Taiwan, ROC

Chi Huang, Election Study Center, National Chengchi University

Taiwan's Election and Democratization Study, 2012-2016 (IV): Telephone Interview and Internet Survey of the Presidential Satisfaction--The Fourteenth Wave (TEDS2015_PA12)

Study Documentation

December 31, 2019

Metadata Production

	s) Survey Research Data Archive (SRDA), Center for Survey Research, Research Center for Humanities Social Sciences, Academia Sinica	
Identification	D00151en	

Table of Contents

<u>Overview</u>	<u>4</u>
Scope & Coverage	<u>4</u>
Producers & Sponsors	<u>4</u>
Data Collection	<u>4</u>
Data Processing & Appraisal	<u>4</u>
Accessibility	
Files Description	<u>6</u>
TEDS2015-I_PA12E	<u>6</u>
TEDS2015-T_PA12E	<u>6</u>
Variables Group(s)	<u>7</u>
Internet Survey	<u>7</u>
Telephone Interview	<u>7</u>
Sample Information	
Politics and Media	<u>7</u>
Government Performance	<u>7</u>
Image and Capability	<u>8</u>
Cabinet Performance.	<u>8</u>
Social Issues	<u>9</u>
Economic Evaluations	<u>9</u>
Position on Unification and Independence	<u>9</u>
Party Identification	<u>9</u>
Taiwanese/Chinese Identification	<u>9</u>
Voting Participation	<u>9</u>
Personal Data	<u>10</u>
Sample Information	. <u>10</u>
Politics and Media	<u>10</u>
Government Performance	<u>10</u>
Image and Capability	. <u>11</u>
Cabinet Performance	. <u>11</u>
Social Issues	. <u>12</u>
Economic Evaluations	. <u>12</u>
Position on Unification and Independence	. <u>12</u>
Party Identification	. <u>12</u>
Taiwanese/Chinese Identification	<u>12</u>
Voting Participation	<u>13</u>
Personal Data	<u>13</u>
New Variable	<u>15</u>
Weight	<u>15</u>
Variables Description	. <u>16</u>
<u>TEDS2015-I_PA12E</u>	. <u>17</u>
<u>TEDS2015-T_PA12E</u>	. <u>29</u>

Taiwan's Election and Democratization Study, 2012-2016 (IV): Telephone Interview and Internet Survey of the Presidential Satisfaction--The Fourteenth Wave (TEDS2015_PA12) (TEDS - Taiwan's Election and Democratization Study)

Overview	Overview			
Туре	Election Studies			
Identification	D00151en			
Version	Production Date: 2019-12-31 2			
Kind of Data	Sampling			
Unit of Analysis	Individuals			

Scope & Coverage			
Time Period(s) 2015			
Countries Taiwan, ROC			
Geographic Coverage Taiwan			

Producers & Sponsors				
PrimaryChi Huang, Election Study Center, National Chengchi UniversityInvestigator(s)Investigator(s)				
Other Producer(s)	Election Study Center, National Chengchi University			
Funding Agency/ies	Ministry of Science and Technology			

Data Collection		
Data Collection Dates	start 2015-12-15 end 2015-12-29 start 2015-12-15 end 2015-12-26	
Time Period(s)	start 2015-08-01 end 2016-07-31	
Data Collection Mode	Telephone Survey	

Data Processing & Appraisal

Data Editing

CSR has checked wild codes and out-of-range values, to validate and clean data. There is no doubt about data accuracy after the validation.

Other Processing

Telephone and Internet Survey

Accessibility				
Contact(s) Survey Research Data Archive (Center for Survey Research, Research Center for Humanit Sciences, Academia Sinica), <u>http://srda.sinica.edu.tw</u> , <u>srda@gate.sinica.edu.tw</u>				
Distributor(s)	Survey Research Data Archive			
Depositor(s)	Election Study Center, National Chengchi University			
Access Conditions Standard Access Data (Downloads for Regular Member, Academia Sinica Researcher)				

Files Description

Dataset contains 2 file(s)

TEDS2015-I_PA12E				
# Cases	783			
# Variable(s)	36			
TEDS2015 T. DA12E				

TEDS2015-T_PA12E		
# Cases	1100	
# Variable(s)	76	

Variables Group(s)

Dataset contains 28 group(s)

Group Internet Survey				
Subgroup(s)	Sample Information, Politics and Media, Government Performance, Image and Capability, Cabinet Performance, Social Issues, Economic Evaluations, Position on Unification and Independence, Party Identification, Taiwanese/Chinese Identification, Voting Participation, Personal Data			

Group Telephone Interview				
Subgroup(s)	Sample Information , Politics and Media , Government Performance , Image and Capability , Cabinet Performance , Social Issues , Economic Evaluations , Position on Unification and Independence , Party Identification , Taiwanese/Chinese Identification , Voting Participation , Personal Data , New Variable , Weight			

Group Sample Information

#	Name	Label	Туре	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	id	ID	continuous	numeric-14.0	783	0	-
2	submitdate	Date	discrete	character-20	783	-	-

Group Politics and Media

#	Name	Label	Туре	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q1	1.Which TV news did you watch most often?	discrete	numeric-2.0	783	0	-
2	q2	2.Generally, would you say that you are very, somewhat, not very or not at all interested in politics?	discrete	numeric-1.0	783	0	-

Gro	oup Govern	ment Performance					
#	Name	Label	Туре	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q3	3.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou overall performance as president over the past six months?	discrete	numeric-1.0	783	0	-
2	q4	4.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in handling the Cross-strait relations?	discrete	numeric-1.0	783	0	-
3	q5	5.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in diplomacy?	discrete	numeric-1.0	783	0	-
4	q6	6.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance of national defense?	discrete	numeric-1.0	783	0	-
5	q7	7.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance	discrete	numeric-1.0	783	0	-

#	Name	Label	Туре	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		in promoting economic development?					
6	q8	8.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in handling the livelihood issues?	discrete	numeric-1.0	783	0	-
7	q9	9.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou's government in handling the food safety management?	discrete	numeric-2.0	783	0	-

Group Image and Capability

#	Name	Label	Туре	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q10	10.In personal morality, if 0 means that he has bad personal morality, 10 means that he has good morality, how would you rate Ma, Ying-jeou using a 0-to-10 scale?	discrete	numeric-2.0	783	0	-
2	q11	11.In leadership, if 0 means that he has poor leadership, 10 means he has good leadership, how would you rate Ma, Ying-jeou using a 0- to-10 scale?	discrete	numeric-2.0	783	0	-
3	q12	12.Regard your trust toward President Ma, Ying-jeou, if 0 means that you have a deep distrust of him, 10 means that you have a high trust of him, how would you rate Ma, Ying-jeou using a 0-to-10 scale?	discrete	numeric-2.0	783	0	-
4	q13	13.In human resource allocation, if 0 means that he employs persons irrelevantly, 10 means that he employs persons relevantly, how would you rate Ma, Ying- jeou using a 0-to-10 scale?	discrete	numeric-2.0	783	0	-

Gro	Group Cabinet Performance										
#	Name	Label	Туре	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question				
1	q15	15.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Mao Chi-kuo as premier over the last six months?	discrete	numeric-1.0	783	0	-				
2	q16	16.Are you satisfied with the performance of Eric Chu when he was the chairman of KMT?	discrete	numeric-1.0	783	0	-				
3	q17	17.Are you satisfied with the performance of Tsai, Ing-wen when she was the chairman of DPP?	discrete	numeric-1.0	783	0	-				

Gro	Group Social Issues										
#	Name	Label	Туре	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question				
1	q14	14. The leaders of the two sides of Taiwan Strait met in Singapore in November last year. General speaking, do you think this meeting had either a positive or negative impact on the future development of Taiwan?	discrete	numeric-1.0	783	0	-				

Group Economic Evaluations

010											
#	Name	Label	Туре	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question				
1	q18	18.Would you say that over the past six months, the state of economy of Taiwan has gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse?	discrete	numeric-1.0	783	0	-				
2	q19	19.Would you say that in the next six months, the state of the economy of Taiwan will get better, stay about the same, or get worse?	discrete	numeric-1.0	783	0	-				

Gro	Group Position on Unification and Independence									
#	Name	Label	Туре	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question			
1	tondu	TONDU	discrete	numeric-1.0	783	0	-			

Gro	Group Party Identification									
#	Name	Label	Туре	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question			
1	partyid	PARTYID	discrete	numeric-1.0	783	0	-			

Gro	Group Taiwanese/Chinese Identification									
#	Name	Label	Туре	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question			
1	t_cidentity	T_Cidentity	discrete	numeric-1.0	783	0	-			

Group Voting Participation

							·
#	Name	Label	Туре	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q21	21.President Ma Ying-jeou will retire on May next year. Generally speaking, how satisfied are you with his overall performance as president for these seven years?	discrete	numeric-1.0	783	0	-
2	q22	22.In 2012 Presidential Election, who did you vote for?	discrete	numeric-2.0	783	0	-

Gro	Group Personal Data											
#	Name	Label	Туре	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question					
1	sex	SEX	discrete	numeric-1.0	783	0	-					
2	age	AGE	discrete	numeric-1.0	783	0	-					
3	edu	EDU	discrete	numeric-1.0	783	0	-					
4	arear	AREAR	discrete	numeric-1.0	783	0	-					
5	career8	CAREER8	discrete	numeric-1.0	783	0	-					
6	sengi	SENGI	discrete	numeric-1.0	783	0	-					
7	masengi	MASENGI	discrete	numeric-1.0	783	0	-					
8	q20	20.Please tell me where your household is registered?	discrete	numeric-2.0	783	0	-					
9	q23	23a.What time do you usually go to bed? (24 hours)	discrete	numeric-2.0	783	0	-					
10	q23a	23.What time do you usually get up? (24 hours)	discrete	numeric-2.0	783	0	-					

Gro	Group Sample Information										
#	Name	Label	Туре	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question				
1	id	identified number	continuous	numeric-6.0	1100	0	-				
2	date	day	discrete	numeric-6.0	1100	0	-				
3	btime	starting time	continuous	numeric-6.0	1100	0	-				
4	etime	ending time	continuous	numeric-6.0	1100	0	-				

Group Politics and Media

#	Name	Label	Туре	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q1	1.Which TV news did you watch most often?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-
2	q2	2.Generally, would you say that you are very, somewhat, not very or not at all interested in politics?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-

Group Government Performance										
#	Name	Label	Туре	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question			
1	q3	3.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou overall performance as president over the past six months?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-			
2	q4	4.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in handling the Cross-strait relations?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-			
3	q5	5.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in diplomacy?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-			

- 10 -

#	Name	Label	Туре	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
4	q6	6.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in national defense?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-
5	q7	7.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in promoting economic development?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-
6	q8	8.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in handling the livelihood issues?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-
7	q9	9.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in handling the food safety management?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-

Group Image and Capability

#	Name	Label	Туре	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q10	10.In personal morality, if 0 means that he has bad personal morality, 10 means that he has good morality, how would you rate Ma, Ying-jeou using a 0-to-10 scale?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-
2	q11	11.In leadership, if 0 means that he has poor leadership, 10 means that he has good leadership, how would you rate Ma, Ying-jeou using a 0- to-10 scale?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-
3	q12	12.Regard your trust toward President Ma, Ying-jeou, if 0 means that you have a deep distrust of him, 10 means that you have a high trust of him, how would you rate Ma, Ying-jeou using a 0-to-10 scale?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-
4	q13	13.In human resource allocation, if 0 means that he employs persons irrelevantly, 10 means that he employs persons relevantly, how would you rate Ma, Ying- jeou using a 0-to-10 scale?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-

Gro	Group Cabinet Performance										
#	Name	Label	Туре	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question				
1	q15	15.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Mao Chi-kuo as premier over the last six months?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-				
2	q16	16.Are you satisfied with the performance of Eric Chu when he was the chairman of KMT?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-				

#	Name	Label	Туре	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
3	q17	17.Are you satisfied with the performance of Tsai, Ing-wen when she was the chairman of DPP?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-

Group Social Issues

#	Name	Label	Туре	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q14	14. The leaders of the two sides of Taiwan Strait met in Singapore in November last year. General speaking, do you think this meeting had either a positive or negative impact on the future development of Taiwan?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-

Group Economic Evaluations

010	1									
#	Name	Label	Туре	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question			
1	q18	18.Would you say that over the past six months, the state of economy of Taiwan has gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-			
2	q19	19.Would you say that in the next six months, the state of the economy of Taiwan will get better, stay about the same, or get worse?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-			

Group Position on Unification and Independence

	-		-				
#	Name	Label	Туре	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q20	20.Concerning the relationship between Taiwan and mainland China, which of the following six positions do you agree with?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-

Group Party Identification

#	Name	Label	Туре	Format	Valid	Invalid	Ouestion
	Tunic	Luber	Type	Tormat	, una	mvunu	Question
1	q21	21.Among all political parties in our country, which party do you think of yourself as leaning toward?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-
2	q22	21a.Do you lean very strongly or somewhat?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-
3	q23	21b.Relatively speaking, do you lean toward any political party?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-

Gro	Group Taiwanese/Chinese Identification									
#	# Name Label Type Format Valid Invalid Question									

#	Name	Label	Туре	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q24	22.In Taiwan, some people think they are Taiwanese. There are also some people who think that they are Chinese. Do you consider yourself as Taiwanese, Chinese or both?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-

Group Voting Participation

	N	* 1 1	m	. .	T 7 10 1	T 11 1	0
#	Name	Label	Туре	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q53	29.President Ma Ying-jeou will retire on May next year. Generally speaking, how satisfied are you with his overall performance as president for these seven years?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-
2	q54	30.In 2012 Presidential Election, who did you vote for?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-

Group Personal Data

#	Name	Label	Туре	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q25	23.Year of birth	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-
2	q26	24.Education level	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-
3	q27	25.Father's ethnic background	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-
4	q28	26.Mother's ethnic background	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-
5	q29	27.Main occupation of respondent	discrete	numeric-3.0	1100	0	-
6	q30	27a.Main occupation of respondent's spouse	discrete	numeric-3.0	1100	0	-
7	q31	27b.Main occupation of respondent before retirement or unemployment	discrete	numeric-3.0	1100	0	-
8	q32	28.Please tell me where your household is registered?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-
9	q33	28.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Yi Lan County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-
10	q34	28.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Hsinchu County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-
11	q35	28.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Miaoli County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-
12	q36	28.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Changhua County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-
13	q37	28.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Nantou County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-

#	Name	Label	Туре	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
14	q38	28.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Yunlin County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-
15	q39	28.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Chiayi County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-
16	q40	28.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Pingtung County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-
17	q41	28.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Taitung County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-
18	q42	28.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Hualien County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-
19	q43	28.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Penghu County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-
20	q44	28.Where your household is registered? Which district in Keelung City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-
21	q45	28.Where your household is registered? Which district in Hsinchu City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-
22	q46	28.Where your household is registered? Which district in Chiayi City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-
23	q47	28.Where your household is registered? Which district in Taipei City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-
24	q48	28.Where your household is registered? Which district in Kaohsiung City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-
25	q49	28.Where your household is registered? Which district in New Taipei City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-
26	q50	28.Where your household is registered? Which district in Taichung City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-
27	q51	28.Where your household is registered? Which district in Tainan City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-
28	q52	28.Where your household is registered? Which district in Taoyuan City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-
29	q55	31a.What time do you usually go to bed? (24 hours)	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-
30	q56	31.What time do you usually get up? (24 hours)	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-
31	q57	31b.Would you please leave us your email address?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-
32	q58	32.Respondent's gender	discrete	numeric-1.0	1100	0	-
33	q59	33.Language used to conduct interview	discrete	numeric-1.0	1100	0	-

Gro	up New Va	riable					
#	Name	Label	Туре	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	sex	Sex	discrete	numeric-1.0	1100	0	-
2	age	Age	discrete	numeric-1.0	1100	0	-
3	edu	Education	discrete	numeric-1.0	1100	0	-
4	sengi	Father's ethnic background	discrete	numeric-1.0	1100	0	-
5	masengi	Mother's ethnic background	discrete	numeric-1.0	1100	0	-
6	t_cidentity	Taiwanese/Chinese identity	discrete	numeric-1.0	1100	0	-
7	partyid	Party identification	discrete	numeric-1.0	1100	0	-
8	party	Party support	discrete	numeric-2.0	1100	0	-
9	career	Occupation5	discrete	numeric-1.0	1100	0	-
10	career8	Occupation8	discrete	numeric-1.0	1100	0	-
11	tondu	Position on unification and independence	discrete	numeric-1.0	1100	0	-
12	arear	Area	discrete	numeric-1.0	1100	0	-

Group Weight

#	Name	Label	Туре	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	w	weight	continuous	numeric-8.5	1100	0	-

Taiwan's Election and Democratization Study, 2012-2016 (IV): Telephone Interview and Internet Survey of the Presidential Satisfaction--The Fourteenth Wave (TEDS2015_PA12) - Variables Description

Variables Description

Dataset contains 112 variable(s)

# id: ID					
nformation		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Ra	ange= 5363-16630700200	203] [Missing=*]	
tatistics [NW/	/ W]	[Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=8268	00801378.963 /-] [StdDev	=1783208051151.69 /-]	
submitdat				-	
nformation		[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Miss	vina-*1		
	/ \$\$71	[Valid=783 /-]	sing- j		
Statistics [NW/	/ vv]	[vand=/85/-]			
Value	Label		Cases	P	ercentage
2015-12-15			233		29.8%
2015-12-16			248		31.7%
2015-12-17			74	9.5%	
2015-12-18			89	11.4%)
2015-12-19			47	6.0%	
2015-12-20			23	2.9%	
2015-12-21			34	4.3%	
2015-12-22			17	2.2%	
2015-12-23			11	1.4%	
2015-12-24			3	0.4%	
2015-12-25			2	0.3%	
2015-12-26				0.20/	
arning: these figur	res indicate the nur	nber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interp		0.3% population of interest.	
Varning: these figur sex: SEX nformation		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Rang	preted as summary statistics of the		
(arning: these figure sex: SEX Information (tatistics [NW/	/ W]	I	reted as summary statistics of the e= 1-2] [Missing=*]	population of interest.	arcantaga
arning: these figur sex: SEX nformation tatistics [NW/ Value	/ W] Label	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Rang	e= 1-2] [Missing=*] Cases	population of interest.	ercentage
arning: these figur sex: SEX nformation tatistics [NW/ Value	/ W] Label Male	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Rang	e= 1-2] [Missing=*] Cases 454	population of interest.	58.0%
arning: these figur sex: SEX nformation tatistics [NW/ Value	/ W] Label Male Female	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Rang	e= 1-2] [Missing=*] Cases 454 329	population of interest. P	-
arning: these figur sex: SEX information tatistics [NW/ Value 1 2 arning: these figur	/ W] Label Male Female	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Rang [Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	e= 1-2] [Missing=*] Cases 454 329	population of interest. P	58.0%
Varning: these figur sex: SEX nformation statistics [NW/ Value 1 2	/ W] Label Male Female	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Rang [Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	reted as summary statistics of the e= 1-2] [Missing=*] Cases 454 329 reted as summary statistics of the	population of interest. P	58.0%
Varning: these figur sex: SEX information statistics [NW/ Value 1 2 Varning: these figur t age: AGE	/ W] Label Male Female res indicate the num	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Rang [Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] nber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interp	reted as summary statistics of the e= 1-2] [Missing=*] Cases 454 329 reted as summary statistics of the	population of interest. P	58.0%
arning: these figur sex: SEX nformation tatistics [NW/ Value age: AGE nformation	/ W] Label Male Female res indicate the num	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Rang [Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] nber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interp [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Rang	reted as summary statistics of the e= 1-2] [Missing=*] Cases 454 329 reted as summary statistics of the	population of interest. Pr	58.0%
arning: these figur sex: SEX nformation tatistics [NW/ Value arning: these figur age: AGE nformation tatistics [NW/	/ W] Label Male Female res indicate the num	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Rang [Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] nber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interp [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Rang [Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	reted as summary statistics of the e= 1-2] [Missing=*] Cases 454 329 reted as summary statistics of the e= 1-9] [Missing=*]	population of interest. Pr	42.0%
arning: these figur sex: SEX nformation tatistics [NW/ Value arning: these figur age: AGE nformation tatistics [NW/ Value	/ W] Label Male Female res indicate the num	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Rang [Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] nber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interp [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Rang [Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	reted as summary statistics of the e= 1-2] [Missing=*] Cases 454 329 reted as summary statistics of the e= 1-9] [Missing=*] Cases	population of interest. Pr	58.0% 42.0% ercentage
arning: these figur sex: SEX nformation tatistics [NW/ Value arning: these figur age: AGE nformation tatistics [NW/ Value	/ W] Label Male Female res indicate the num / W] Label 20~29 year	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Rang [Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] nber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interp [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Rang [Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] cs old	reted as summary statistics of the e= 1-2] [Missing=*] (Cases 454 329 reted as summary statistics of the e= 1-9] [Missing=*] (Cases 165	population of interest. Pr	58.0% 42.0% ercentage 21.1% 26.9%
arning: these figur sex: SEX nformation tatistics [NW/ Value arning: these figur age: AGE nformation tatistics [NW/ Value	/ W] Label Male Female res indicate the num / W] Label 20~29 year 30~39 year	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Rang [Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] nber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interp [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Rang [Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] s old s old s old s old	reted as summary statistics of the e= 1-2] [Missing=*] Cases 454 329 reted as summary statistics of the e= 1-9] [Missing=*] e= 1-9] [Missing=*] Cases 165 211	population of interest. Pr	58.0% 42.0% ercentage 21.1% 26.9%
arning: these figur sex: SEX aformation tatistics [NW/ Value arning: these figur age: AGE aformation tatistics [NW/ Value	I Label Male Female res I Label 20~29 year 30~39 year 40~49 year	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Rang [Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] nber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be inter [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Rang [Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] rs old rs old rs old rs old rs old	reted as summary statistics of the e= 1-2] [Missing=*] Cases 454 329 reted as summary statistics of the e= 1-9] [Missing=*] e= 1-9] [Missing=*] Cases 165 211 218	population of interest. Pr	58.0% 42.0% ercentage 21.1% 26.9% 27.8%
arning: these figur sex: SEX nformation tatistics [NW/ Value arning: these figur age: AGE nformation tatistics [NW/ Value	/ W] Label Male Female Female 20~29 year 30~39 year 40~49 year 50~59 year	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Rang [Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] nber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interp [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Rang [Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] so old so old so old ars old	Cases 454 329 areted as summary statistics of the be a summary statistics of the as summary statistics of the be a summary statistics of the	population of interest. Pr population of interest. Pr	58.0% 42.0% ercentage 21.1% 26.9% 27.8%
arning: these figur sex: SEX nformation tatistics [NW/ Value arning: these figur age: AGE nformation tatistics [NW/ Value	/ W] Label Male Female res / W] Label 20~29 year 30~39 year 40~49 year 50~59 year over 60 year nonresponse	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Rang [Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] nber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interp [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Rang [Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] so old so old so old ars old	Image: statistic set in the second	population of interest. Pr population of interest. Pr 6.6%	58.0% 42.0% ercentage 21.1% 26.9% 27.8%
arning: these figur sex: SEX nformation tatistics [NW/ Value arning: these figur age: AGE nformation tatistics [NW/ Value	/ W] Label Male Female res / W] Label 20~29 year 30~39 year 40~49 year 50~59 year over 60 year nonresponse	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Rang [Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] nber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interp [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Rang [Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] so old so old ars old se	Image: statistic set in the second	population of interest. Pr population of interest. Pr 6.6%	58.0% 42.0% ercentage 21.1% 26.9% 27.8%
arning: these figur sex: SEX information tatistics [NW/ Value age: AGE information tatistics [NW/ Value b Value b Value	/ W] Label Male Female res / W] Label 20~29 year 30~39 year 40~49 year 50~59 year over 60 year nonresponse	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Rang [Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] nber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interp [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Rang [Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] so old so old ars old se	reted as summary statistics of the e= 1-2] [Missing=*] Cases 454 329 reted as summary statistics of the e= 1-9] [Missing=*] e= 1-9] [Missing=*] Cases 165 211 218 137 52 0 reted as summary statistics of the statistics of the	population of interest. Pr population of interest. Pr 6.6%	58.0% 42.0% ercentage 21.1% 26.9% 27.8%

edu: EDU

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
1	Primary school graduate	0		
2	Junior high school graduate	7	0.9%	
3	High school or vocational school graduate	90	11.5%	
4	Technical college graduate	114	14.6%	
5	University graduate	572		73.1%
9	nonresponse	0		

arear: AREAR

Information	l	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range=	be= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]					
Statistics [N	W/ W]	[Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]						
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage				
1	Taipei metr	ro area	192	24.5%				
2	New Taipei city-Chilung		114	14.6%				
3	Taoyuan-H	sinchu-Miaoli	105	13.4%				
4	Taichung-C	Changhwa-Nanto	134	17.1%				
5	Yunlin-Chi	ai-Tainan	105	13.4%				
6	Kaohsiung-	-Pingtung-Pemghu	98	12.5%				
7	Yi Lan-Hw	alian-Taitung	34	4.3%				
9	nonrespons	e	1	0.1%				
Warning: these f	igures indicate the num	nber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interprete	ed as summary statistics of the p	population of interest.				

career8: CAREER8

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missi	ng=*]			
Statistics [NW/	[W]	[Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]				
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
1	Civil serva	Civil servants		19	9.5%	
2	Managers and Professionals (priv.)		246			31.4%
3	CLERKS (priv.)		175		22.3%	
4	Labor (priv	r.)	74	9.5%		
5	FARMER		3	0.4%		
6	Students		47	6.0%		
7	Housekeep	ing	42	5.4%		
8	Others		43	5.5%		
9	nonrespons	se	0			
Warning: these figur	es indicate the nur	nber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary	statistics of the	population of interest.		

sengi: SENGI

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]							
Statistics [NW/	W]	[Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]					
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage			
1	Taiwanese	Taiwanese Hakka		9.8%			
2 Taiwanese Min-nan		558		71.3%			
3	Mainlander	r	138	17.6%			

rne: 1	EDS2015	-I_PAI2E			
# sengi: SE	NGI				
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
4	Aboriginal		3	0.4%	
5	Foreigner		0		
9	nonrespons	se	7	0.9%	
Varning: these fig	ures indicate the nur	nber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be i	interpreted as summary statistics of the	population of interest.	
[#] masengi:	MASENGI				
nformation		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [R	ange= 1-9] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NV	V/ W]	[Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]			
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	Taiwanese	Hakka	88	11.2%	
2	Taiwanese	Min-nan	626		79.9%
3	Mainlande	r	55	7.0%	
4	Aboriginal		3	0.4%	
5	Foreigner		1	0.1%	
9	nonrespons	se	10	1.3%	
Varning: these fig	figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interp		interpreted as summary statistics of the	population of interest.	
* partyid: I	PARTYID				
nformation [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range=			ange= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]					
Value	Label	1	Cases	Percentage	
1	KMT		238		30.4%
2	DPP		238		30.4%
3	NP		11	1.4%	
4	PFP		40	5.1%	
5	TSU		13	1.7%	
6		l independs	176		2.5%
7		se and other parties	67	8.6%	2.570
		mber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be i			
t_cidentit	y: T_Cident	lity			
nformation		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [R	ange= 1-9] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NV	V/ W]	[Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]			
Value	Label	1	Cases	Percentage	
1	Taiwanese		468		59.8%
2	Both		293	37.4%	
3	Chinese		20	2.6%	
9	nonresponse		20	0.3%	
	-	sc mber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be i			
tondu: T	ONDU				
tonuu. Iv		[Type-discrete] [Format-numeric] [P	ange= 1-9] [Missing=*]		
Information	V/ W]	[Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]			
Information	V/W] Label		Cases	Percentage	

tondu: TONDU

Information

Value	Label	Cases		Percentage		
2	Immediate independence	38	4.9%			
3	Maintain the status quo, move toward unification in the futu	122		15.6%		
4	Maintain the status quo, move toward independence in the fut	207			26.4%	
5	Maintain the status quo, decide either unification or indepe	266				34.0%
6	Maintain the status quo forever	131		16.7%		
7	Is already an independence country	1	0.1%			
9	nonresponse	6	0.8%			
Warning: these fi	Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.					

q1: 1.Which TV news did you watch most often?

[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-96] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W]		Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]			
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	Taiwan Te	evision	23	2.9%	
2	China Tele	vision	37	4.7%	
3	Chinese Te	levision	23	2.9%	
4	Formosa T	elevision	65	8.3%	
5	TVBS		196	25.0%	
6	Sanlih E-T	elevision	70	8.9%	
7	Eastern Te	evision	59	7.5%	
8	CTi Televi	sion	60	7.7%	
9	Era Televis	ion	46	5.9%	
10	Gala Telev	ision	1	0.1%	
11	Unique Sat	ellite TV	28	3.6%	
12	Da-Ai Tele	vision	5	0.6%	
13	Taiwan Pu	blic Television	49	6.3%	
14	Hakka Tele	evision	0		
15	Indigenous	Television	0		
16	NEXTTV		88	11.2%	
17	GoodNews		0		
18	Global Nev	vs (MOD)	2	0.3%	
19	CNN		1	0.1%	
20	BBC		0		
21	NHK		1	0.1%	
22	Local TV		0		
23	UDN TV		2	0.3%	
24	FOX		1	0.1%	
92	Don't watch		7	0.9%	
95	Refuse to answer		0		
96	It's hard to say		19	2.4%	
Warning: these fi	igures indicate the nur	nber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as su	mmary statistics of the	population of interest.	
# q2: 2.Ge	nerally, woul	d you say that you are very, somewhat, :	not very or n	ot at all interested in politics?	
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]		

File : TEDS2015-I_PA12E # q2: 2.Generally, would you say that you are very, somewhat, not very or not at all interested in politics? Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] Value Label Cases Percentage 1 Not interested at all 52 6.6% 2 Not very interested 284 36.3% 3 Somewhat interested 364 46.5% 10.6% 4 Very interested 83 Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. # q3: 3. How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou overall performance as president over the past six months? [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*] Information Statistics [NW/W] [Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] Value Label Cases Percentage 1 Very satisfied 29 3.7% 2 Satisfied 17.5% 137 3 Not very satisfied 297 37.9% 40.9% 4 Not satisfied at all 320 Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. # q4: 4. How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in handling the Cross-strait relations? Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*] Statistics [NW/W] [Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] Value Label Cases Percentage Verv satisfied 104 1 13.3% 2 Satisfied 25.4% 199 3 Not very satisfied 219 28.0% 4 Not satisfied at all 33.3% 261 Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. # q5: 5. How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in diplomacy? [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*] Information Statistics [NW/W] [Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] Value Label Cases Percentage Very satisfied 11.0% 1 86 2 Satisfied 197 25.2% 3 Not very satisfied 276 35.2% 28.6% 4 Not satisfied at all 224 Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. # q6: 6. How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance of national defense? Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*] Statistics [NW/W] [Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] Value Label Cases Percentage 1 Very satisfied 38 4.9% 2 Satisfied 25.0% 196

317

40.5%

3

Not very satisfied

# q6: 6.Ho	w satisfied a	re you with Ma, Ying-jeou perform	nance of national d	efense?	
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
4	Not satisfie	ed at all	232	29.	6%
Varning: these fig	gures indicate the nur	nber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interp	reted as summary statistics of the	population of interest.	
q7: 7.Ho	w satisfied a	re you with Ma, Ying-jeou perform	nance in promoting	g economic development?	
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range	e= 1-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NV	V/ W]	[Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]			
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	Very satisf			2.9%	
2	Satisfied		92	11.7%	
3	Not very sa	atisfied	267	34.1%)
1	Not satisfie	ed at all	401		51.2
Varning: these fi	gures indicate the nur	nber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interp	reted as summary statistics of the	e population of interest.	
q8: 8.Ho	w satisfied an	re you with Ma, Ying-jeou perform	nance in handling (the livelihood issues?	
Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range=		e= 1-4] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]					
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	Very satisf	ied	13	1.7%	
2	Satisfied		76	9.7%	
3	Not very sa	atisfied	273		
4	Not satisfie	ed at all	421		53.8
Varning: these fig	gures indicate the nur	nber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interp	reted as summary statistics of the	e population of interest.	
† q9: 9.Ho	w satisfied a	re you with Ma, Ying-jeou's gover	nment in handling	the food safety management?	•
nformation		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range	e= 1-94] [Missing=*]		
tatistics [NV	W/ W]	[Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]			
Value	Label	·	Cases	Percentage	
1	Very satisf	ïed	6	0.8%	
2	Satisfied		42	5.4%	
3	Not very sa	atisfied	170	21.7%	
4	Not satisfie	ed at all	430		54.9
94	Nothing to	do with President Ma Ying-jeou	135	17.2%	
q10: 10.I	n personal n	nber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interp norality, if 0 means that he has bac ng-jeou using a 0-to-10 scale?			norality, h
nformation	11	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range	= 1-11] [Missing-*]		
Statistics [NV	V/ W1	[Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]			
-	_		0	D	
Value	Label 0 has bad morality		Cases	Percentage	12 40/
2		noranty	97	8.0%	12.4%
2 3	1 2		63 39	5.0%	
4	3		61	7.8%	
4 5	4		30	3.8%	
5	5		93		1.9%
5			02	1	

q10: 10.In personal morality, if 0 means that he has bad personal morality, 10 means that he has good morality, how would you rate Ma, Ying-jeou using a 0-to-10 scale?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage		
7	6	59	7.5%		
8	7	92	11.7%		
9	8	122	15.6%		
10	9	75	9.6%		
11	10 has good morality	52	6.6%		
Warning: these fig	Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				

q11: 11.In leadership, if 0 means that he has poor leadership, 10 means he has good leadership, how would you rate Ma, Ying-jeou using a 0-to-10 scale?

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [R	ange= 1-11] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]					
Value	Label		Cases	1	Percentage
1	0 has poor	leadership	185		23.6%
2	1		124		15.8%
3	2		93		11.9%
4	3		91		11.6%
5	4		59	7.5%	
6	5		106		13.5%
7	6		64	8.2%	
8	7		29	3.7%	
9	8		23	2.9%	
10	9		4	0.5%	
11	10 has goo	d leadership	5	0.6%	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q12: 12.Regard your trust toward President Ma, Ying-jeou, if 0 means that you have a deep distrust of him, 10 means that you have a high trust of him, how would you rate Ma, Ying-jeou using a 0-to-10 scale?

Information	1	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Ra	nge= 1-11] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		[Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]			
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	0 have a de	ep distrust	189		24.1%
2	1		90	11.5%	
3	2		67	8.6%	
4	3		69	8.8%	
5	4		33	4.2%	
6	5		104	13.3%	
7	6		48	6.1%	
8	7		56	7.2%	
9	8		58	7.4%	
10	9		35	4.5%	
11	10 have a h	igh trust	34	4.3%	
Warning: these f	figures indicate the nun	nber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be in	terpreted as summary statistics of the popu	ulation of interest.	

q13: 13.In human resource allocation, if 0 means that he employs persons irrelevantly, 10 means that he employs persons relevantly, how would you rate Ma, Ying-jeou using a 0-to-10 scale?

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Ra	unge= 1-11] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]						
Value	Label		Cases	Р	ercentage	
1	0 employs	persons irrelevantly	187			23.9%
2	1		120		15.3%	
3	2		103		13.2%	
4	3		95		12.1%	
5	4		63	8.0%		
6	5		104		13.3%	
7	6		54	6.9%		
8	7		29	3.7%		
9	8		22	2.8%		
10	9		2	0.3%		
11	10 employs	s persons relevantly	4	0.5%		

q14: 14. The leaders of the two sides of Taiwan Strait met in Singapore in November last year. General speaking, do you think this meeting had either a positive or negative impact on the future development of Taiwan?

Information[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]					
Statistics [NW/W] [Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]					
Value	Label	Label		Percentage	
1	A positive impact		366		46.7%
2 A negative impact		242	30.9%		
3 No impact		175	22.3%		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missi			ng=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]					
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	Very satisf	ied	13	1.7%	
2	Satisfied		161	20.6%	
3	Not very satisfied		432		55.2%
4	Not satisfied at all		177	22.6%	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q16: 16.Are you satisfied with the performance of Eric Chu when he was the chairman of KMT?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	24	3.1%
2	Satisfied	125	16.0%
3	Not very satisfied	345	44.1%
4	Not satisfied at all	289	36.9%

q16: 16.Are you satisfied with the performance of Eric Chu when he was the chairman of KMT?

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q17: 17. Are you satisfied with the performance of Tsai, Ing-wen when she was the chairman of DPP?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]					
Statistics [NW/W] [Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]					
Value	Label	Label		Percentage	
1	Very satisf	Very satisfied		10.1%	
2	Satisfied		322	41.1%	
3	Not very satisfied		268	34.2%	
4	Not satisfied at all		114	14.6%	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q18: 18. Would you say that over the past six months, the state of economy of Taiwan has gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse?

Information[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]				
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]				
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage
1	Better	Better		2.8%
2	About the same		329	42.0%
3 Worse		432	55.2%	
Warning: these figu	ures indicate the nun	nber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary	statistics of the	e population of interest.

q19: 19.Would you say that in the next six months, the state of the economy of Taiwan will get better, stay about the same, or get worse?

Information[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]					
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]					
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	Better	Better		6.8%	
2	2 About the same		368		47.0%
3	Worse		362		46.2%
Warning: these fig	ures indicate the nun	nber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary	statistics of the	population of interest.	

q20: 20.Please tell me where your household is registered?

	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2-68] [Missing=*]				
// W]	valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]				
Label		Cases	Percentage		
Yi Lan Cou	inty	26	3.3%		
Hsinchu Co	bunty	18	2.3%		
Miao Li County		9	1.1%		
Changhua (County	26	3.3%		
Nantou Cor	unty	10	1.3%		
Yunlin Cou	inty	18	2.3%		
Chiayi County		9	1.1%		
Pingtung C	ounty	11	1.4%		
Taitung Co	unty	1	0.1%		
Hualien Co	ounty	7	0.9%		
7	Label Yi Lan Cou Hsinchu Co Miao Li Co Changhua G Nantou Cou Yunlin Cou Chiayi Cou Pingtung C Taitung Cou	Image: Constraint of the second of the se	LabelCasesYi Lan County26Hsinchu County18Miao Li County9Changhua County26Nantou County10Yunlin County18Chiayi County9Pingtung County11Taitung County1		

Value	Label	Cases	P	ercentage	
16	Peng Hu County	1	0.1%		
17	Keelung City	11	1.4%		
18	Hsinchu City	10	1.3%		
20	Chiayi City	6	0.8%		
28	Kinmen County	1	0.1%		
63	Taipei City	164			20.9%
64	Kaoshiung City	86		11.0%	
65	New Taipei City	133		17.0	%
66	Taichung City	100		12.8%	
67	Tainan City	70	8.9	9%	
68	Taoyuan City	66	8.4	%	

q21: 21.President Ma Ying-jeou will retire on May next year. Generally speaking, how satisfied are you with his overall performance as president for these seven years?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missin			ng=*]	
Statistics [NW/	W]	[Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value Label			Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisf	Very satisfied		2.2%
2	Satisfied	Satisfied		16.0%
3	Not very satisfied		286	36.5%
4 Not satisfied at all		355	45.3%	
Warning: these figure	es indicate the nur	nber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary	statistics of the	population of interest.

q22: 22.In 2012 Presidential Election, who did you vote for?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-94] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

		[
Value	Label		Cases		Percentage	
1	Ma, Ying-j	eou and Wu, Den-yih	361			46.1%
2	Tsai, Ing-w	ven and Su, Jia-chyuan	226		28.9%	
3	James Soon	ng and Lin, Ruey Shiung	33	4.2%		
89	Didn't pick	up the ballot	0			
91	Forgot		0			
92	Not eligible	e to vote	32	4.1%		
93	Didn't vote		103		13.2%	
94	Cast an inv	alid vote	28	3.6%		
Warning: these fi	igures indicate the nur	nber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be in	nterpreted as summary statistics of the	population of inter	rest.	

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-24] [Missing=*]				
Statistics [NW/ V	W]	[Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]				
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
1	1		82	10.5%		
2	2		38	4.9%		

Value	Label		Cases	Percenta	ge
3	3		10	1.3%	
Ļ	4		1	0.1%	
5	5		3	0.4%	
5	6		1	0.1%	
7	7		0		
;	8		0		
)	9		1	0.1%	
0	10		1	0.1%	
1	11		0		
2	12		0		
3	13		1	0.1%	
4	14		1	0.1%	
5	15		0		
6	16		0		
7	17		0		
8	18		0		
9	19		0		
20	20		9	1.1%	
21	21		16	2.0%	
22	22		109	13.9%	
23	22		275	13.770	35.1%
24	23		235		30.0%
		umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be in		population of interest.	50.070
		do you usually get up? (24 hours			
nformation		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Ra	nge= 1-24] [Missing=*]		
tatistics [N	W/ W]	[Valid=783 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]			
Value	Label		Cases	Percenta	ge
	1		0		
2	2		1	0.1%	
	3		2	0.3%	
	4		11	1.4%	
i	5		45	5.7%	
5	6		232		29.6%
7	7		290		37.0%
3	8		132	16.9%	
)	9		33	4.2%	
0	10		22	2.8%	
1	11		6	0.8%	
	12		2	0.3%	
2			1	0.1%	
	13				
3			2	0.3%	
12 13 14 15	13 14 15		2	0.3%	

# q23a: 23.What time do you usually get up? (24 hours)					
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage		
17	17	1	0.1%		
18	18	0			
19	19	0			
20	20	0			
21	21	0			
22	22	1	0.1%		
23	23	0			
24	24	0			
Warning: these fi	Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.				

# id: identif	ied number	1									
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric	[Range= 25000-145997] [Missi	ng=*]							
Statistics [NW	// W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=	Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=82287.206 /-] [StdDev=37336.79 /-]								
# date: day											
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [I	Range= 151215-151229] [Missing	g=*]							
Statistics [NW	// W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=	=151220.578 /-] [StdDev=4.232 /	-]							
Value	Label	I	Cases	Perc	entage						
151215	20000		99		9.0%						
151216			71	6.5%							
151217			163		14.89						
151218			109		9.9%						
151219			90	:	8.2%						
151220			96		8.7%						
151221			105		9.5%						
151222			26	2.4%							
151223			67	6.1%							
151224			79	7.2	%						
151226			33	3.0%							
151227			34	3.1%							
151228			50	4.5%							
151229 Varning: these fig	ures indicate the num	nber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be	78	7.19	%						
		iver of cases found in the data file. They cannot be	interpretea as summary statistics of the po	putation of interest.							
	arting time										
nformation		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric	-								
Statistics [NW	// W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=	=201658.739 /-] [StdDev=11080.	34 /-]							
tetime: end	ding time										
nformation		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric	[Range= 154342-221836] [Mis	sing=*]							
Statistics [NW	// W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=	=203441.505 /-] [StdDev=11239.	779 /-]							
†q1: 1.Whi	ich TV news	did you watch most often?									
nformation		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [I	Range= 1-96] [Missing=*]								
Statistics [NW	// W 1	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]									
Value	Label		Cases	Dona	entage						
1	Taiwan Tel	evision	32	2.9%	entage						
2	China Tele		62	2.9%							
3	Chinese Te		25	2.3%							
4	Formasa Te		115	2.070	10.5%						
5	TVBS		171		15.5						
5	Sanlih E-Te	elevision	116		10.5%						
7	Eastern Tel		82	7.59							
8	CTi Televi		59	5.4%							
9	Era Televis		44	4.0%							
10											

# q1: 1.W	nich TV news did you watch most often	1?				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
11	Unique Satellite TV	11	1.0%			
12	Da-Ai Television	9	0.8%			
13	Taiwan Public Television	17	1.5%			
14	Hakka TV	0				
15	Indigenous Television	0				
16	Next TV	61	5.5	%		
17	Good News	0				
18	Global News	1	0.1%			
19	CNN	1	0.1%			
20	NHK	0				
21	BBC	1	0.1%			
22	Local Television	0				
23	UDN Television	1	0.1%			
24	FOX	1	0.1%			
92	Don't watch	164		14.9%		
95	Refuse to answer	0				
96	It's hard to say	126		11.5%		
Warning: these f	gures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They ca	nnot be interpreted as summary statistics of the	population of interest.			

q2: 2.Generally, would you say that you are very, somewhat, not very or not at all interested in politics?

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Miss	sing=*]					
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]						
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage				
1	Not interes	ted at all	232	21.1%				
2	Not very in	terested	469	42.6%				
3	Somewhat	interested	294	26.7%				
4	Very intere	sted	62	5.6%				
95	Refuse to a	nswer	1	0.1%				
96	It depends		14	1.3%				
97	No opinion		10	0.9%				
98	Don't know	,	18	1.6%				
Warning: these figu	res indicate the nun	nber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary	statistics of the	population of interest.				

q3: 3.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou overall performance as president over the past six months?

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9	8] [Missing=*]				
Statistics [NV	W/ W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]					
Value Label			Cases	Percentage		ge	
1	Very satisfi	Very satisfied		3.6%			
2	Satisfied		166		15.1%		
3	Not very sa	tisfied	315			28.6%	
4	Not satisfie	d at all	442				40.2%
95	Refuse to a	nswer	13	1.2%			
96	It's hard to	say	18	1.6%			
97	No opinion		47	4.3%			

Value Label Cases Percentage 98 Dort: two sets faural is the data file. Targe cannots is interpreted as samely instances of harmances of har	ths?
Warning: the classes is units of classes from a later data file. They cannot be interpreted as same ary statistic of the presentarial relations? Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range=1-98] [Missing=+] Statistics [NW/V] [Valid=1100 / 1] [Invalid=0 / 1] Value Labe Case Percentage 1 Very statistic de 1100 / 1] [Invalid=0 / 2] 253 200 2 Satisfied 253 200 23.4 3 Not very statisted 253 200 23.4 4 Not satisfied 32.8 200 <td< th=""><th></th></td<>	
" view with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in bardling be Cross-strait relations? Information Type= discrete [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*] Statistics (NW V) (Valid=1100 /] [Invalid=0 /] Value Label Cases Percentage 1 Very satisfied 257 2.5% 2.3.0 2 Satisfied 253 2.3.0 3 Not very satisfied 238 2.3.0 95 Refuse to answer 7 0.6% 2.3.0 96 If shard to say 7 0.6% 2.3.0 97 No statisfied at all 328	
Information [Type=discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range=1-98] [Missing=*] Statistics [NW/W] [Valid=1100/-] [Invalid=0/-] Value Label Cases Percentage 1 Very satisfied 105 9.5% 2 Satisfied 257 23.4 3 Not very satisfied 253 23.0 4 Not sery satisfied 253 23.0 4 Not very satisfied 253 23.0 95 Refuse to asseer 7 0.6% 96 It's hard to say 12 1.1% 97 No opinion 94 8.5% 98 Don't know 94 8.5% 98 Don't know 94 8.5% 97 No opinion 94 8.5% 98 Don't know 94 8.5% 98 Don't know 94 8.5% 97 No opinion 12 1.1% 98 Don't know 130 9.5% 93 Satisfied 201 1.0% 94 4.0% <t< td=""><td></td></t<>	
Statistics [NW/V] [Valid=1100/-] [Invalid=0/-] Value Label Cases Percentage 1 Very satisfied 105 9.5% 2 Satisfied 257 23.4 3 Not very satisfied 253 23.0 4 Not very satisfied 253 23.0 4 Not very satisfied 253 23.0 4 Not very satisfied 328 328 328 95 Refuse to asswer 7 0.6% 66 14 shard to say 11 1.1% 97 No opinion 44 4.0% 8.5% 88 85% Warner decer ferer- indicate the number of care farer function far data file. They cannot be interpretor as rammary satistics of the pupulation of interst. 8 98 Don't know 94 8.5% 8 Warner decer ferer- indicate the number of care farer function far data file. They cannot be interpretor as rammary satistics of the pupulation of interst. Percentage 110 Very satisfied 261 9.8% 9.8% 2 Satisfied 261 1.12% 1.12% 3	
ValueLabelCasesPercentage1Very satisfied1059.5%2Satisfied2572.3.43Not very satisfied2532.3.04Not satisfied at all3289.5%95Refuse to answer70.6%96It's hard to saw121.1%97No optimore948.5%98Don't know948.5%98Don't know948.5%98Don't know948.5%98Don't know948.5%98Don't know948.5%98Don't know948.5%98Don't know948.5%99Sisteriet influet the number of cases fund in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistic of the purpose.9698Don't know948.5%99Type=discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range=1-98] [Missig=*]9590Katisfied at all2019.8%2Satisfied at all2729.8%2Satisfied at all2770.6%90Not very satisfied at all2770.6%91Not satisfied at all2771.1%95Refuse to answer70.6%96It's hard to sat121.12%97No opinio121.2%98Don't know121.12%99Don't know121.12%99Don't know1	
1 Very satisfied 105 9.5% 2 Satisfied 257 2.3.4 3 Not very satisfied 253 2.3.0 4 Not satisfied at all 328 32.0 95 Refuse to answer 7 0.6% 96 1t's hard to say 12 1.1% 97 No opiniow 94 8.5% Warnize: the flagser inflacts the surver of cases famal in the data file. They cannot be integreted as summary statistics of the yestidion of interest. Warnize: the flagser inflacts the surver of cases famal in the data file. They cannot be integreted as summary statistics of the yestidion of interest. Warnize: the flagser inflacts the surver of cases famal in the data file. They cannot be integreted as summary statistics of the yestidion of interest. Warnize: the flagser inflacts the surver of cases famal in the data file. They cannot be integreted as summary statistics of the yestidion of interest. Value If ype= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range=1-98] [Missig=*] Statistics [NW/ > Very satistics 10 Very satistics 11 Very satistics 12 Very satistics 13 Not very satistics 14 3.7% 15 Not very satistics 16 Not very satistics 17 0.6% 18	
2 Satisfied 257 23.4 3 Not very satisfied 253 23.0 4 Not satisfied at all 328 32.0 95 Refuse to answer 7 0.6% 96 If shard to say 12 1.1% 97 No opinion 44 4.0% 98 Don't know 94 8.5% Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as nummery statistics of the portalion of interest. ***********************************	
3 Not very satisfied 253 23.0 4 Not satisfied at all 328 95 Refuse to answer 7 0.6% 96 It's hard to say 12 1.1% 97 No opinio 44 4.0% 98 On't know 94 8.5% Warning: these faure to ranke of eases found in the data file. They commot he interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. # q5: SLIOW suffice 94 8.5% Warning: these faure to cause found in the data file. They commot he interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. # q5: SLIOW suffice 94 8.5% Warning: these faure to cause found in the data file. They commot he interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. # q5: SLIOW suffice 108 9.8% Statistics (NW /- Very satisfied 261 100 /- 1 [Invalid=0 /-1 Value 21 1.0% 95 Refuse to answer 7 0.6% 96 Refuse to answer 11 1.0% 97 0.6% 98 Set 90 11 1.0% 97 <t< td=""><td></td></t<>	
4Not satisfied32895Refuse to answer70.6%96If's hard to any to opinio121.1%97No opinio444.0%98Don't know948.5%With the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistic of interest.# qs: S.HOW Summary to any to be interpreted as summary statistic of interest.With Ma, Ying-jeou performance in June data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistic of interest.With Ma, Ying-jeou performance in June data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistic of interest.Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missurget]Statistics (NW V)(Valid=1100/-] [Invalid=0/-]ValueLangetOne to summary statistic of interest.98One to summary statistic of a summary statistic of interest.98No expansion of interest.98One to summary statistic of a summary statistic of interest.98One to summary statistic of interest.98One t	23.4%
95Refuse to It's hard to It's hard to It's hard to It's hard to It's hard to	3.0%
96If's hard \lor 121.1%97No opinion444.0%98On't know948.5%Warning: these figures while at the mean of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. 4 (5 5.10w subsectional in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.4 (5 5.10w subsectional in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.4 (5 5.10w subsectional in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.(7 bin Commandom subsectional in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.(10 kmodel subsectional in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.(10 kmodel subsection in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.(10 kmodel subsection in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.(21 kmodel subsection in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.(32 kmodel subsection in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.(34 kmodel subsection in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.(35 kmodel subsection in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.(36 kmodel subsection in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.<	29
97 No opinion 44 4.0% 98 Don't know 94 8.5% Warning: these Figures	
98 On't know 94 8.5% Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. # q5: 5.How subscripted is suppreted in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. # q5: 5.How subscripted is suppreted in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. * table [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missup=*] * table [Valid=1100/-] [Invalid=0/-] * Value Label Cases Percentage 1 Very satisfied 261 9.8% 2 Satisfied 261 0.6% 4 Not statisfied at all 277 0.6% 96 It's hard to survers 11 1.0% 97 No opinio	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missrets] Statistics [NW/W] (Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missrets] Value Cases Percentage 100 /-1 [Invalid=0 /-1] Value Cases Percentage 100 /-1 [Invalid=0 /-1] 1008 9.8% 2002 Satistics (NW/W] Cases Percentage 1008 Value Cases Percentage 2002 Satistics (NW/W] 1008 9.8% 2002 Satistics (NW/W] 200 Satistics (NW/W] 10 0.6% Satistics (NW/W] 10 0.6% Cases Percentage 2001' know 2123 11.2% Satistics (NW/W] (Type= discrete) [Form	
** q 5: 5.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in djemacy ?* Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*] Statistics [NW/W] (Valid=1100/-] [Invalid=0/-] Value Label Cases Percentage 10 Very satisfied 108 9.8% 202 Satisfied 108 9.8% 202 Satisfied 202 Satisfied 202 Satisfied 202 Satisfied 202 Satisfied 202 3 Not very satisfied 206	
InformationType=discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missure=*]Statistics [NW/V/V(Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]ValueCasesPercentage1089.8%2Satisfied2129.8%2Satisfied2129.8%3Not very satisfied2619.8%4Not satisfied2770.6%9Refuse to arrow and a fail2770.6%9Refuse to arrow and a fail111.0%9No opini413.7%9Not not satisfied to arrow and a fail. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the possible of interest.9Not 'ntow12311.2%9Non't now12311.2%Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [MissureType= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Mis	
2Satisfied2723Not very satisfied2614Not satisfied at all27795Refuse to answer70.6%96It's hard to say111.0%97No opinion413.7%98Don't know12311.2%Warning: these figures to the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the position of interest. 41 3.7% 96 Don't know12311.2% 11 1.0% 97 Ket et all the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the position of interest. 98 Don't know12311.2% 97 Statistice (Hormat=numeric) [Range=1-98] [Missing=*] Statistics [NW [Type=discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range=1-98] [Missing=*] Statistics [NW Cases Percentage 1Very satisfied433.9%2Satisfied19717.9%	
3Not very satisfied2614Not satisfied at all27795Refuse to answer70.6%96I's hard to answer111.0%97No opinore413.7%98Don't know12311.2%Warring: these figures to the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the rest.# qf: 6.How summary statistics of the grant of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the rest.# qf: 6.How summary statistics of the grant of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the rest.# qf: 6.How suffer at all (I on the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the rest.InformationIf ype= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range=1-98] [Missig=*]Statistics [NW/W]CasesPercentage1Asis information (I on file on f	
4 ANot satisfied at all27795Refuse to answer70.6%96It's hard to assee111.0%97No opinior413.7%98Don't know12311.2%Warning: these figures to the total file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of interest.# Get 6.How states the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of interest.# Get 6.How states the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of interest.# Get 6.How states the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of interest.# Get 6.How states the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of interest.# InformationIf ype= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range=1-98] [Misser]Statistics [NW/ J: [Vigi=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]ValueLabelCasesPercentage1Asisfied :: [Vigi=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]2Statistics [NW/ J: [Nigi=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]1Asisfied :: [Vigi=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]1Asisfied :: [Vigi=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]1Asisfied :: [Vigi=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]21Asisfied :: [Vigi=1100 /-] <td>24.7</td>	24.7
95Refuse to answer70.6%961's hard to say111.0%97No opinion413.7%98Don't know12311.2%Warning: these figure subce to the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary subces of the rest. Foto Subce figure subce to the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary subces of the rest.Foto Subce figure subce to the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary subces of the rest.Foto Subce figure subce figure subce to the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary subces of the rest.Foto Subce figure subce figure subces figure subces of the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary subces of the rest.Foto Subce figure subces figure subces figure subces of the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary subces of the rest.Foto Subce figure subces of the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary subces of the rest. Foto Subce figure subces of the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary subces of the rest. Foto Subce subces of the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary subces of the rest. Foto Subce subces of the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary subces of the rest. Foto Subce subces of the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary subces of the rest. Foto Subce subces of the data file. They cannot be interpreted as subces of the rest. Subce subces of the rest.Subce subces of the rest.Subce subces of the rest	23.7%
96It's hard to say111.0%97No opinior413.7%98Don't know12311.2%Warning: these figures interast of the atta file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the resultion of interest.# q6: 6.How sufficed are two units of asses found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the resultion of interest.# q6: 6.How sufficed are two units of asses found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the resultion of interest.# q6: 6.How sufficed are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in attional defermeImport 100 with the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the reselImport 100 with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in attional defermeStatistics [NW/W][Valid=1100/-] [Invalid=0/-]ValueLabelCasesPercentage1Very satisfied with the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the point of interest.Value[Valid=1100/-] [Invalid=0/-]ValueCasesPercentage10Very satisfied with the data file. They cannot be interpreted as the point of interest.ValueLabelCasesPercentage10Very satisfied with the data file. They colspan="3">10 <td< td=""><td>25.</td></td<>	25.
97No opinior413.7%98Don't know12311.2%Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the pulation of interest.# q6: 6.How sufficed as the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the pulation of interest.Information[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]Statistics [NW/ WValueCasesPercentage1Very satisfied2Satisfied433.9%	
98 Don't know 123 11.2% 98 98 Don't know Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. # q6: 6.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in national defense? Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*] Statistics [NW/W] Value Label Cases Percentage 1 Very satisfied 43 3.9% 2 Satisfied 197 17.9%	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest. # q6: 6.How satisfied ar you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in national defense? Information IType= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*] Statistics [NW/W] Value Cases Percentage 1 Very satisfied 43 3.9% 2 Satisfied Very satisfied 197 17.9%	
# q6: 6.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in national defense? Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*] Statistics [NW/W] [Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] Value Label Cases Percentage 1 Very satisfied 43 3.9% 2 Satisfied 197 17.9%	
Information [Type=discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range=1-98] [Missing=*] Statistics [NW/W] [Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] Value Label Cases Percentage 1 Very satisfied 43 3.9% 2 Satisfied 197 17.9%	
Statistics [NW/W] [Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] Value Label Cases Percentage 1 Very satisfied 43 3.9% 2 Satisfied 197 17.9%	
ValueLabelCasesPercentage1Very satisfied433.9%2Satisfied19717.9%	
1 Very satisfied 43 3.9% 2 Satisfied 197 17.9%	
2 Satisfied 197 17.9%	
3 Not very satisfied 32/	20
	29.
	24.3%
	29

Information	ı	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Miss	sing=*]				
Statistics [NW/W] [Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]							
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage			
1	Very satisf	ied	43	3.9%			
2	Satisfied		197	17.9%			
3	Not very sa	tisfied	327	29.7%			
4	Not satisfie	d at all	267	24.3%			
95	Refuse to a	nswer	6	0.5%			
96	It's hard to	say	12	1.1%			
97	No opinion		60	5.5%			
98	Don't know	,	188	17.1%			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.							

opment?
anment?
spinent.

Information] [Format=nun	neric] [Rang	e= 1-98] [N	fissing=*]						
Statistics [N	Statistics [NW/W] [Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]										
Value	Label			Cases				Percentage			
1	Very satisf	ied				25	2.3%				
2	Satisfied					138		12.5%			
3	Not very sa	tisfied				355			32.3%		
4	Not satisfie	ed at all				491				44.6%	
95	Refuse to a	nswer				5	0.5%				
96	It's hard to	say				10	0.9%				
97	No opinion					17	1.5%				
98	Don't know	,				59	5.4%				

q8: 8. How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in handling the livelihood issues?

401 001101	, suisiicu ui	e you with with and Jeou performance m	indiana g		
Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [M			issing=*]		
Statistics [NV	V/ W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]			
Value	Label		Cases	Perce	ntage
1	Very satisf	ied	31	2.8%	
2	Satisfied		110	10.0%	
3	Not very sa	ntisfied	387		35.2%
4	Not satisfie	ed at all	485		44.1%
95	Refuse to a	nswer	3	0.3%	
96	It's hard to	say	13	1.2%	
97	No opinion	L Contraction of the second	21	1.9%	
98	Don't know	1	50	4.5%	
Warning: these fig	ures indicate the num	nber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summa	ry statistics of the	population of interest.	

q9: 9. How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in handling the food safety management?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Statistics [NW/W]		[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]						
Value	Label		Cases		Percentage			
1	Very satisf	ed	22	2.0%				
2	Satisfied		75	6.8%				
3	Not very sa	Not very satisfied			25.5%			
4	Not satisfie	Not satisfied at all				58.4%		
94	Nothing to	do with President Ma Ying-jeou	23	2.1%				
95	Refuse to a	nswer	5	0.5%				
96	It's hard to	say	9	0.8%				
97	No opinion	No opinion		1.0%				
98	Don't know	,	33	3.0%				
Warning: these fi	Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.							

q10: 10.In personal morality, if 0 means that he has bad personal morality, 10 means that he has good morality, how would you rate Ma, Ying-jeou using a 0-to-10 scale?

Information

[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]

q10: 10.In personal morality, if 0 means that he has bad personal morality, 10 means that he has good morality, how would you rate Ma, Ying-jeou using a 0-to-10 scale?

Statistics [N	W/ W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]							
Value	Label			Cases	Percentage				
0	0 has bad r	norality		136				12.4%	
1				35	3.2%				
2				40	3.6%	%			
3				79		7.2	2%		
4				43	3.9	%			
5				213					19.4%
6				117			10.6	%	
7				97			8.8%		
8				148				13.5%	
9				57	4	5.2%			
10	10 has goo	d morality		67		6.1%			
95	Refuse to a	answer		11	1.0%				
98	Don't know	v		57	4	5.2%			
Warning: these f	igures indicate the nur	mber of cases found in the data file. They	cannot be interpreted as summary s	tatistics of the	population of intere	est.			

q11: 11.In leadership, if 0 means that he has poor leadership, 10 means that he has good leadership, how would you rate Ma, Ying-jeou using a 0-to-10 scale?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [R	ange= 0-98] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [N	W/ W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]				
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
0	0 has poor	leadership	191		17.4%	
1			61	5.5%		
2			74	6.7%		
3			140	12.	.7%	
4			95	8.6%		
5			262		23.8%	
6			101	9.2%		
7			52	4.7%		
8			46	4.2%		
9			11	1.0%		
10	10 has goo	d leadership	14	1.3%		
95	Refuse to a	answer	6	0.5%		
98	Don't knov	v	47	4.3%		
Warning: these j	figures indicate the nur	mber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be i	nterpreted as summary statistics of the	population of interest.		

q12: 12.Regard your trust toward President Ma, Ying-jeou, if 0 means that you have a deep distrust of him, 10 means that you have a high trust of him, how would you rate Ma, Ying-jeou using a 0-to-10 scale?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]					
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]					
Value	Label			Percentage	
0	0 have a de	0 have a deep distrust			22.5%
1			49	4.5%	

q12: 12.Regard your trust toward President Ma, Ying-jeou, if 0 means that you have a deep distrust of him, 10 means that you have a high trust of him, how would you rate Ma, Ying-jeou using a 0-to-10 scale?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage		
2		66	6.0%		
3		93	8.5%		
4		77	7.0%		
5		211	19.2%		
6		88	8.0%		
7		76	6.9%		
8		67	6.1%		
9		27	2.5%		
10	10 have a high trust	47	4.3%		
95	Refuse to answer	6	0.5%		
98	Don't know	45	4.1%		

q13: 13.In human resource allocation, if 0 means that he employs persons irrelevantly, 10 means that he employs persons relevantly, how would you rate Ma, Ying-jeou using a 0-to-10 scale?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Mis	sing=*]		
Statistics [N	[W/ W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]			
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
0	0 employs	persons irrelevantly	222		20.2%
1			72	6.5%	
2			95	8.6%	
3			136	12.4%	
4			86	7.8%	
5			235		21.4%
6			89	8.1%	
7			46	4.2%	
8			25	2.3%	
9			12	1.1%	
10	10 employs	s persons relevantly	12	1.1%	
95	Refuse to a	nswer	4	0.4%	
98	Don't know		66	6.0%	
Warning: these	figures indicate the num	nber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary	statistics of the	population of interest.	

q14: 14. The leaders of the two sides of Taiwan Strait met in Singapore in November last year. General speaking, do you think this meeting had either a positive or negative impact on the future development of Taiwan?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Mis			ing=*]			
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]				
Value Label			Cases	ases Percentage		
1	A positive i	A positive impact				44.4%
2	A negative	A negative impact			28.5%	
3	No impact	No impact		8.6%		
95	Refuse to a	Refuse to answer		1.3%		
96	It's hard to	say	27	2.5%		

q14: 14. The leaders of the two sides of Taiwan Strait met in Singapore in November last year. General speaking, do you think this meeting had either a positive or negative impact on the future development of Taiwan?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage			
97	No opinion	9	0.8%			
98	Don't know	153	13.9%			
Warning: these figures	Varning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.					

q15: 15. Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Mao Chi-kuo as premier over the last six months?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage				
1	Very satisfied	21	1.9%				
2	Satisfied	194	17.6%				
3	Not very satisfied	362	32.9%				
4	Not satisfied at all	214	19.5%				
95	Refuse to answer	7	0.6%				
96	It's hard to say	16	1.5%				
97	No opinion	55	5.0%				
98	Don't know	231	21.0%				
Warning: these figure	Varning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.						

q16: 16.Are you satisfied with the performance of Eric Chu when he was the chairman of KMT?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]			ing=*]			
Statistics [NW/	Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]					
Value Label		Cases	Percenta	ge		
1	Very satisf	Very satisfied		4.9%		
2	Satisfied	Satisfied			21.3%	
3	Not very sa	Not very satisfied			29.8%	
4	Not satisfie	d at all	275		25.0%	
95	Refuse to a	nswer	15	1.4%		
96	It's hard to	It's hard to say		1.3%		
97	No opinion	No opinion		4.3%		
98	Don't know		133	12.1%		
Warning: these figure	Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.					

q17: 17. Are you satisfied with the performance of Tsai, Ing-wen when she was the chairman of DPP?

Information[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]						
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]						
Value Label		Cases		Percentage		
1	Very satisf	Very satisfied		13	5.5%	
2	Satisfied	Satisfied				37.4%
3	Not very sa	tisfied	179		16.3%	
4	Not satisfie	d at all	87	7.9%		
95	Refuse to a	Refuse to answer		1.5%		
96	It's hard to	It's hard to say		1.1%		
97	No opinion		69	6.3%		

q17: 17. Are you satisfied with the performance of Tsai, Ing-wen when she was the chairman of DPP?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Don't know	177	16.1%
Warning: these figures	indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary	statistics of the p	population of interest.

q18: 18. Would you say that over the past six months, the state of economy of Taiwan has gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Mis			sing=*]					
Statistics [NW/W] [Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]								
Value Label			Cases	ses Percentage				
1	Better	Better		2.6%				
2	About the s	same	409		37.2%			
3	Worse		635			57.7%		
95	Refuse to a	nswer	1	0.1%				
96	It depends		1	0.1%				
97	No opinion	No opinion		0.1%				
98	Don't know	Don't know		2.2%				
Warning: these fi	igures indicate the num	Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.						

q19: 19.Would you say that in the next six months, the state of the economy of Taiwan will get better, stay about the same, or get worse?

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]					
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]					
Value	Label	_abel		Perce	entage		
1	Better		77	7.0%			
2	About the s	same	500		45.5%		
3	Worse		398		36.2%		
95	Refuse to a	nswer	1	0.1%			
96	It depends		27	2.5%			
97	No opinion		1	0.1%			
98	Don't know	,	96	8.7%			
Warning: these fi	igures indicate the nur	nber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary	statistics of the	population of interest.			

q20: 20.Concerning the relationship between Taiwan and mainland China, which of the following six positions do you agree with?

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]					
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]					
Value	Label	Label		Percentage			
1	Immediate	Immediate unification		1.6%			
2	Immediate	Immediate independence		3.5%			
3	Maintain th	Maintain the status quo, move toward unification in the futu		9.4%			
4	Maintain th	Maintain the status quo, move toward independence in the fut		16.0%			
5	Maintain th	Maintain the status quo, decide either unification or indepe			33.7%		
6	Maintain th	Maintain the status quo forever			29.2%		
7	Is already a	an independent country	3	0.3%			
95	Refuse to a	nswer	11	1.0%			
96	It's hard to	say	10	0.9%			

q20: 20.Concerning the relationship between Taiwan and mainland China, which of the following six positions do you agree with?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No opinion	15	1.4%
98	Don't know	34	3.1%
Warning: these figures	indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary	statistics of the	population of interest.

q21: 21.Among all political parties in our country, which party do you think of yourself as leaning toward?

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Mi	ssing=*]		
Statistics [N	W/ W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]			
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	KMT [con	tinue to 21a]	256		23.3%
2	DPP [cont	inue to 21a]	290		26.4%
3	NP [contin	ue to 21a]	9	0.8%	
4	PFP [conti	nue to 21a]	37	3.4%	
5	TSU [cont	inue to 21a]	6	0.5%	
6	All suppor	t [continue to 21b]	6	0.5%	
7	All don't s	upport [continue to 21b]	304		27.6%
12	DPP and P	FP [continue to 21b]	1	0.1%	
19	Vote for ca	andidate not for his/her party [continue to 21b]	16	1.5%	
20	Green Part	y [continue to 21a]	5	0.5%	
21	New Powe	er Party [continue to 21a]	26	2.4%	
22	Minkuotan	g [continue to 21a]	2	0.2%	
23	Social Der	nocratic Party [continue to 21a]	0		
24	Tree Party	[continue to 21a]	0		
28	Non-Partis	an Solidarity Union [continue to 21a]	1	0.1%	
29	Unionist P	arty [continue to 21a]	1	0.1%	
30	Faith And	Hope League [continue to 21a]	2	0.2%	
31	Green Part	y Taiwan & Social Democratic Party Alliance [conti	1	0.1%	
95	Refuse to a	answer [continue to 21b]	29	2.6%	
96	It depends	[continue to 21b]	43	3.9%	
97	No opinion	n [continue to 21b]	37	3.4%	
98	Don't know	v [continue to 21b]	28	2.5%	
Warning: these f	igures indicate the nu	mber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summa	ry statistics of the	population of interest.	

q22: 21a.Do you lean very strongly or somewhat?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [M			e= 1-99] [Missing=*]				
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]							
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage			
1	Very strong	gly	228	20.7%			
2	Somewhat		408	37.1%			
99	Skipped		464	42.2%			
Warning: these f	igures indicate the nun	Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.					

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 3-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

	A11 D 1 / 1	1 * 1	1	4 1	y political party?
# 02.5	ZIN Kelativel	v sneaking d	o von legn	toward any	v nolifical nartv?
·· y 40.		y speaking, u	U you ican	tomatu any	pondical party.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	e
3	KMT	31	2.8%	
4	DPP	23	2.1%	
5	NP	1	0.1%	
6	PFP	4	0.4%	
7	TSU	0		
8	None	318	28.9%	
14	DPP and PFP	1	0.1%	
20	Opposititon Party	1	0.1%	
21	Vote for candidate not for his/her party	13	1.2%	
23	Green Party	0		
24	New Power Party	3	0.3%	
25	Minkuotang	0		
26	Social Democratic Party	1	0.1%	
27	Tree Party	0		
32	Faith And Hope League	0		
95	Refuse to answer	16	1.5%	
96	It depends	17	1.5%	
97	No opinion	21	1.9%	
98	Don't know	14	1.3%	
99	Skipped	636		57.8%

q24: 22.In Taiwan, some people think they are Taiwanese. There are also some people who think that they are Chinese. Do you consider yourself as Taiwanese, Chinese or both?

nformation		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [R	ange= 1-98] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [N	W/ W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]				
Value	Label		Cases	Pe	rcentage	
1	Taiwanese		656			59.6%
2	Both		364		33.1%	
3	Chinese		32	2.9%		
95	Refuse to a	inswer	5	0.5%		
96	It's hard to	say	9	0.8%		
97	No opinion	I.	11	1.0%		
98	Don't know		23	2.1%		

#q25: 23.Year of birth

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 14-95] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
14		1	0.1%
16		1	0.1%
17		1	0.1%
18		2	0.2%

# q25: 23.	Year of birth		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
20		1	0.1%
21		2	0.2%
22		3	0.3%
23		2	0.2%
24		6	0.5%
25		5	0.5%
26		3	0.3%
27		4	0.4%
28		1	0.1%
29		8	0.7%
30		7	0.6%
31		5	0.5%
32		4	0.4%
33		14	1.3%
34		7	0.6%
35		10	0.9%
36		13	1.2%
37		8	0.7%
38		17	1.5%
39		10	0.9%
40		22	2.0%
41		15	1.4%
42		15	1.4%
43		18	1.6%
44		18	1.6%
45		27	2.5%
46		23	2.1%
47		29	2.6%
48		24	2.2%
49		22	2.0%
50		48	4.4%
51		28	2.5%
52		30	2.7%
53		38	3.5%
54		33	3.0%
55		38	3.5%
56		28	2.5%
57		22	2.0%
58		21	1.9%
59		22	2.0%
60		22	2.5%
61		32	2.5%
62		28	2.5%
02		28	2.370

Value	Label		Cases	Percentage
63			25	2.3%
64			15	1.4%
65			20	1.8%
66			22	2.0%
67			20	1.8%
68			16	1.5%
69			21	1.9%
70			23	2.1%
71			15	1.4%
72			14	1.3%
73			14	1.3%
74			12	1.1%
75			15	1.4%
76			14	1.3%
77			9	0.8%
78			7	0.6%
79			19	1.7%
80			9	0.8%
81			17	1.5%
82			10	0.9%
83			9	0.8%
84			7	0.6%
95	Refuse to a	nswer	24	2.2%
Warning: these fi	gures indicate the nur	nber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted	l as summary statistics of the pop	ulation of interest.
[#] q26: 24.I	Education lev	rel		
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1	-95] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [N	W/ W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
		1	~	_

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage				
1	Illiterate	24	2.2%				
2	Primary school graduate	77	7.0%				
3	Junior high school graduate	96	8.7%				
4	High school or vocational school graduate	346		31.5%			
5	Technical college graduate	169	15.4%				
6	University graduate	292		26.5%			
7	Post-graduate education	91	8.3%				
95	Refuse to answer	5	0.5%				
Warning: these	figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary	statistics of the	population of interest.				
# q27: 25.	# q27: 25.Father's ethnic background						

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]				
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]				
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage
1	Taiwanese	Hakka	109	9.9%

# q27:	25.Father's	ethnic	background
--------	-------------	--------	------------

Value	Label	Cases	Percen	tage
2	Taiwanese Min-nan	809		73.5%
3	Mainlander	142	12.9%	
4	Aboriginal	7	0.6%	
5	Foreigner	0		
6	Recent Mainland immigrant	2	0.2%	
7	Recent Foreign immigrant	4	0.4%	
95	Refuse to answer	5	0.5%	
98	Don't know	22	2.0%	

q28: 26.Mother's ethnic background

" q20. 20.111	Juici S cuii	ne backgi ounu			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Miss	sing=*]		
Statistics [NW/	[W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]			
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	Taiwanese	Hakka	115	10.5%	
2	Taiwanese	Min-nan	872		79.3%
3	Mainlander	r	70	6.4%	
4	Aboriginal		12	1.1%	
5	Foreigner		0		
6	Recent Ma	inland immigrant	0		
7	Recent For	eign immigrant	4	0.4%	
95	Refuse to a	nswer	5	0.5%	
98	Don't know	7	22	2.0%	
Warning: these figur	es indicate the num	nber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary	statistics of the	population of interest.	

q29: 27.Main occupation of respondent

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range		= 101-995] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [N	W/ W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage
101	Legislators		1	0.1%
102	Governme	nt Administrators	5	0.5%
103	Chief Exec	cutives (Pub.)	12	1.1%
104	Chief Exec	eutives (Priv.)	108	9.8%
105	Directors h	ave employee	63	5.7%
106	Directors d	lon't have employee	39	3.5%
201	Science Pr	ofessionals (Pub.)	2	0.2%
202	Science Pr	ofessionals (Priv.)	2	0.2%
203	Health Ass	ociate Professionals (Pub.)	6	0.5%
204	Health Ass	ociate Professionals (Priv.)	10	0.9%
205	Accountan	ts	4	0.4%
206	Teachers (Pub.)	27	2.5%
207	Teachers (I	Priv.)	20	1.8%
208	Lawyers an	nd Legal Professionals (Pub.)	1	0.1%
209	Lawyers an	nd Legal Professionals (Priv.)	1	0.1%

q29: 27.Main occupation of respondent

# q29: 27.N	Main occupat	tion of respondent			
Value	Label		Cases		Percentage
210	Religion m	nan	1	0.1%	
211	Abstraction	nist	1	0.1%	
212	Writer (aut	thor, reporter, dramatist)	0		
213	Eng. Scien	ce Professionals (Pub.)	1	0.1%	
214	Eng. Scien	ce Professionals (Priv.)	8	0.7%	
215	Sportsman		0		
301	Office Cler	rks (Pub.)	22	2.0%	
302	Office Cler	rks (Priv.)	108		9.8%
303	Salesperso	ns	2	0.2%	
401	Personal S	ervices Workers (Priv.)	31	2.8%	
501	Agr., Anin	nal Husbandry, Forestry and Fishing Workers (Priv.) 29	2.6%	
601	Production	Laborers and Rel. Workers (Pub.)	23	2.1%	
602	Production	Laborers and Rel. Workers (Priv.)	198		18.0%
701	Students		20	1.8%	
801	Serviceme	n	6	0.5%	
901	Housekeep	bing, without domestic crafting [continue to 27]	a] 151		13.7%
902	Housekeep	bing, with domestic crafting [continue to 27a]	17	1.5%	
903	Housekeep	bing, helping familial business without pay [con	ntinu 10	0.9%	
904	Housekeep	oing, helping familial business with pay [contin	ue t 6	0.5%	
905	Unemploy	ment [skip to 27b]	28	2.5%	
906	Retired [sk	tip to 27b]	129		11.7%
907	Not workin	ng, but has income	0		
911	Haven't em	nployed	1	0.1%	
995	Refuse to a	answer	7	0.6%	
Warning: these fi	gures indicate the nur	mber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpret	ted as summary statistics of the	population of interest.	
# q30: 27a.	.Main occupa	ation of respondent's spouse			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range=	101-999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NV	W/ W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]			
Value	Label		Cases		Percentage
101	Legislators	3	0		
102	Governme	nt Administrators	2	0.2%	
103	Chief Exec	cutives (Pub.)	4	0.4%	
104	Chief Exec	cutives (Priv.)	28	2.5%	
105	Directors h	nave employee	6	0.5%	
106	Directors d	lon't have employee	9	0.8%	
201	Science Pr	ofessionals (Pub.)	0		
202	Science Pr	ofessionals (Priv.)	0		
203	Health Ass	sociate Professionals (Pub.)	0		
204	Health Ass	sociate Professionals (Priv.)	2	0.2%	
_~.		ts	0		
	Accountan				
205	Accountan Teachers (I		5	0.5%	
205 206 207		Pub.)	5 2	0.5% 0.2%	

209

210

Lawyers and Legal Professionals (Priv.)

Religion man

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
209	Lawyers and Legal Professionals (Priv.)	0	
210	Religion man	0	
211	Abstractionist	0	
212	Writer (author, reporter, dramatist)	0	
213	Eng. Science Professionals (Pub.)	0	
214	Eng. Science Professionals (Priv.)	1	0.1%
215	Sportsman	0	
301	Office Clerks (Pub.)	8	0.7%
302	Office Clerks (Priv.)	17	1.5%
303	Salespersons	1	0.1%
401	Personal Services Workers (Priv.)	3	0.3%
501	Agr., Animal Husbandry, Forestry and Fishing Workers (Priv.)	6	0.5%
501	Production Laborers and Rel. Workers (Pub.)	8	0.7%
602	Production Laborers and Rel. Workers (Priv.)	55	5.0%
701	Students	0	
801	Servicemen	7	0.6%
901	Widowed	9	0.8%
902	Divorced	5	0.5%
907	Not working, but has income	1	0.1%
911	Haven't employed	1	0.1%
995	Refuse to answer	4	0.4%
999	Skipped	916	83.39
Varning: these fi	gures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as sum	nary statistics of the	e population of interest.
q31: 27b	Main occupation of respondent before retirement or	ınemploym	ent
nformation	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 101-99	9] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [N	W/ W] [Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
101	Legislators	2	0.2%
102	Government Administrators	5	0.5%
103	Chief Executives (Pub.)	5	0.5%
104	Chief Executives (Priv.)	15	1.4%
105	Directors have employee	11	1.0%
106	Directors don't have employee	5	0.5%
201	Science Professionals (Pub.)	0	
202	Science Professionals (Priv.)	0	
203	Health Associate Professionals (Pub.)	1	0.1%
204	Health Associate Professionals (Priv.)	2	0.2%
205	Accountants	0	
206	Teachers (Pub.)	13	1.2%
207	Teachers (Priv.)	1	0.1%
208	Lawyers and Legal Professionals (Pub.)	0	

0

0

	.Main occupation of respondent before retirement or un			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
211	Abstractionist	1	0.1%	
212	Writer (author, reporter, dramatist)	0		
213	Eng. Science Professionals (Pub.)	1	0.1%	
214	Eng. Science Professionals (Priv.)	0		
215	Sportsman	0		
301	Office Clerks (Pub.)	6	0.5%	
302	Office Clerks (Priv.)	8	0.7%	
303	Salespersons	0		
401	Personal Services Workers (Priv.)	5	0.5%	
501	Agr., Animal Husbandry, Forestry and Fishing Workers (Priv.)	7	0.6%	
501	Production Laborers and Rel. Workers (Pub.)	4	0.4%	
502	Production Laborers and Rel. Workers (Priv.)	53	4.8%	
701	Students	2	0.2%	
301	Servicemen	6	0.5%	
995	Refuse to answer	4	0.4%	
999	Skipped	943		85.7%
Varning: these f	igures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summa	ry statistics of the	population of interest.	
q32: 28.1	Please tell me where your household is registered?			
nformation	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2-98] [M	issing=*1		
Statistics [N				
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
2	Yi Lan County	21	1.9%	
1	Hsinchu County	17	1.5%	
5	Miao Li County	23	2.10/	
7	Chanabara Country	20	2.1%	
3	Changhua County	92	8.4%	
	Nantou County			
)		92	8.4%	
	Nantou County	92 32	8.4%	
10	Nantou County Yunlin County	92 32 42	8.4% 2.9% 3.8%	
10 13	Nantou County Yunlin County Chiayi County	92 32 42 31	8.4% 2.9% 3.8% 2.8%	
10 13 14	Nantou County Yunlin County Chiayi County Pingtung County	92 32 42 31 44	8.4% 2.9% 3.8% 2.8% 4.0%	
10 13 14 15	Nantou County Yunlin County Chiayi County Pingtung County Taitung County	92 32 42 31 44 7	8.4% 2.9% 3.8% 2.8% 4.0% 0.6%	
10 13 14 15 16	Nantou County Yunlin County Chiayi County Pingtung County Taitung County Hualien County	92 32 42 31 44 7 22	8.4% 2.9% 3.8% 2.8% 4.0% 0.6% 2.0%	
0 3 4 5 6 7	Nantou County Yunlin County Chiayi County Pingtung County Taitung County Hualien County Peng Hu County	92 32 42 31 44 7 22 4	8.4% 2.9% 3.8% 2.8% 4.0% 0.6% 2.0% 0.4%	
10 13 14 15 16 7 18	Nantou CountyYunlin CountyChiayi CountyPingtung CountyTaitung CountyHualien CountyHualien CountyKeelung CityHsinchu City	92 32 42 31 44 7 22 4 16	8.4% 2.9% 3.8% 2.8% 4.0% 0.6% 2.0% 0.4% 1.5% 2.1%	
10 13 14 15 16 17 18 20	Nantou CountyYunlin CountyChiayi CountyPingtung CountyTaitung CountyHualien CountyPeng Hu CountyKeelung CityHsinchu CityChiayi City	92 32 42 31 44 7 22 4 16 23 18	8.4% 2.9% 3.8% 2.8% 4.0% 0.6% 2.0% 0.4% 1.5% 2.1% 1.6%	
10 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 28	Nantou CountyYunlin CountyChiayi CountyPingtung CountyTaitung CountyHualien CountyPeng Hu CountyKeelung CityHsinchu CityChiayi CityKinmen County	92 32 42 31 44 7 22 4 16 23 18 2	8.4% 2.9% 3.8% 2.8% 4.0% 0.6% 2.0% 0.4% 1.5% 2.1% 1.6% 0.2%	
10 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 28 30	Nantou CountyYunlin CountyChiayi CountyDingtung CountyTaitung CountyHualien CountyPeng Hu CountyKeelung CityHsinchu CityChiayi CityKinmen CountyLienchiang County	92 32 42 31 44 7 22 4 16 23 18 2 1	8.4% 2.9% 3.8% 2.8% 4.0% 0.6% 2.0% 0.4% 1.5% 2.1% 1.6% 0.2% 0.1%	
10 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 28 30 53	Nantou CountyYunlin CountyChiayi CountyPingtung CountyTaitung CountyHualien CountyPeng Hu CountyKeelung CityHsinchu CityChiayi CityLienchiang CountyTaitung CountyTaitung CityTaitung CityTaitung CountyChiayi CityTaitung CountyTaitung CountyTaitung CountyTaipei City	92 32 42 31 44 7 22 4 16 23 18 2 1 115	8.4% 2.9% 3.8% 2.8% 4.0% 0.6% 2.0% 0.4% 1.5% 2.1% 1.6% 0.2% 0.1% 10.5%	
10 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 28 30 53 54	Nantou CountyYunlin CountyChiayi CountyDingtung CountyTaitung CountyHualien CountyHualien CountyKeelung CityKeelung CityLienchiang CountyLienchiang CountyTaipei CityKaoshiung City	92 32 42 31 44 7 22 4 16 23 18 2 1 115 140	8.4% 2.9% 3.8% 2.8% 4.0% 0.6% 2.0% 0.4% 1.5% 2.1% 1.6% 0.2% 0.1%	
10 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 28 30 53 53 54 55	Nantou CountyYunlin CountyChiayi CountyDingtung CountyTaitung CountyHualien CountyPeng Hu CountyKeelung CityHsinchu CityChiayi CityLienchiang CountyTaipei CityNantou CityNumer CountySerie CitySerie CityChiayi CitySerie City <td< td=""><td>92 32 42 31 44 7 22 4 16 23 18 2 1 115 140 166</td><td>8.4% 2.9% 3.8% 2.8% 4.0% 0.6% 2.0% 0.4% 1.5% 2.1% 1.6% 0.2% 0.1% 10.5%</td><td>6 15.1%</td></td<>	92 32 42 31 44 7 22 4 16 23 18 2 1 115 140 166	8.4% 2.9% 3.8% 2.8% 4.0% 0.6% 2.0% 0.4% 1.5% 2.1% 1.6% 0.2% 0.1% 10.5%	6 15.1%
10 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 228 30 53 54 55 56	Nantou CountyYunlin CountyChiayi CountyPingtung CountyTaitung CountyHualien CountyPeng Hu CountyKeelung CityKashung CityLienchiang CountyLienchiang CountyKaoshiung CityKaoshiung CityKashiung CityLienchiang CountyLienchiang CityLienchiang City<	92 32 42 31 44 7 22 4 16 23 18 2 1 115 140 166 110	8.4% 2.9% 3.8% 2.8% 4.0% 0.6% 2.0% 0.4% 1.5% 2.1% 1.6% 0.2% 0.1% 10.5% 12.7% 10.0%	
9 10 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 28 30 63 64 65 66 66 67	Nantou CountyYunlin CountyChiayi CountyDingtung CountyTaitung CountyHualien CountyPeng Hu CountyKeelung CityHsinchu CityChiayi CityLienchiang CountyTaipei CityNantou CityNumer CountySerie CitySerie CityChiayi CitySerie City <td< td=""><td>92 32 42 31 44 7 22 4 16 23 18 2 1 115 140 166</td><td>8.4% 2.9% 3.8% 2.8% 4.0% 0.6% 2.0% 0.4% 1.5% 2.1% 1.6% 0.2% 0.1% 10.5%</td><td></td></td<>	92 32 42 31 44 7 22 4 16 23 18 2 1 115 140 166	8.4% 2.9% 3.8% 2.8% 4.0% 0.6% 2.0% 0.4% 1.5% 2.1% 1.6% 0.2% 0.1% 10.5%	
10 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 28 30 53 54 55 56	Nantou CountyYunlin CountyChiayi CountyPingtung CountyTaitung CountyHualien CountyPeng Hu CountyKeelung CityKashung CityLienchiang CountyLienchiang CountyKaoshiung CityKaoshiung CityKashiung CityLienchiang CountyLienchiang CityLienchiang City<	92 32 42 31 44 7 22 4 16 23 18 2 1 115 140 166 110	8.4% 2.9% 3.8% 2.8% 4.0% 0.6% 2.0% 0.4% 1.5% 2.1% 1.6% 0.2% 0.1% 10.5% 12.7% 10.0%	

rne: 1	ED52015	5-T_PA12E				
# q32: 28.P	Please tell me	where your household is registe	ered?			
Value	Label		Cases		Percentage	
95	Refuse to a	nswer	6	0.5%		
98	Don't know	v	2	0.2%		
	-	nber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be inte				
# q33: 28.V	Where your h	nousehold is registered? Which to	ownship or city in Yi	Lan Count	ty?	
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Ran	ge= 1-99] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NV	W/W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]				
Value	Label		Cases		Percentage	
1	Yilan City		2	0.2%		
2	Luodong T	'ownship	7	0.6%		
3	Suao Town	nship	0			
4	Toucheng	Township	2	0.2%		
5	Jiaoxi Tow	nship	1	0.1%		
6	Zhuangwei	i Township	0			
7	Yuanshan	Township	1	0.1%		
8	Dongshan	Township	5	0.5%		
9	Wujie Tow	vnship	2	0.2%		
10	Sanxing To	ownship	1	0.1%		
11	Datong To	wnship	0			
12	Nanao Tov	vnship	0			
95	Refuse to a	inswer	0			
98	Don't know	v	0			
99	Skipped		1079			98.1%
	-	nber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be inte				
_	vnere your r	nousehold is registered? Which to		sinchu Cou	nty:	
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Ran	ge= 1-99] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NV	W/ W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]				
Value	Label		Cases		Percentage	
1	Zhubei Cit	у	4	0.4%		
2	Zhudong T	Yownship	2	0.2%		
3	Xinpu Tow		3	0.3%		
4	Guanxi To	wnship	0			
5	Hukou Tov	-	4	0.4%		
6	Xinfeng To		2	0.2%		
7	Qionglin T		0			
8	Hengshan		1	0.1%		
9	Beipu Tow		1	0.1%		
10	Baoshan T		0			
11	Emei Town		0			
12	Jianshi Tov	-	0			
13	Wufeng To		0			
95	Refuse to a		0			
98	Don't knov	V	0			

# q34: 28.V	Where your	household is registered? Which to	wnship or city in H	sinchu Coun	ty?	
Value	Label		Cases		Percentage	
99	Skipped		1083			98.5%
Warning: these fig	gures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interp	preted as summary statistics of the	population of intere	st.	
# q35: 28.V	Where your	household is registered? Which to	wnship or city in M	iaoli County	?	
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Rang	e= 1-99] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NV	W/ W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]				
Value	Label		Cases		Percentage	
1	Miaoli Ci	ty	3	0.3%	-	
2	Yuanli To	•	3	0.3%		
3	Tongxiao	•	1	0.1%		
4	Zhunan T		5	0.5%		
5	Toufen C	*	3	0.3%		
6	Houlong	•	0			
7	Zhuolan 7		0			
8	Dahu Tov		1	0.1%		
9		n Township	0			
10	Tongluo 7	Township	0			
11		g Township	0			
12	Touwu To	ownship	0			
13	Sanyi Tov	vnship	1	0.1%		
14	Xihu Tow		1	0.1%		
15	Zaoqiao T		1	0.1%		
16	Sanwan T	ownship	2	0.2%		
17	Shitan To	wnship	0			
18	Taian Toy	vnship	0			
95	Refuse to	answer	2	0.2%		
98	Don't kno	W	0			
99	Skipped		1077			97.9%
Warning: these fig	gures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interp	preted as summary statistics of the	population of intere	st.	
# q36: 28.V	Where your	household is registered? Which to	wnship or city in Cl	nanghua Co	unty?	
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Rang	e= 1-99] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NV	W/ W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]				
Value	Label		Cases		Percentage	
1	Changhua	City	20	1.8%		
2	Lugang T	ownship	6	0.5%		
3	Hemei To		8	0.7%		
4	Xianxi To		2	0.2%		
5		Township	4	0.4%		
6	Fuxing To		3	0.3%		
7	Xiushui T	ownship	3	0.3%		
8	Huatan Te	ownship	5	0.5%		
9	Fenyuan		2	0.2%		
10	Yuanlin C		11	1.0%		

# q36: 28.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Changhua County?					
Value	Label	Cases	P	Percentage	
11	Xihu Township	3	0.3%		
12	Tianzhong Township	2	0.2%		
13	Dacun Township	4	0.4%		
14	Puyan Township	1	0.1%		
15	Puxin Township	1	0.1%		
16	Yongjing Township	2	0.2%		
17	Shetou Township	3	0.3%		
18	Ershui Township	0			
19	Beidou Township	3	0.3%		
20	Erlin Township	0			
21	Tianwei Township	1	0.1%		
22	Pitou Township	3	0.3%		
23	Fangyuan Township	0			
24	Dacheng Township	0			
25	Zhutang Township	1	0.1%		
26	Xizhou Township	2	0.2%		
95	Refuse to answer	1	0.1%		
98	Don't know	1	0.1%		
99	Skipped	1008		91.69	

q37: 28. Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Nantou County?

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [N	W/ W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]			
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	2
1	Nantou Cit	у	13	1.2%	
2	Puli Towns	hip	4	0.4%	
3	Caotun Tov	wnship	5	0.5%	
4	Zhushan Te	ownship	1	0.1%	
5	Jiji Townsł	lip	0		
6	Mingjian T	ownship	4	0.4%	
7	Lugu Town	nship	0		
8	Zhongliao	Township	1	0.1%	
9	Yuchi Tow	nship	1	0.1%	
10	Guoxing T	ownship	1	0.1%	
11	Shuili Tow	nship	1	0.1%	
12	Xinyi Tow	nship	0		
13	Renai Tow	nship	0		
95	Refuse to a	nswer	1	0.1%	
98	Don't know	,	0		
99	Skipped		1068		97.1%
Warning: these J	igures indicate the num	nber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpret	ed as summary statistics of the	population of interest.	

1				
	1 20 20 117	1 1 110 14		or city in Yunlin County?
	$= \pi \alpha \mathbf{x} \mathbf{y} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{w} \mathbf{h} \mathbf{e} \mathbf{r} \mathbf{e}$	vour household is registe	ered? Which fownshin	or city in Vunlin ('ounty')
	" yoo. 20. mult	your nouschold is regist		

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric]	[Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [N	W/ W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]			
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	Douliu Cit	у	6	0.5%	
2	Dounan Te	ownship	3	0.3%	
3	Huwei To	wnship	4	0.4%	
4	Xiluo Tow	nship	2	0.2%	
5	Tuku Tow	nship	3	0.3%	
6	Beigang T	ownship	3	0.3%	
7	Gukeng T	Gukeng Township		0.3%	
8	Dapi Town	Dapi Township		0.1%	
9	Citong Township		2	0.2%	
10	Linnei Tov	vnship	1	0.1%	
11	Erlun Tow	nship	0		
12	Lunbei To	wnship	3	0.3%	
13	Mailiao To	ownship	4	0.4%	
14	Dongshi T	ownship	1	0.1%	
15	Baozhong	Township	0		
16	Taixi Tow	Taixi Township	1	0.1%	
17	Yuanchan	g Township	2	0.2%	
18	Sihu Town	iship	0		
19	Kouhu To	wnship	1	0.1%	
20	Shuilin To		0		
95	Refuse to	answer	1	0.1%	
98	Don't know	v	1	0.1%	
99	Skipped		1058		96.2%
Warning: these fi	gures indicate the nu	mber of cases found in the data file. They cannot	be interpreted as summary statistics of the	population of interest.	
[#] q39: 28.V	Where your	nousehold is registered? Whi	ch township or city in Ch	niayi County?	
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric]	[Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [N	W/ W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]			
Value	Label	1	Cases	Percentage	
1	Taibao Cit	у	2	0.2%	
2	Puzi City		3	0.3%	
3	Budai Tov	vnship	3	0.3%	
4	Dalin Tow	nship	3	0.3%	
5	Minxiong	Township	4	0.4%	
6	Xikou Tov	vnship	0		
7	Xingang T	ownship	3	0.3%	
8	Liujiao To		1	0.1%	
9	Dongshi T		1	0.1%	
10	Yizhu Tov		2	0.2%	
11	Lucao Tov	-	2	0.2%	
		Township			

28

29

Majia Township

Taiwu Townshi

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
13	Zhongpu Township	1	0.1%	
14	Zhuqi Township	1	0.1%	
15	Meishan Township	1	0.1%	
16	Fanlu Township	1	0.1%	
17	Dapu Township	0		
18	Alishan Township	0		
95	Refuse to answer	2	0.2%	
98	Don't know	0		
99	Skipped	1069		97.2%

# a/0, 28 W/boro	your household is registered?	Which township or	oity in Dingtung County?
" 440. 20. Where	your nousenoid is registered.	which township of y	city in I mgtung County.

Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1	-99] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [N	W/ W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage
1	Pingtung C	City	16	1.5%
2	Chaozhou	Township	3	0.3%
3	Donggang	Township	3	0.3%
4	Hengchun	Township	0	
5	Wandan T	ownship	5	0.5%
6	Changzhi	Township	1	0.1%
7	Linluo Tov	wnship	0	
8	Jiuru Town	nship	2	0.2%
9	Ligang To	wnship	2	0.2%
10	Yanpu Tov	wnship	0	
11	Gaoshu To	ownship	0	
12	Wanluan T	Cownship	0	
13	Neipu Tow	vnship	4	0.4%
14	Zhutian To	ownship	0	
15	Xinpi Tow	nship	0	
16	Fangliao T	Township	1	0.1%
17	Xinyuan T	ownship	2	0.2%
18	Kanding T	ownship	0	
19	Linbian To	ownship	0	
20	Nanzhou T	Cownship	1	0.1%
21	Jiadong To	ownship	2	0.2%
22	Liuqiu Tov	wnship	0	
23	Checheng	Townshi	0	
24	Manzhou 7	Γownshi	0	
25	Fangshan	Township	0	
26	Sandimen	Township	0	
27	Wutai Tow	vnship	0	

0

0

CH. TEDS2015 T DA 12E

# q40: 28.	Where your h	ousehold is registered? Which to	wnship or city in Pi	ngtung County?	
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
30	Laiyi Towr	ship	0		
31	Chunri Tov	vnshi	0		
32	Shizi Town	ship	0		
33	Mudan Toy	vnship	0		
95	Refuse to a	nswer	1	0.1%	
98	Don't know		1	0.1%	
99	Skipped		1056		96.0%
Warning: these f	igures indicate the nun	ber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be inter	preted as summary statistics of the	population of interest.	
# q41: 28.	Where your h	ousehold is registered? Which to	wnship or city in Ta	itung County?	
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Rang	e= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [N	W/ W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]			
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	Taitung Cit	у	7	0.6%	
2	Chenggong	Township	0		
3	Guanshan 7	Township	0		
4	Beinan Toy	vnship	0		
5	Luye Town	ship	0		
6	Chishang T	ownship	0		
7	Donghe To	wnship	0		
8	Changbin 7	°ownship	0		
9	Taimali To	wnship	0		
10	Dawu Tow	nship	0		
11	Lyudao To	wnship	0		
12	Haiduan To	ownship	0		
13	Yanping To	ownshi	0		
14	Jinfeng Toy	vnship	0		
15	Daren Tow	nship	0		
16	Lanyu Tow	nship	0		
95	Refuse to a	nswer	0		
98	Don't know		0		
99	Skipped		1093		99.4%
	-	ber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be inter			
# q42: 28.	Where your h	ousehold is registered? Which to	wnship or city in Hu	alien County?	
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Rang	e= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [N	W/W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]			

Statistics [IN V		-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Hualien City	7	0.6%
2	Fenglin Township	0	
3	Yuli Township	3	0.3%
4	Xincheng Townshi	1	0.1%
5	Jian Township	5	0.5%
6	Shoufeng Township	1	0.1%

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
7	Guangfu Township	1	0.1%	
8	Fengbin Township	0		
9	Ruisui Township	1	0.1%	
10	Fuli Township	2	0.2%	
11	Xiulin Townshi	0		
12	Wanrong Township	0		
13	Zhuoxi Township	0		
95	Refuse to answer	1	0.1%	
98	Don't know	0		
99	Skipped	1078		98.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q43: 28. Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Penghu County?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Magong City	3	0.3%
2	Huxi Township	0	
3	Baisha Township	1	0.1%
4	Xiyu Township	0	
5	Wang'an Township	0	
6	Qimei Township	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1096	99.6%
Warning these fig	ures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary	statistics of the	nonulation of interest

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

Information		Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]				
Statistics [NW/W]		[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]				
Value Label		·	Cases	es Percentage		
1	Zhongzhen	g District	1	0.1%		
2	Qidu Distri	ct	1	0.1%		
3	Nuannuan	District	4	0.4%		
4	Ren'ai Dist	rict	3	0.3%		
5	Zhongshan	District	3	0.3%		
6	Anle Distri	ct	2	0.2%		
7	Xinyi Distr	ict	2	0.2%		
95	Refuse to a	nswer	0			
98	Don't know	7	0			
99	Skipped		1084		98.5%	

q45: 28.Where your household is registered? Which district in Hsinchu City?

Information

[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]

Skipped

99

# q45: 28.	Where your h	ousehold is registered? Which district in	h Hsinchu Ci	ty?	
Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]			
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	East Distric	rt	9	0.8%	
2	North Dist	ict	5	0.5%	
3	Xiangshan	District	6	0.5%	
95	Refuse to a	nswer	1	0.1%	
98	Don't know	,	2	0.2%	
99	Skipped		1077		97.9%
	<u> </u>	aber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as sun ousehold is registered? Which district in			
Information	l	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [[Missing=*]		
Statistics [N	W/ W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]			
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	East Distric	rt	7	0.6%	
2	West Distr	ct	10	0.9%	
95	Refuse to a	nswer	1	0.1%	
	Don't know				

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

1082

98.4%

Information	n	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]					
Statistics [N	IW/ W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]						
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage				
1	Songsha	n District	4	0.4%				
2	Xinyi Di	istrict	6	0.5%				
3	Daan Di	strict	12	1.1%				
4	Zhongsh	an District	6	0.5%				
5	Zhongzh	neng District	6	0.5%				
6	Datong I	District	4	0.4%				
7	Wanhua	District	5	0.5%				
8	Wenshar	n District	18	1.6%				
9	Nangang	g District	4	0.4%				
10	Neihu D		17	1.5%				
11	Shilin D	istrict	13	1.2%				
12	Beitou D	District	18	1.6%				
95	Refuse to	o answer	2	0.2%				
98	Don't kn	OW	0					
99	Skipped		985	89				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric	tich district in Kaohsiung [[Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]	Chy.				
Statistics [N	n NW/ W]	_	e] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]					
Statistics [N Value	n NW/W] Label	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric [Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	[Range= 1-99] [Missing=*] Cases	Percentage				
Statistics [N Value 1	n NW/W] Label Yanchen	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric [Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	[Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]	Percentage				
Statistics [N Value 1 2	n IW/W] Label Yanchen Gushan	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric [Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] ng District District	[Range= 1-99] [Missing=*] Cases 0 5	Percentage				
Statistics [N Value 1 2 3	n W/W Label Yanchen Gushan Zuoying	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric [Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] ng District District District	[Range= 1-99] [Missing=*] Cases 0 5 6	Percentage 0.5% 0.5%				
Statistics [N Value 1 2 3 4	n NW/W] Label Yanchen Gushan Zuoying Nanzi D	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric [Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] ng District District District istrict	[Range= 1-99] [Missing=*] Cases 0 5 6 12	Percentage 0.5% 0.5% 1.1%				
Statistics [N Value 1 2 3 4 5	n NW/WJ Label Yanchen Gushan Zuoying Nanzi D Sanmin	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric [Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] ng District District District District District	[Range= 1-99] [Missing=*] Cases 0 0 5 6 12 22	Percentage 0.5% 0.5%				
Statistics [N Value 1 2 3 4 5 6	n W/W] Label Yanchen Gushan Zuoying Nanzi D Sanmin Xinxing	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric [Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] ag District	Cases 0 5 6 12 22 0	Percentage 0.5% 0.5% 1.1% 2.0%				
Value 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	AW/WJ AW/WJ Label Yanchen Gushan Gushan Zuoying Nanzi D Sanmin Xinxing Qianjin	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric [Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] ng District District District District District District District District	Cases 0 5 6 12 22 0 3	Percentage 0.5% 0.5% 1.1% 2.0% 0.3%				
Statistics [N Value 1 2 3 4 5 6	AVV/WJ AVV/WJ AVV/AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric [Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] ag District	Cases 0 5 6 12 22 0	Percentage 0.5% 0.5% 1.1% 2.0%				
Statistics [N Value 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A VALUE AND	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric [Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] ag District	Cases 0 5 6 12 22 0 3 12	Percentage 0.5% 0.5% 1.1% 2.0% 0.3% 1.1%				
Statistics [N Value 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	AV/VV AV/VV Label Vanchen Gushan Gushan Gushan Gushan Manzi D Sanmin Sanmin Minxing Qianjin I Lingya I Qianzhen Qianzhen	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric [Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] ag District	Cases 0 5 6 12 22 0 3 12 5 5 5 6 12 5	Percentage 0.5% 0.5% 1.1% 2.0% 0.3% 1.1%				
Value I 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 10	A VIVI AVIVIV AVIVI AVIVI AVIVI AVIVI AVIV	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric [Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] ng District Strict Strict Strict	Cases 0 5 6 12 22 0 3 12 5 0 3 12 5 0 3 12 5 0 3 12 5 0	Percentage 0.5% 0.5% 1.1% 2.0% 0.3% 1.1% 0.5%				
Value I 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 1	A VIVI AVIVIV AVIVI AVIVI AVIVI AVIVI AVIV	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric [Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] ag District In District District In District District In District District In District	Cases Cases 0 5 6 12 22 0 3 12 5 0 3 12 5 0 7	Percentage 0.5% 0.5% 1.1% 2.0% 0.3% 1.1% 0.5% 0.6%				
Value I 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	AV/VV Label Vanchen Gushan I Gushan I Gus	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric [Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] ag District	Cases 0 5 6 12 22 0 3 12 5 0 7 14	Percentage 0.5% 0.5% 1.1% 2.0% 0.3% 1.1% 0.5% 0.6% 1.3%				
Value I 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 4	AV/VV AV/VV AV/V	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric [Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] ag District	Cases Cases 0 5 6 12 22 0 3 12 5 0 7 14 4	Percentage 0.5% 0.5% 1.1% 2.0% 0.3% 1.1% 0.5% 0.6% 1.3% 0.4%				
Statistics [N Value 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A AW/W Label Aux Aux Aux Aux Aux Aux Aux Aux	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric [Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] ag District	Cases Cases 0 5 6 12 22 0 3 12 5 0 3 12 5 0 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 13 14 4 8	Percentage 0.5% 0.5% 1.1% 2.0% 0.3% 1.1% 0.5% 0.6% 1.3% 0.4% 0.7%				
Value I 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 5	AV/VVJ AV/VVJ	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric [Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] ag District District <tr< td=""><td>Cases 0 5 6 12 22 0 31 12 22 0 3 12 5 0 3 12 5 0 7 14 4 8 4</td><td>Percentage 0.5% 0.5% 1.1% 2.0% 0.3% 1.1% 0.3% 1.1% 0.5% 0.6% 1.3% 0.4% 0.7% 0.4%</td></tr<>	Cases 0 5 6 12 22 0 31 12 22 0 3 12 5 0 3 12 5 0 7 14 4 8 4	Percentage 0.5% 0.5% 1.1% 2.0% 0.3% 1.1% 0.3% 1.1% 0.5% 0.6% 1.3% 0.4% 0.7% 0.4%				
Value I 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A W/W Label Aux Aux Aux Aux Aux Aux Aux Aux	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric [Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] ag District District <tr< td=""><td>Cases Cases 0 5 6 12 22 0 3 12 5 0 3 12 5 0 7 14 8 4 1</td><td>Percentage 0.5% 0.5% 1.1% 2.0% 0.3% 1.1% 0.3% 1.1% 0.5% 0.3% 1.1% 0.3% 1.1% 0.3% 1.1% 0.3% 0.4% 0.4% 0.1%</td></tr<>	Cases Cases 0 5 6 12 22 0 3 12 5 0 3 12 5 0 7 14 8 4 1	Percentage 0.5% 0.5% 1.1% 2.0% 0.3% 1.1% 0.3% 1.1% 0.5% 0.3% 1.1% 0.3% 1.1% 0.3% 1.1% 0.3% 0.4% 0.4% 0.1%				
Statistics [N Value 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	AVV/WJ AVV/WJ AVV/WJ AVV/WJ AVV/V	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric [Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] ag District District <tr< td=""><td>Cases 0 5 6 12 22 0 3 12 5 0 3 12 5 0 3 12 5 0 7 14 4 8 4 1 2</td><td>Percentage 0.5% 0.5% 1.1% 2.0% 0.3% 1.1% 0.3% 1.1% 0.5% 0.6% 1.3% 0.4% 0.7% 0.4% 0.1% 0.2%</td></tr<>	Cases 0 5 6 12 22 0 3 12 5 0 3 12 5 0 3 12 5 0 7 14 4 8 4 1 2	Percentage 0.5% 0.5% 1.1% 2.0% 0.3% 1.1% 0.3% 1.1% 0.5% 0.6% 1.3% 0.4% 0.7% 0.4% 0.1% 0.2%				
Value I 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	AVV/WJ AVV/WJ AVV/WJ AVV/WJ AVV/V	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric [Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] ivalid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] <t< td=""><td>Cases 0 5 6 12 22 0 3 12 22 0 3 12 5 0 3 12 5 0 7 14 4 8 4 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 1 2 2 2 3 4 5 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 1 2 2 3 4 <tr< td=""><td>Percentage 0.5% 0.5% 1.1% 2.0% 0.3% 1.1% 0.3% 1.1% 0.3% 1.1% 0.3% 1.1% 0.3% 1.1% 0.3% 1.1% 0.5% 0.6% 1.3% 0.4% 0.7% 0.4% 0.1% 0.2%</td></tr<></td></t<>	Cases 0 5 6 12 22 0 3 12 22 0 3 12 5 0 3 12 5 0 7 14 4 8 4 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 1 2 2 2 3 4 5 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 1 2 2 3 4 <tr< td=""><td>Percentage 0.5% 0.5% 1.1% 2.0% 0.3% 1.1% 0.3% 1.1% 0.3% 1.1% 0.3% 1.1% 0.3% 1.1% 0.3% 1.1% 0.5% 0.6% 1.3% 0.4% 0.7% 0.4% 0.1% 0.2%</td></tr<>	Percentage 0.5% 0.5% 1.1% 2.0% 0.3% 1.1% 0.3% 1.1% 0.3% 1.1% 0.3% 1.1% 0.3% 1.1% 0.3% 1.1% 0.5% 0.6% 1.3% 0.4% 0.7% 0.4% 0.1% 0.2%				
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	AVVIVI AVVIVI	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric [Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] ivalid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] <t< td=""><td>Cases Cases 0 5 6 12 22 0 3 12 23 14 4 8 4 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 2 3 4 4 5 1 2 2 2 3 4 1 2 2 2 3 4 4 4 5 6 7 1 2 2 2 2 3 4 <</td><td>Percentage 0.5% 0.5% 1.1% 2.0% 0.3% 1.1% 0.3% 1.1% 0.5% 0.3% 1.1% 0.5% 0.3% 1.1% 0.5% 0.6% 1.3% 0.4% 0.7% 0.4% 0.1% 0.2% 0.6%</td></t<>	Cases Cases 0 5 6 12 22 0 3 12 23 14 4 8 4 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 1 2 2 2 3 4 4 5 1 2 2 2 3 4 1 2 2 2 3 4 4 4 5 6 7 1 2 2 2 2 3 4 <	Percentage 0.5% 0.5% 1.1% 2.0% 0.3% 1.1% 0.3% 1.1% 0.5% 0.3% 1.1% 0.5% 0.3% 1.1% 0.5% 0.6% 1.3% 0.4% 0.7% 0.4% 0.1% 0.2% 0.6%				

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage	
23	Alian District	2	0.2%	
24	Luzhu District	1	0.1%	
25	Hunei District	1	0.1%	
26	Qieding District	2	0.2%	
27	Yongan District	0		
28	Mituo District	2	0.2%	
29	Ziguan District	2	0.2%	
30	Qishan District	2	0.2%	
31	Meinong District	1	0.1%	
32	Liugui District	0		
33	Jiaxian District	0		
34	Shanlin District	0		
35	Neimen District	0		
36	Maolin District	0		
37	Taoyuan District	0		
38	Namaxia District	0		
95	Refuse to answer	3	0.3%	
98	Don't know	1	0.1%	
99	Skipped	960		87.3%
nformation	Where your household is registered? Where your household is registered? [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric			
Information	Where your household is registered? Where your household is registered? When [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric W/W] [Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	tich district in New Taiper	i City?	
Information Statistics [N Value	Where your household is registered? Where your household is registered? When [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric W/W] [Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] Label	tich district in New Taiper	i City? Percentage	
Information Statistics [N Value 1	Where your household is registered? W/W] [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric Label Banqiao District	aich district in New Taipei [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*] Cases 27	Percentage	
Information Statistics [N Value 1 2	Where your bousehold is registered? Where your bousehold is registered? Where it is the second se	rich district in New Taiper [[Range= 1-99] [Missing=*] Cases 27 17	Percentage	
information Statistics [N Value 1 2 3	Where your household is registered? Where the second of	Aich district in New Taipei [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*] Cases 27 17 10	Percentage	
information Statistics [N Value 1 2 3 4	Where your bousehold is registered? Where the second of	Aich district in New Taipei [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*] Cases 27 17 9	Percentage 2.5% 1.5% 0.9% 0.8%	
information Statistics [N Value 1 2 3 4 5	Where your busehold is registered? Where the second of th	Aich district in New Taipei [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*] (Cases) 27 10 9 20	Percentage 2.5% 1.5% 0.9% 0.8% 1.8%	
Information Statistics [N Value 1 2 3 4 5 6	Where your bousehold is registered? Where the product of the produc	Aich district in New Taipei [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*] [Cases 27 17 9 20 9 20 9	Percentage 2.5% 1.5% 0.9% 0.8% 1.8% 0.8%	
Information Statistics [N Value 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Where your bousehold is registered? Where the product of the produc	Aich district in New Taipei [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*] (Cases) 27 17 10 9 20 9 5	Percentage 2.5% 1.5% 0.9% 0.8% 1.8% 0.8% 0.5%	
Information Statistics [N Value 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Where your bousehold is registered? Where the second of	Aich district in New Taipei e] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*] e] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*] Cases 27 17 10 9 20 9 5 5	Percentage 2.5% 1.5% 0.9% 0.8% 1.8% 0.8% 0.5% 0.5%	
Information Statistics [N Value 1 2 3 4 5 5 6 7 8 9	Where your busehold is registered? When the provide the provided is registered? When the provided is registered? If the provided is registered? When the provided is registered? The provided is reg	Aich district in New Taipei [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*] [Cases] 27 17 10 9 20 9 5 5 7	Percentage 2.5% 1.5% 0.9% 0.8% 1.8% 0.8% 0.5% 0.5% 0.6%	
Information Statistics [N Value 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Where your buschold is registered? Whether the second of	Aich district in New Taipei [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*] 2]	Percentage 2.5% 1.5% 0.9% 0.8% 1.8% 0.8% 0.5% 0.5% 0.5% 0.6% 1.1%	
Important Important <thimportant< th=""> <thimportant< th=""> <thi< td=""><td>Where your buschold is registered? Whether the second of the second of</td><td>Aich district in New Taipei b) [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*] b) [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*] cases 27 17 10 9 20 9 5 5 7 12 5</td><td>Percentage 2.5% 1.5% 0.9% 0.8% 1.8% 0.5% 0.5% 0.5% 0.5% 0.5%</td><td></td></thi<></thimportant<></thimportant<>	Where your buschold is registered? Whether the second of	Aich district in New Taipei b) [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*] b) [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*] cases 27 17 10 9 20 9 5 5 7 12 5	Percentage 2.5% 1.5% 0.9% 0.8% 1.8% 0.5% 0.5% 0.5% 0.5% 0.5%	
nformation Statistics [N Value 1 2 3 4 5 5 6 7 8 9 9 10 11 12	Where your buschold is registered? Whether the second of	Aich district in New Taipei [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*] 2] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*] 3] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*] 3] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*] 4] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*] 4] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*] 4] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*] 5] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*] 5] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*] 6] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*] 6] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*] 6] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*] 6] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*] 7]	Percentage	
nformation Statistics [N Value 1 2 3 4 5 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Where your buschold is registered? Whethere is the segment of the s	Aich district in New Taipei a) [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*] b) [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*] cases 27 17 10 9 20 9 20 9 5 5 7 12 5 2 8	Percentage 2.5% 1.5% 0.9% 0.8% 1.8% 0.8% 0.5% 0.5% 0.5% 0.5% 0.5% 0.5% 0.5% 0.5	
information Statistics [N Value 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Where your buschold is registered? Whethere is the segment of the s	Aich district in New Taipei a) [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*] b) [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*] cases 27 17 10 9 20 9 20 9 5 7 12 5 2 8 6	Percentage 2.5% 1.5% 0.9% 0.8% 1.8% 0.8% 0.5% 0.5% 0.5% 0.2% 0.7% 0.5%	
Important Statistics IN Statistics IN Value 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Where your buschold is registered? When the product of the produ	Aich district in New Taipei [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*] 2] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*] 3] [Missing=*]	Percentage 2.5% 2.5% 1.5% 0.9% 0.8% 0.8% 0.5% 0.5% 0.5% 0.6% 1.1% 0.5% 0.5% 0.5% 0.5% 0.5% 0.5% 0.5% 0.2% 0.7% 0.5% 0.3%	
information Statistics [N Value 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Where your basehold is registered? When the product of the produ	Aich district in New Taipei Bill [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*] Cases 27 17 10 9 20 9 20 9 20 9 20 9 10 9 20 9 10 9 10 9 20 9 12 5 2 8 6 3 1	Percentage 2.5% 1.5% 0.9% 0.8% 1.8% 0.8% 1.8% 0.5% 0.5% 0.5% 0.5% 0.5% 0.5% 0.5% 0.5% 0.5% 0.5% 0.5% 0.5% 0.5% 0.7% 0.5% 0.3% 0.1%	
Important Statistics [N Value 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Where your buschold is registered? When the product of the produ	Aich district in New Taipei a) [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*] b) [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*] cases 27 17 10 9 20 9 20 9 5 5 7 12 5 2 8 6 3 1 6	Percentage 2.5% 1.5% 0.9% 0.8% 1.8% 0.8% 1.5% 0.5% 0.5% 0.5% 0.5% 0.5% 0.5% 0.5% 0.5% 0.5% 0.5% 0.5% 0.5% 0.5%	
Information Statistics [N	Where your basehold is registered? When the product of the produ	Aich district in New Taipei Bill [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*] Cases 27 17 10 9 20 9 20 9 20 9 20 9 10 9 20 9 10 9 10 9 20 9 12 5 2 8 6 3 1	Percentage 2.5% 1.5% 0.9% 0.8% 1.8% 0.8% 1.8% 0.5% 0.5% 0.5% 0.5% 0.5% 0.5% 0.5% 0.5% 0.5% 0.5% 0.5% 0.5% 0.5% 0.7% 0.5% 0.3% 0.1%	

Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
21	Sanzhi Dis	strict	2	0.2%	
22	Shimen Di	strict	1	0.1%	
23	Bali Distri	ct	2	0.2%	
24	Pingxi Dis	trict	1	0.1%	
25	Shuangxi I	District	0		
26	Gongliao I	District	0		
27	Jinshan Di	Jinshan District			
28	Wanli Dist	trict	1	0.1%	
29	Wulai Dist	trict	0		
95	Refuse to a	answer	0		
98	Don't know	N	2	0.2%	
99	Skipped		934		84.9%
Warning: these fi		mber of cases found in the data file. They canno	t be interpreted as summary statistics of the	population of interest.	
Statistics [N	W/ W]	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric [Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]			
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	Central Di	strict	0		
2	East Distri	ct	5	0.5%	
3	South Dist	rict	5	0.5%	
4	West Distr	ict	6	0.5%	
5	North Dist	rict	1	0.1%	
6	Xitun Dist		7	0.6%	
7	Nantun Di		7	0.6%	
8	Beitun Dis		7	0.6%	
9	Fengyuan		7	0.6%	
10	Dongshi D		1	0.1%	
11	Dajia Distr		3	0.3%	
12	Qingshui I		5	0.5%	
13	Shalu Dist		4	0.4%	
14	Wuqi Disti		0		
15	Houli Dist		1	0.1%	
16	Shengang T		3	0.3%	
17	Tanzi Dist		3	0.3%	
18	Daya Distr		0	0.10/	
19 20	Xinshe Dis		1	0.1%	
20 21	Shigang D Waipu Dis		0		
	Daan Distr		0		
22	Wuri Distr		0 8	0.7%	
22 23	VV III L JIST				
23		rict			
23 24	Dadu Distr		4	0.4%	
23		District	6 2	0.5%	

Value	Label		Cases		Percentage	
28	Dali Distric	ct	11	1.0%		
29	Heping Dis	strict	0			
95	Refuse to a	nswer	2	0.2%		
98	Don't know	/	0			
99	Skipped		990			90.0%
	-	nber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be inte		<u> </u>	est.	
	Where your h	ousehold is registered? Which d	listrict in Tainan Cit	y?		
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Ran	ge= 1-99] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [NV	W/ W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]				
Value	Label		Cases		Percentage	
1	Xinying Di	strict	1	0.1%		
2	Yanshui Di	istrict	1	0.1%		
3	Baihe Distr	rict	5	0.5%		
4	Liuying Di	strict	0			
5	Houbi Dist	rict	1	0.1%		
6	Dongshan	District	2	0.2%		
7	Madou Dis	trict	2	0.2%		
8	Xiaying Di	strict	1	0.1%		
9	Liujia Dist	rict	1	0.1%		
10	Guantian D	District	2	0.2%		
11	Danei Dist	rict	0			
12	Jiali Distric	ct	3	0.3%		
13	Xuejia Dis	trict	3	0.3%		
14	Sigang Dis	trict	1	0.1%		
15	Qigu Distri	ct	0			
16	Jiangjun D	istrict	1	0.1%		
17	Beimen Di		0			
18	Xinhua Dis		0			
19	Shanhua D		4	0.4%		
20	Xinshi Dis		3	0.3%		
21	Anding Dis		1	0.1%		
22	Shanshang		0			
23	Yujing Dis		1	0.1%		
24	Nanxi Dist		0			
25	Nanhua Di		0			
26 27	Zuozhen D		0	0.10		
27	Rende Dist		1	0.1%		
28	Guiren Dis		5	0.5%		
29 20	Guanmiao		0			
30	Longqi Dis		0	0.5%		
31	Yongkang		5	0.5%		
32 33	East Distric		6	1.0%		
33 34	North Dist		6	0.5%		

Value	Label		Cases		Percentage	
35	Annan Dis	trict	8	0.7%		
36	Anping Di	strict	2	0.2%		
37	West Cent	ral District	3	0.3%		
95	Refuse to a	Refuse to answer		0.5%		
98	Don't know	v	0			
99	Skipped		1013			92.1%
Warning: these fi	gures indicate the nur	nber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be in	terpreted as summary statistics of the	population of interes	t.	
# q52: 28.V	Where your b	nousehold is registered? Which	district in Taoyuan Ci	ity?		
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Ra	nge= 1-99] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [N	W/ W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]				
Value	Label		Cases		Percentage	
1	Taoyuan D	vistrict	20	1.8%		
2	Zhongli Di	strict	12	1.1%		
3	Daxi Distr	ict	6	0.5%		
4	Yangmei I	District	5	0.5%		
5	Luzhu Dis	rict	3	0.3%		
6	Dayuan Di	strict	4	0.4%		
7	Guishan D	istrict	5	0.5%		
8	Bade Distr	ict	6	0.5%		
9	Longtan D	istrict	3	0.3%		
10	Pingzhen I	District	10	0.9%		
11	Xinwu Dis	trict	1	0.1%		
12	Guanyin D	istrict	2	0.2%		
13	Fuxing Dis	strict	0			
95	Refuse to a	inswer	0			
98	Don't know	V	2	0.2%		
99	Skipped		1021			92.89

q53: 29.President Ma Ying-jeou will retire on May next year. Generally speaking, how satisfied are you with his overall performance as president for these seven years?

Information	1	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Mis	Fype= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]					
Statistics [N	[W/ W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]						
Value	Label	Label			Percentage			
1	Very satisfi	ed	40	3.6%				
2	Satisfied		178		16.2%			
3	Not very sa	tisfied	359			32.6%		
4	Not satisfie	d at all	449				40.8%	
95	Refuse to a	nswer	8	0.7%				
96	It's hard to	say	10	0.9%				
97	No opinion		18	1.6%				
98	Don't know	,	38	3.5%				
Warning: these j	figures indicate the nun	ber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary	statistics of the	population of interest.				
# q54: 30.	In 2012 Presid	dential Election, who did you vote for?						
Information	Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [M							

q54: 30.In 2012 Presidential Election, who did you vote for?

Statistics [N	W/ W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]			
Value	Label	Label Cases		Percentag	je
1	Ma, Ying-j	eou and Wu, Den-yih	434		39.5%
2	Tsai, Ing-w	en and Su, Jia-chyuan	261	23.7	7%
3	James Soon	ng and Lin, Ruey Shiung	27	2.5%	
89	Didn't pick	up the ballot	10	0.9%	
91	Forgot		80	7.3%	
92	Not eligible	e to vote	42	3.8%	
93	Didn't vote		180	16.4%	
94	Cast an inv	alid vote	15	1.4%	
95	Refuse to a	nswer	48	4.4%	
98	Don't know	,	3	0.3%	

#q55: 31a.What time do you usually go to bed? (24 hours)

Information	1	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-96] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [N	[W/ W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]				
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage		
1			70	6.4%		
2			29	2.6%		
3			14	1.3%		
4			5	0.5%		
5			1	0.1%		
6			1	0.1%		
7			1	0.1%		
10			1	0.1%		
14			1	0.1%		
19			б	0.5%		
20			15	1.4%		
21			48	4.4%		
22			220		20.0%	
23			308		28.0%	
24			325		29.5%	
95	Refuse to a	answer	6	0.5%		
96	It depends		49	4.5%		
Varning: these	figures indicate the nu	nber of cases found in the data file. They canno	t be interpreted as summary statistics of the	population of interest.		
‡ q56: 31.	What time do	you usually get up? (24 hor	urs)			
Information	1	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2-96] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [N	W/W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]				

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2		4	0.4%
3		3	0.3%
4		23	2.1%
5		95	8.6%
6		376	34.2%

Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
7			354		32.2%
3			130	11.8%	
)			36	3.3%	
10			25	2.3%	
11			6	0.5%	
12			6	0.5%	
13			1	0.1%	
14			1	0.1%	
15			1	0.1%	
18			1	0.1%	
95	Refuse to	answer	9	0.8%	
96	It depend		29	2.6%	
	-	s umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be			
a57: 31b.	Would you	please leave us your email add	lress?		
	,, oura you				
information	67 / XX 71	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] []	Kange= 1-95] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NV	v/ vv]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]			
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	email		228	20.7%	
95	Refuse to	answer	872		79.39
		umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be	e interpreted as summary statistics of the	e population of interest.	
[#] q58: 32.R	lespondent	s gender			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] []	Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NV	V/ W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]			
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
1	Male		509		46.3%
2	Female		591		53.79
Varning: these fig		umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be		population of interest.	
q59: 33.L	anguage us	sed to conduct interview			
nformation		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] []	Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NV	V/ W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	• • • •		
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	
	Mandarin		992	Tercentage	90.29
1 2	Taiwanes		42	3 804	90.29
	Hakka			3.8%	
3		and Taimanaa	0	5.00/	
4 5		and Taiwanese	65	5.9%	
5 Varning: these fig		and Hakka umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be	t interpreted as summary statistics of the	0.1%	
sex: Sex			, summer of the		
		[Tuno_ disarata] [Format_num] []	Panga 1 21 [Missing *]		
information	N/ XX/)	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] []	Range = 1-2 J IWIISSINg = T J		
Statistics [NV	v/ w]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]			
			~	-	
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage	

# sex: Sex					
Value	Label		Cases	Per	centage
2	Female		591		53.7%
Varning: these fig	gures indicate the nur	nber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpr	reted as summary statistics of the	population of interest.	
[‡] age: Age					
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range	= 1-9] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NV	W/ W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]			
Value	Label		Cases	Per	rcentage
1	20-29 year	S	116	10.5%	
2	30-39 year	s	177		16.1%
3	40-49 year	S	258		23.5%
4	50-59 year		302		27.5%
5	60 years ar	nd above	223		20.3%
9	Nonrespon		24	2.2%	
		nber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpr			
ŧ edu: Edu	cation				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range	= 1-9] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NV	W/ W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]			
Value	Label		Cases	Per	rcentage
1	Below-prin	nary school	101	9.2%	
2	Junior high	school	96	8.7%	
3	High schoo	ol or vocational school	346		31.5%
4	Technical of	college	169	15.4	4%
5	Post-univer	rsity	383		34.8%
9	Nonrespon	se	5	0.5%	
Varning: these fig	gures indicate the nur	nber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpr	eted as summary statistics of the	population of interest.	
[#] sengi: Fa	ther's ethnic	background			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range	= 1-9] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NV	W/ W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]			
Value	Label		Cases	Per	rcentage
1	Taiwanese	Hakka	109	9.9%	
2	Taiwanese	Min-nan	809		73.5%
3	Mainlande	r	142	12.9%	
4	Aboriginal		7	0.6%	
5	Foreigner		0		
9	Nonrespon	se	33	3.0%	
Narning: these fig	-	nber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpr			
[#] masengi:	Mother's et	hnic background			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range	= 1-9] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NV	W/ W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]			
Value	Label		Cases	Per	centage
1	Taiwanese	Hakka	115	10.5%	
2	Taiwanese	Min-nan	872		79.3%

# masengi	: Mother's e	thnic background				
Value	Label		Cases		Percentage	
4	Aborigina	ıl	12	1.1%		
5	Foreigner		0			
9	Nonrespo	nse	31	2.8%		
		umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be	e interpreted as summary statistics of the	population of interest.		
# t_cidenti	ty: Taiwane	ese/Chinese identity				
- • • • • • • • •		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] []	Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [N	W/ W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]				
Value	Label		Cases		Percentage	
1	Taiwanes	e	656			59.6%
2	Both		364		33.1%	
3	Chinese		32	2.9%		
9	Nonrespo	nse	48	4.4%		
Warning: these f	igures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be	e interpreted as summary statistics of the	population of interest.		
# partyid:	Party identi	ification				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] []	Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [N	W/ W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]				
Value	Label		Cases		Percentage	
1	KMT		287		1	26.1%
2	DPP		313			28.5%
3	NP		10	0.9%		_
4	PFP		41	3.7%		
5	TSU		6	0.5%		
6	Neutral an	nd it depends	348			31.6%
7		nse and other parties	95	8.6%	,)	
Warning: these f	igures indicate the n	umber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be	e interpreted as summary statistics of the	population of interest.		
# party: P	arty suppor	t				
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] []	Range= 1-16] [Missing=*]			
Statistics [N	W/ W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]				
Value	Label		Cases		Percentage	
1		support KMT	86	7.8%	Tercentuge	
2		it support KMT	170	7.070	15.5%	
3	Lean to th		31	2.8%	1010/0	
4		support DPP	101	9.2%		
5	•••	it support DPP	189	7.270	17.2%	
6	Lean to th		23	2.1%		
7		support NP	4	0.4%		
8	Somewhat support NP		5	0.5%		
9	Lean to the NP		1	0.1%		
10	Strongly	support PFP	19	1.7%		
		it support PFP	18	1.6%		
11			4	0.4%		
11 12	Lean to the		т	0.470		
		support TSU	2	0.2%		

Value	Label		Cases		Percentage	
15	Lean to the	e TSU	0		Tercentage	
16	Neutral and other parties		443			40.3%
		mber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summ		opulation of interest.		10.57
[#] career: C	occupation5					
nformation [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5]			issing=*]			
Statistics [NV	atistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]					
Value	Label		Cases		Percentage	
1	Hight-clas	s WHITE COLLAR	474			43.1%
2	Low-class	WHITE COLLAR	211		19.2%	
3	FARMER		42	3.8%		
4	WORKER		341		31.0%	
5	Others		32	2.9%		
		mber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summ	nary statistics of the p	opulation of interest.		
	Occupation					
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [M	issing=*]			
Statistics [NV	V/W]	[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]				
Value	Label		Cases		Percentage	
1	Civil serva	ants	170		15.5%	
2	Managers	and Professionals (Priv.)	271			24.69
3	CLERKS	(Priv.)	154		14.0%	
4	Labor (Pri		251			22.8%
5	FARMER		36	3.3%		
6	Students		22	2.0%		
7	Housekeep	ping	184	16.7%		
8 Waaning these G	Others	when of some found in the date file. Then some the intermedial as sum	12	1.1%		
		mber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as sum	nary statistics of the p	opulation of interest.		
		nification and independence				
Information Statistics [NV	W/ W1	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [M [Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	issing=*j			
Value	Label		Cases		Percentage	
1		unification	18	1.6%	Tercentage	
2		he status quo, move toward unification	103	9.49	%	
3		he status quo, decide either unification or indepe	371	2.47		33.79
4		he status quo, decide chiler unification of hidepe	321			29.2%
5		he status quo, move toward independence	176		16.0%	
6		Immediate independence		3.5%		
9		Nonresponse		6.6%		
		mber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summ	73 nary statistics of the p			
[#] arear: A	rea					
Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9			issing=*]			

# arear: A	rea							
Value	Label		Cases	Percentage				
1	Taipei metr	o area	207		18.8%			
2	New Taipe	city-Chilung	90	8.2%				
3	Taoyuan-H	sinchu-Miaoli	142		12.9%			
4	Taichung-C	Changhwa-Nanto	234		21.3%			
5	Yunlin-Chi	ai-Tainan	178		16.2%			
6	Kaohsiung-	Pingtung-Pemghu	188		17.1%			
7	Yi Lan-Hw	alian-Taitung	50	4.5%				
9	Nonrespons	3e	11	1.0%				
Warning: these fi	gures indicate the nun	uber of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summ	nary statistics of the p	population of interest.				
# w: weigh	ıt							
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.292785942-4.391465523] [Missing=*]						
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1100 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0.541 /-]						