	Dayuan District	4	0.4%
7	Guishan District	5	0.5%
8	Bade District	6	0.5%
9	Longtan District	3	0.3%
10	Pingzhen District	10	0.9%
11	Xinwu District	1	0.1%
12	Guanyin District	2	0.2%
13	Fuxing District	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	2	0.2%
99	Skipped	1021	92.8%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# q53: 29.President Ma Ying-jeou will retire on May next year. Generally speaking, how satisfied are you with his overall performance as president for these seven years?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	40	3.6%
2	Satisfied	178	16.2%
3	Not very satisfied	359	32.6%
4	Not satisfied at all	449	40.8%
95	Refuse to answer	8	0.7%
96	It's hard to say	10	0.9%
97	No opinion	18	1.6%
98	Don't know	38	3.5%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# q54: 30.In 2012 Presidential Election, who did you vote for?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]		

q54: 30.In 2012 Presidential Election, who did you vote for?

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Ma, Ying-jeou and Wu, Den-yih	434	39.5%
2	Tsai, Ing-wen and Su, Jia-chyuan	261	23.7%
3	James Soong and Lin, Ruey Shiung	27	2.5%
89	Didn't pick up the ballot	10	0.9%
91	Forgot	80	7.3%
92	Not eligible to vote	42	3.8%
93	Didn't vote	180	16.4%
94	Cast an invalid vote	15	1.4%
95	Refuse to answer	48	4.4%
98	Don't know	3	0.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q55: 31a.What time do you usually go to bed? (24 hours)

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-96] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		70	6.4%
2		29	2.6%
3		14	1.3%
4		5	0.5%
5		1	0.1%
6		1	0.1%
7		1	0.1%
10		1	0.1%
14		1	0.1%
19		6	0.5%
20		15	1.4%
21		48	4.4%
22		220	20.0%
23		308	28.0%
24		325	29.5%
95	Refuse to answer	6	0.5%
96	It depends	49	4.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q56: 31.What time do you usually get up? (24 hours)

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2-96] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2		4	0.4%
3		3	0.3%
4		23	2.1%
5		95	8.6%
6		376	34.2%

q56: 31.What time do you usually get up? (24 hours)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7		354	32.2%
8		130	11.8%
9		36	3.3%
10		25	2.3%
11		6	0.5%
12		6	0.5%
13		1	0.1%
14		1	0.1%
15		1	0.1%
18		1	0.1%
95	Refuse to answer	9	0.8%
96	It depends	29	2.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q57: 31b.Would you please leave us your email address?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-95] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	email	228	20.7%
95	Refuse to answer	872	79.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q58: 32.Respondent's gender

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	509	46.3%
2	Female	591	53.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q59: 33.Language used to conduct interview

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Mandarin	992	90.2%
2	Taiwanese	42	3.8%
3	Hakka	0	
4	Mandarin and Taiwanese	65	5.9%
5	Mandarin and Hakka	1	0.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

sex: Sex

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	509	46.3%

# sex: Sex			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Female	591	53.7%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# age: Age			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	20-29 years	116	10.5%
2	30-39 years	177	16.1%
3	40-49 years	258	23.5%
4	50-59 years	302	27.5%
5	60 years and above	223	20.3%
9	Nonresponse	24	2.2%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# edu: Education			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Below-primary school	101	9.2%
2	Junior high school	96	8.7%
3	High school or vocational school	346	31.5%
4	Technical college	169	15.4%
5	Post-university	383	34.8%
9	Nonresponse	5	0.5%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# sengi: Father's ethnic background			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taiwanese Hakka	109	9.9%
2	Taiwanese Min-nan	809	73.5%
3	Mainlander	142	12.9%
4	Aboriginal	7	0.6%
5	Foreigner	0	
9	Nonresponse	33	3.0%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# masengi: Mother's ethnic background			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taiwanese Hakka	115	10.5%
2	Taiwanese Min-nan	872	79.3%
3	Mainlander	70	6.4%

# masengi: Mother's ethnic background			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Aboriginal	12	1.1%
5	Foreigner	0	
9	Nonresponse	31	2.8%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# t_cidentity: Taiwanese/Chinese identity			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taiwanese	656	59.6%
2	Both	364	33.1%
3	Chinese	32	2.9%
9	Nonresponse	48	4.4%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# partyid: Party identification			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	KMT	287	26.1%
2	DPP	313	28.5%
3	NP	10	0.9%
4	PFP	41	3.7%
5	TSU	6	0.5%
6	Neutral and it depends	348	31.6%
7	Nonresponse and other parties	95	8.6%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# party: Party support			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-16] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly support KMT	86	7.8%
2	Somewhat support KMT	170	15.5%
3	Lean to the KMT	31	2.8%
4	Strongly support DPP	101	9.2%
5	Somewhat support DPP	189	17.2%
6	Lean to the DPP	23	2.1%
7	Strongly support NP	4	0.4%
8	Somewhat support NP	5	0.5%
9	Lean to the NP	1	0.1%
10	Strongly support PFP	19	1.7%
11	Somewhat support PFP	18	1.6%
12	Lean to the PFP	4	0.4%
13	Strongly support TSU	2	0.2%
14	Somewhat support TSU	4	0.4%

# party: Party support			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
15	Lean to the TSU	0	
16	Neutral and other parties	443	40.3%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# career: Occupation5			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Hight-class WHITE COLLAR	474	43.1%
2	Low-class WHITE COLLAR	211	19.2%
3	FARMER	42	3.8%
4	WORKER	341	31.0%
5	Others	32	2.9%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# career8: Occupation8			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Civil servants	170	15.5%
2	Managers and Professionals (Priv.)	271	24.6%
3	CLERKS (Priv.)	154	14.0%
4	Labor (Priv.)	251	22.8%
5	FARMER	36	3.3%
6	Students	22	2.0%
7	Housekeeping	184	16.7%
8	Others	12	1.1%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# tondu: Position on unification and independence			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Immediate unification	18	1.6%
2	Maintain the status quo, move toward unification	103	9.4%
3	Maintain the status quo, decide either unification or indepe	371	33.7%
4	Maintain the status quo forever	321	29.2%
5	Maintain the status quo, move toward independence	176	16.0%
6	Immediate independence	38	3.5%
9	Nonresponse	73	6.6%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# arear: Area			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		

arear: Area

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taipei metro area	207	18.8%
2	New Taipei city-Chilung	90	8.2%
3	Taoyuan-Hsinchu-Miaoli	142	12.9%
4	Taichung-Changhwa-Nanto	234	21.3%
5	Yunlin-Chiai-Tainan	178	16.2%
6	Kaohsiung-Pingtung-Pemghu	188	17.1%
7	Yi Lan-Hwalian-Taitung	50	4.5%
9	Nonresponse	11	1.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w: weight

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.292785942-4.391465523] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0.541 /-]