Taiwan, ROC

Chi Huang, Election Study Center, National Chengchi University

Taiwan's Election and Democratization Study, 2012-2016 (III): Telephone Interview and Internet Survey of the Presidential Satisfaction--The Tenth Wave (TEDS2014_PA12)

Study Documentation

January 14, 2020

Metadata Production

Metadata Producer(s)	Survey Research Data Archive (SRDA) , Center for Survey Research, Research Center for Humanities Social Sciences, Academia Sinica
Identification	D00147en

Table of Contents

Taiwan's Election and Democratization Study, 2012-2016 (III): Telephone Interview and Internet Survey of the Presidential Satisfaction--The Tenth Wave (TEDS2014_PA12) (TEDS - Taiwan's Election and Democratization Study)

Overview

Type	Election Studies
Identification	D00147en
Version	Production Date: 2020-01-14 1
Kind of Data	Sampling
Unit of Analysis	Individuals

Scope & Coverage

Time Period(s)	2014
Countries	Taiwan, ROC
Geographic Coverage Taiwan	

Producers & Sponsors

Primary Investigator(s)	Chi Huang, Election Study Center, National Chengchi University
Other Producer(s)	Election Study Center, National Chengchi University
Funding Agency/ies	Ministry of Science and Technology

Data Collection

Data Collection Dates	start 2014-12-11 end 2014-12-15 start 2014-12-19 end 2014-12-22
Time Period(s)	start 2014-08-01 end 2015-07-31
Data Collection Mode	Telephone Survey

Data Processing & Appraisal

Data Editing
CSR has checked wild codes and out-of-range values, to validate and clean data. There is no doubt about data accuracy after the validation.

Other Processing
Telephone and Internet Survey

Taiwan's Election and Democratization Study, 2012-2016 (III): Telephone Interview and Internet Survey of the Presidential Satisfaction--The Tenth Wave (TEDS2014_PA12) - Overview

- 5 -

Accessibility	
Contact(s)	Survey Research Data Archive (Center for Survey Research, Research Center for Humanities Social Sciences, Academia Sinica) , http://srda.sinica.edu.tw , srda@gate.sinica.edu.tw
Distributor(s)	Survey Research Data Archive
Depositor(s)	Election Study Center, National Chengchi University

Access Conditions
Standard Access Data (Downloads for Regular Member, Academia Sinica Researcher)

Files Description

Dataset contains 2 file(s)

TEDS2014-I_PA12E	
# Cases	509
# Variable(s)	58

TEDS2014-T_PA12E	
# Cases	1081
# Variable(s)	98

Variables Group(s)

Dataset contains 27 group(s)

Group Internet Survey	
Subgroup(s)	Sample Information , Politics and Media , Government Performance , Image and Capability , Cabinet Performance , Economic Evaluations , Position on Unification and Independence , Party Identification , Taiwanese/Chinese Identification , Voting Participation , Personal Data

Group Telephone Interview	
Subgroup(s)	Sample Information , Politics and Media , Government Performance , Image and Capability , Cabinet Performance , Economic Evaluations , Position on Unification and Independence , Party Identification , Taiwanese/Chinese Identification , County Magistrate/City Mayoral satisfaction , Voting Participation , Personal Data , New Variable , Weight

Group Sample Information

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	submitdate	submitdate	discrete	character-20	509	-	-
2	id	id	continuous	numeric-3.0	509	0	-

Group Politics and Media

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q1	1.Which TV news did you watch most often?	discrete	numeric-2.0	509	0	-
2	q2	2.Generally, would you say that you are very, somewhat, not very or not at all interested in politics?	discrete	numeric-1.0	509	0	-

Group Government Performance

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q3	3.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou overall performance as president over the past six months?	discrete	numeric-1.0	509	0	-
2	q4	4.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in handling the Cross-strait relations?	discrete	numeric-1.0	509	0	-
3	q5	5.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in diplomacy?	discrete	numeric-1.0	509	0	-
4	q6	6.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in national defense?	discrete	numeric-1.0	509	0	-
5	q7	7.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in promoting economic development?	discrete	numeric-1.0	509	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
6	q8	8.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in handling the livelihood issues?	discrete	numeric-1.0	509	0	-
7	q9	9.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou's government in food safety management?	discrete	numeric-1.0	509	0	-

Group Image and Capability

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q10	10.In personal morality, if 0 means that he has bad personal morality, 10 means that he has good morality, how would you rate Ma, Ying-jeou using a 0-to-10 scale?	discrete	numeric-2.0	509	0	-
2	q11	11.In leadership, if 0 means that he has poor leadership, 10 means that he has good leadership, how would you rate Ma, Ying-jeou using a 0-to-10 scale?	discrete	numeric-2.0	509	0	-
3	q12	12.Regard your trust toward President Ma, Ying-jeou, if 0 means that you have a deep distrust of him, 10 means that you have a high trust of him, how would you rate Ma, Ying-jeou using a 0-to-10 scale?	discrete	numeric-2.0	509	0	-
4	q13	13.In human resource allocation, if 0 means that he employs persons irrelevantly, 10 means that he employs persons relevantly, how would you rate Ma, Ying-jeou using a 0-to-10 scale?	discrete	numeric-2.0	509	0	-

Group Cabinet Performance

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q14	14.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Yi-huah Jiang as ex-premier over the last six months?	discrete	numeric-1.0	509	0	-
2	q15	15.After the election held in November this year, the executive yuan has been reshuffled. Are you satisfied with the overall performance of the former executive yuan over the past six months?	discrete	numeric-1.0	509	0	-
3	q16	16.In our society, there are different opinions about Mao Chi-kuo being assigned as the premier. Are you satisfied	discrete	numeric-1.0	509	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		with Mao Chi-kuo taking over as the premier?					
4	q17	17.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Tsai, Ing-wen to be the chairman of DPP over the last six months?	discrete	numeric-1.0	509	0	-

Group Economic Evaluations

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q18	18.Would you say that over the past six months, the state of economy of Taiwan has gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse?	discrete	numeric-1.0	509	0	-
2	q19	19.Would you say that in the next six months, the state of the economy of Taiwan will get better, stay about the same, or get worse?	discrete	numeric-1.0	509	0	-

Group Position on Unification and Independence

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	tondu	TONDU	discrete	numeric-1.0	509	0	-

Group Party Identification

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	partyid	PARTYID	discrete	numeric-1.0	509	0	-

Group Taiwanese/Chinese Identification

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	t_cidentity	T_Cidentity	discrete	numeric-1.0	509	0	-

Group Voting Participation

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q22	22.In 2012 Presidential Election, who did you vote for?	discrete	numeric-2.0	509	0	-
2	q23	23.In the municipal elections of last month, which party's nominee did you vote for?	discrete	numeric-1.0	509	0	-

Group Personal Data

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	sex	SEX	discrete	numeric-1.0	509	0	-
2	age	AGE	discrete	numeric-1.0	509	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
3	edu	EDU	discrete	numeric-1.0	509	0	-
4	arear	AREAR	discrete	numeric-1.0	509	0	-
5	career8	CAREER8	discrete	numeric-1.0	509	0	-
6	sengi	SENGI	discrete	numeric-1.0	509	0	-
7	masengi	MASENGI	discrete	numeric-1.0	509	0	-
8	q20	20.Please tell me where your household is registered?	discrete	numeric-2.0	509	0	-
9	q21a	21.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Lin, Tsung-Hsien as county magistrate over the last four years?	discrete	numeric-2.0	509	0	-
10	q21b	21.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Wu, Chih-Yang as county magistrate over the last four years?	discrete	numeric-2.0	509	0	-
11	q21c	21.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Chiu, Ching-Chun as county magistrate over the last four years?	discrete	numeric-2.0	509	0	-
12	q21d	21.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Liu, Cheng-Hung as county magistrate over the last four years?	discrete	numeric-2.0	509	0	-
13	q21e	21.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Cho, Po-Yuan as county magistrate over the last four years?	discrete	numeric-2.0	509	0	-
14	q21f	21.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Lee Chao-Ching as county magistrate over the last four years?	discrete	numeric-2.0	509	0	-
15	q21g	21.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Su, Chih-Fen as county magistrate over the last four years?	discrete	numeric-2.0	509	0	-
16	q21h	21.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Chang, Hwa-Kuan as county magistrate over the last four years?	discrete	numeric-2.0	509	0	-
17	q21i	21.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Tsao, Chi-Hung as county magistrate over the last four years?	discrete	numeric-2.0	509	0	-
18	q21j	21.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Justin Huang, as county magistrate over the last four years?	discrete	numeric-2.0	509	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
19	q21k	21.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Fu, Kun-Chi as county magistrate over the last four years?	discrete	numeric-2.0	509	0	-
20	q21l	21.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Wang, Chien-fa as county magistrate over the last four years?	discrete	numeric-2.0	509	0	-
21	q21m	21.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Li, Wo-Shi as county magistrate over the last four years?	discrete	numeric-2.0	509	0	-
22	q21n	21.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Yang, Sui-Sheng as county magistrate over the last four years?	discrete	numeric-2.0	509	0	-
23	q21o	21.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Chang, Tong-rong as county magistrate over the last four years?	discrete	numeric-2.0	509	0	-
24	q21p	21.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Hsu, Ming-Tsai as city mayor over the last four years?	discrete	numeric-2.0	509	0	-
25	q21q	21.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Huang, Min Huei as city mayor over the last four years?	discrete	numeric-2.0	509	0	-
26	q21r	21.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Hau, Lung-Bin as city mayor over the last four years?	discrete	numeric-2.0	509	0	-
27	q21s	21.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Chen, Chu as city mayor over the last four years?	discrete	numeric-2.0	509	0	-
28	q21t	21.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Eric Liluan Chu as city mayor over the last four years?	discrete	numeric-2.0	509	0	-
29	q21u	21.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Jason Hu as city mayor over the last four years?	discrete	numeric-2.0	509	0	-
30	q21v	21.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Lai, Ching-Te as city mayor over the last four years?	discrete	numeric-2.0	509	0	-
31	q24	24.What time do you usually go to bed? (24 hours)	discrete	numeric-2.0	509	0	-
32	q24a	24a.What time do you usually get up? (24 hours)	discrete	numeric-2.0	509	0	-

Group Sample Information

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	id	identified number	continuous	numeric-6.0	1081	0	-
2	date	day	discrete	numeric-6.0	1081	0	-
3	btime	starting time	continuous	numeric-6.0	1081	0	-
4	etime	ending time	continuous	numeric-6.0	1081	0	-

Group Politics and Media

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q1	1.Which TV news did you watch most often?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1081	0	-
2	q2	2.Generally, would you say that you are very, somewhat, not very or not at all interested in politics?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1081	0	-

Group Government Performance

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q3	3.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou overall performance as president over the past six months?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1081	0	-
2	q4	4.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in handling the Cross-strait relations?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1081	0	-
3	q5	5.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in diplomacy?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1081	0	-
4	q6	6.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in national defense?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1081	0	-
5	q7	7.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in promoting economic development?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1081	0	-
6	q8	8.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in handling the livelihood issues?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1081	0	-
7	q9	9.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou's government in food safety management?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1081	0	-

Group Image and Capability

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q10	10.In personal morality, if 0 means that he has bad personal morality, 10 means that he has good morality, how would you rate Ma,	discrete	numeric-2.0	1081	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		Ying-jeou using a 0-to-10 scale?					
2	q11	11.In leadership, if 0 means that he has poor leadership, 10 means that he has good leadership, how would you rate Ma, Ying-jeou using a 0-to-10 scale?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1081	0	-
3	q12	12.Regard your trust toward President Ma, Ying-jeou, if 0 means that you have a deep distrust of him, 10 means that you have a high trust of him, how would you rate Ma, Ying-jeou using a 0-to-10 scale?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1081	0	-
4	q13	13.In human resource allocation, if 0 means that he employs persons irrelevantly, 10 means that he employs persons relevantly, how would you rate Ma, Ying-jeou using a 0-to-10 scale?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1081	0	-

Group Cabinet Performance

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q14	14.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Yi-huah Jiang as ex-premier over the last six months?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1081	0	-
2	q15	15.After the election held in November this year, the executive yuan has been reshuffled. Are you satisfied with the overall performance of the former executive yuan over the past six months?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1081	0	-
3	q16	16.In our society, there are different opinions about Mao Chi-kuo being assigned as the premier. Are you satisfied with Mao Chi-kuo taking over as the premier?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1081	0	-
4	q17	17.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Tsai, Ing-wen to be the chairman of DPP over the last six months?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1081	0	-

Group Economic Evaluations

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q18	18.Would you say that over the past six months, the state of economy of Taiwan has gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1081	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
2	q19	19.Would you say that in the next six months, the state of the economy of Taiwan will get better, stay about the same, or get worse?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1081	0	-

Group Position on Unification and Independence

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q20	20.Concerning the relationship between Taiwan and mainland China, which of the following six positions do you agree with?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1081	0	-

Group Party Identification

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q21	21.Among the main political parties in our country, including the KMT, DPP, NP, PFP, and TSU, do you think of yourself as leaning toward any particular party?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1081	0	-
2	q22	21a.Do you lean very strongly or somewhat?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1081	0	-
3	q23	21b.Relatively speaking, do you lean toward the KMT, DPP, NP, PFP, and TSU, or none of them?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1081	0	-

Group Taiwanese/Chinese Identification

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q24	22.In Taiwan, some people think they are Taiwanese. There are also some people who think that they are Chinese. Do you consider yourself as Taiwanese, Chinese or both?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1081	0	-

Group County Magistrate/City Mayoral satisfaction

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q53	29.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Lin, Tsung-Hsien as county magistrate over the last four years?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1081	0	-
2	q54	29.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Wu, Chih-Yang as county magistrate over the last four years?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1081	0	-
3	q55	29.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of	discrete	numeric-2.0	1081	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		Chiu, Ching-Chun as county magistrate over the last four years?					
4	q56	29.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Liu, Cheng-Hung as county magistrate over the last four years?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1081	0	-
5	q57	29.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Cho, Po-Yuan as county magistrate over the last four years?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1081	0	-
6	q58	29.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Lee Chao-Ching as county magistrate over the last four years?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1081	0	-
7	q59	29.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Su, Chih-Fen as county magistrate over the last four years?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1081	0	-
8	q60	29.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Chang, Hwa-Kuan as county magistrate over the last four years?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1081	0	-
9	q61	29.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Tsao, Chi-Hung as county magistrate over the last four years?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1081	0	-
10	q62	29.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Justin Huang, as county magistrate over the last four years?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1081	0	-
11	q63	29.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Fu, Kun-Chi as county magistrate over the last four years?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1081	0	-
12	q64	29.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Wang, Chien-fa as county magistrate over the last four years?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1081	0	-
13	q65	29.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Chang, Tong-rong as county magistrate over the last four years?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1081	0	-
14	q66	29.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Hsu, Ming-Tsai as city mayor over the last four years?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1081	0	-
15	q67	29.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Huang, Min Huei as city	discrete	numeric-2.0	1081	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		mayor over the last four years?					
16	q68	29.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Hau, Lung-Bin as city mayor over the last four years?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1081	0	-
17	q69	29.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Chen, Chu as city mayor over the last four years?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1081	0	-
18	q70	29.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Eric Liluan Chu as city mayor over the last four years?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1081	0	-
19	q71	29.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Jason Hu as city mayor over the last four years?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1081	0	-
20	q72	29.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Lai, Ching-Te as city mayor over the last four years?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1081	0	-
21	q73	29.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Li, Wo-Shi as county magistrate over the last four years?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1081	0	-
22	q74	29.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Yang, Sui-Sheng as county magistrate over the last four years?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1081	0	-

Group Voting Participation

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q75	30.In 2012 Presidential Election, who did you vote for?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1081	0	-
2	q76	31.In the municipal elections of last month, which party's nominee did you vote for?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1081	0	-

Group Personal Data

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q25	23.Year of birth	discrete	numeric-2.0	1081	0	-
2	q26	24.Education level	discrete	numeric-2.0	1081	0	-
3	q27	25.Father's ethnic background	discrete	numeric-2.0	1081	0	-
4	q28	26.Mother's ethnic background	discrete	numeric-2.0	1081	0	-
5	q29	27.Main occupation of respondent	discrete	numeric-3.0	1081	0	-
6	q30	27a.Main occupation of respondent's spouse	discrete	numeric-3.0	1081	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
7	q31	27b.Main occupation of respondent before retirement or unemployment	discrete	numeric-3.0	1081	0	-
8	q32	28.Where your household is registered?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1081	0	-
9	q33	28.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Yi Lan County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1081	0	-
10	q34	28.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Taoyuan County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1081	0	-
11	q35	28.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Hsinchu County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1081	0	-
12	q36	28.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Miaoli County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1081	0	-
13	q37	28.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Changhua County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1081	0	-
14	q38	28.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Nantou County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1081	0	-
15	q39	28.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Yunlin County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1081	0	-
16	q40	28.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Chiayi County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1081	0	-
17	q41	28.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Pingtung County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1081	0	-
18	q42	28.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Taitung County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1081	0	-
19	q43	28.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Hualien County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1081	0	-
20	q44	28.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Penghu County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1081	0	-
21	q45	28.Where your household is registered? Which district in Keelung City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1081	0	-
22	q46	28.Where your household is registered? Which district in Hsinchu City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1081	0	-
23	q47	28.Where your household is registered? Which district in Chiayi City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1081	0	-
24	q48	28.Where your household is registered? Which district in Taipei City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1081	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
25	q49	28.Where your household is registered? Which district in Kaohsiung City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1081	0	-
26	q50	28.Where your household is registered? Which district in New Taipei City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1081	0	-
27	q51	28.Where your household is registered? Which district in Taichung City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1081	0	-
28	q52	28.Where your household is registered? Which district in Tainan City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1081	0	-
29	q77	32.What time do you usually go to bed? (24 hours)	discrete	numeric-2.0	1081	0	-
30	q78	32a.What time do you usually get up? (24 hours)	discrete	numeric-2.0	1081	0	-
31	q79	32b.Would you please leave us your email address?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1081	0	-
32	q80	33.Respondent's gender	discrete	numeric-1.0	1081	0	-
33	q81	34.Language used to conduct interview	discrete	numeric-1.0	1081	0	-

Group New Variable

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	sex	Sex	discrete	numeric-1.0	1081	0	-
2	age	Age	discrete	numeric-1.0	1081	0	-
3	edu	Education	discrete	numeric-1.0	1081	0	-
4	sengi	Father's ethnic background	discrete	numeric-1.0	1081	0	-
5	masengi	Mother's ethnic background	discrete	numeric-1.0	1081	0	-
6	t_cidentity	Taiwanese/Chinese identity	discrete	numeric-1.0	1081	0	-
7	partyid	Party identification	discrete	numeric-1.0	1081	0	-
8	party	Party support	discrete	numeric-2.0	1081	0	-
9	career	Occupation5	discrete	numeric-1.0	1081	0	-
10	career8	Occupation8	discrete	numeric-1.0	1081	0	-
11	arear	Area	discrete	numeric-1.0	1081	0	-
12	tondu	Position on unification and independence	discrete	numeric-1.0	1081	0	-

Group Weight

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	w	Weight	continuous	numeric-8.5	1081	0	-

Taiwan's Election and Democratization Study, 2012-2016 (III): Telephone Interview and Internet Survey of the Presidential Satisfaction--The Tenth Wave (TEDS2014_PA12) - Variables Description

- 19 -

Variables Description

Dataset contains 156 variable(s)

File : TEDS2014-I_PA12E

submitdate: submitdate

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=509 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2014-12-11		139	27.3%
2014-12-12		142	27.9%
2014-12-13		68	13.4%
2014-12-14		32	6.3%
2014-12-15		46	9.0%
2014-12-16		1	0.2%
2014-12-19		52	10.2%
2014-12-20		8	1.6%
2014-12-21		8	1.6%
2014-12-22		13	2.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

id: id

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-195] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=509 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=79.509 /-] [StdDev=45.308 /-]

sex: SEX

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=509 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	MALE	292	57.4%
2	FEMALE	217	42.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

age: AGE

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=509 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	20~29 years old	119	23.4%
2	30~39 years old	138	27.1%
3	40~49 years old	136	26.7%
4	50~59 years old	91	17.9%
5	over 60 years old	23	4.5%
9	nonresponse	2	0.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

edu: EDU

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=509 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Primary school graduate	2	0.4%
2	Junior high school graduate	7	1.4%

File : TEDS2014-I_PA12E

edu: EDU

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	High school or vocational school graduate	60	11.8%
4	Technical college graduate	76	14.9%
5	University graduate	364	71.5%
9	nonresponse	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

arear: AREAR

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=509 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taipei metro area	137	26.9%
2	New Taipei city-Chilung	42	8.3%
3	Taoyuan-Hsinchu-Miaoli	81	15.9%
4	Taichung-Changhwa-Nanto	78	15.3%
5	Yunlin-Chiai-Tainan	86	16.9%
6	Kaohsiung-Pingtung-Pemghu	50	9.8%
7	Yi Lan-Hwalian-Taitung	34	6.7%
9	nonresponse	1	0.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

career8: CAREER8

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=509 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Civil servants	113	22.2%
2	Managers and Professionals (priv.)	166	32.6%
3	CLERKS (priv.)	90	17.7%
4	Labor (priv.)	65	12.8%
5	FARMER	2	0.4%
6	Students	47	9.2%
7	Housekeeping	23	4.5%
8	Others	3	0.6%
9	nonresponse	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

sengi: SENGI

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=509 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taiwanese Hakka	49	9.6%
2	Taiwanese Min-nan	370	72.7%
3	Mainlander	83	16.3%
4	Aboriginal	1	0.2%
5	Foreigner	0	

File : TEDS2014-I_PA12E

sengi: SENGI

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9	nonresponse	6	1.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

masengi: MASENGI

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=509 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taiwanese Hakka	64	12.6%
2	Taiwanese Min-nan	407	80.0%
3	Mainlander	32	6.3%
4	Aboriginal	0	
5	Foreigner	0	
9	nonresponse	6	1.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

partyid: PARTYID

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=509 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	KMT	178	35.0%
2	DPP	152	29.9%
3	NP	7	1.4%
4	PFP	23	4.5%
5	TSU	19	3.7%
6	neutral and independs	127	25.0%
7	nonresponse and other parties	3	0.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

t_cidentity: T_Cidentity

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=509 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taiwanese	280	55.0%
2	Both	207	40.7%
3	Chinese	15	2.9%
9	nonresponse	7	1.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

tondu: TONDU

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=509 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Immediate unification	12	2.4%
2	Immediate independence	55	10.8%
3	Maintain the status quo, move toward unification in the futu	65	12.8%

File : TEDS2014-I_PA12E

tondu: TONDU

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Maintain the status quo, move toward independence in the fut	97	19.1%
5	Maintain the status quo, decide either unification or indepe	172	33.8%
6	Maintain the status quo forever	101	19.8%
9	nonresponse	7	1.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q1: 1.Which TV news did you watch most often?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-96] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=509 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taiwan Television	21	4.1%
2	China Television	23	4.5%
3	Chinese Television	22	4.3%
4	Formosa Television	44	8.6%
5	TVBS	126	24.8%
6	Sanlih E-Television	39	7.7%
7	Eastern Television	39	7.7%
8	CTi Television	40	7.9%
9	Era Television	35	6.9%
10	Gala Television	0	
11	Unique Satellite TV	23	4.5%
12	Da-Ai Television	2	0.4%
13	Taiwan Public Television	22	4.3%
14	Hakka Television	0	
15	Indigenous Television	0	
16	NEXTTV	55	10.8%
18	Global News	1	0.2%
19	CNN	2	0.4%
21	NHK	0	
23	UDN TV	3	0.6%
92	Don't watch	8	1.6%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It's hard to say	4	0.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q2: 2.Generally, would you say that you are very, somewhat, not very or not at all interested in politics?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=509 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not interested at all	19	3.7%
2	Not very interested	175	34.4%
3	Interested	251	49.3%
4	Very interested	64	12.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : TEDS2014-I_PA12E

q3: 3.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou overall performance as president over the past six months?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=509 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	11	2.2%
2	Satisfied	46	9.0%
3	Not very satisfied	195	38.3%
4	Not satisfied at all	257	50.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q4: 4.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in handling the Cross-strait relations?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=509 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	35	6.9%
2	Satisfied	120	23.6%
3	Not very satisfied	160	31.4%
4	Not satisfied at all	194	38.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q5: 5.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in diplomacy?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=509 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	28	5.5%
2	Satisfied	108	21.2%
3	Not very satisfied	197	38.7%
4	Not satisfied at all	176	34.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q6: 6.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in national defense?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=509 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	18	3.5%
2	Satisfied	97	19.1%
3	Not very satisfied	238	46.8%
4	Not satisfied at all	156	30.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q7: 7.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in promoting economic development?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=509 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	10	2.0%
2	Satisfied	68	13.4%

File : TEDS2014-I_PA12E

q7: 7.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in promoting economic development?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Not very satisfied	157	30.8%
4	Not satisfied at all	274	53.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q8: 8.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in handling the livelihood issues?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=509 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	8	1.6%
2	Satisfied	34	6.7%
3	Not very satisfied	158	31.0%
4	Not satisfied at all	309	60.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q9: 9.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou's government in food safety management?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=509 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	7	1.4%
2	Satisfied	22	4.3%
3	Not very satisfied	114	22.4%
4	Not satisfied at all	304	59.7%
5	Nothing to do with President Ma Ying-jeou	62	12.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q10: 10.In personal morality, if 0 means that he has bad personal morality, 10 means that he has good morality, how would you rate Ma, Ying-jeou using a 0-to-10 scale?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=509 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 has bad personal morality	78	15.3%
2	1	38	7.5%
3	2	32	6.3%
4	3	35	6.9%
5	4	25	4.9%
6	5	45	8.8%
7	6	42	8.3%
8	7	53	10.4%
9	8	78	15.3%
10	9	35	6.9%
11	10 has good personal morality	48	9.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : TEDS2014-I_PA12E

q11: 11.In leadership, if 0 means that he has poor leadership, 10 means that he has good leadership, how would you rate Ma, Ying-jeou using a 0-to-10 scale?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=509 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 has poor leadership	139	27.3%
2	1	76	14.9%
3	2	70	13.8%
4	3	70	13.8%
5	4	35	6.9%
6	5	63	12.4%
7	6	25	4.9%
8	7	13	2.6%
9	8	12	2.4%
10	9	2	0.4%
11	10 has good leadership	4	0.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q12: 12.Regard your trust toward President Ma, Ying-jeou, if 0 means that you have a deep distrust of him, 10 means that you have a high trust of him, how would you rate Ma, Ying-jeou using a 0-to-10 scale?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=509 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 have a deep distrust	135	26.5%
2	1	66	13.0%
3	2	49	9.6%
4	3	43	8.4%
5	4	34	6.7%
6	5	58	11.4%
7	6	30	5.9%
8	7	25	4.9%
9	8	26	5.1%
10	9	20	3.9%
11	10 have a high trust	23	4.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q13: 13.In human resource allocation, if 0 means that he employs persons irrelevantly, 10 means that he employs persons relevantly, how would you rate Ma, Ying-jeou using a 0-to-10 scale?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=509 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 employs persons irrelevantly	163	32.0%
2	1	79	15.5%
3	2	65	12.8%
4	3	58	11.4%
5	4	40	7.9%

File : TEDS2014-I_PA12E

q13: 13.In human resource allocation, if 0 means that he employs persons irrelevantly, 10 means that he employs persons relevantly, how would you rate Ma, Ying-jeou using a 0-to-10 scale?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	5	50	9.8%
7	6	25	4.9%
8	7	17	3.3%
9	8	8	1.6%
10	9	0	
11	10 employs persons relevantly	4	0.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q14: 14.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Yi-huah Jiang as ex-premier over the last six months?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=509 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	12	2.4%
2	Satisfied	87	17.1%
3	Not very satisfied	214	42.0%
4	Not satisfied at all	196	38.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q15: 15.After the election held in November this year, the executive yuan has been reshuffled. Are you satisfied with the overall performance of the former executive yuan over the past six months?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=509 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	6	1.2%
2	Satisfied	58	11.4%
3	Not very satisfied	245	48.1%
4	Not satisfied at all	200	39.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q16: 16.In our society, there are different opinions about Mao Chi-kuo being assigned as the premier. Are you satisfied with Mao Chi-kuo taking over as the premier?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=509 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	14	2.8%
2	Satisfied	106	20.8%
3	Not very satisfied	242	47.5%
4	Not satisfied at all	147	28.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q17: 17.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Tsai, Ing-wen to be the chairman of DPP over the last six months?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=509 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

File : TEDS2014-I_PA12E

q17: 17.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Tsai, Ing-wen to be the chairman of DPP over the last six months?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	56	11.0%
2	Satisfied	222	43.6%
3	Not very satisfied	174	34.2%
4	Not satisfied at all	57	11.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q18: 18.Would you say that over the past six months, the state of economy of Taiwan has gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=509 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Better	55	10.8%
2	About the same	290	57.0%
3	Worse	164	32.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q19: 19.Would you say that in the next six months, the state of the economy of Taiwan will get better, stay about the same, or get worse?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=509 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Better	67	13.2%
2	About the same	277	54.4%
3	Worse	165	32.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q20: 20.Please tell me where your household is registered?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2-67] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=509 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Yi Lan County	30	5.9%
3	Taoyuan County	60	11.8%
4	Hsinchu County	16	3.1%
5	Miao Li County	4	0.8%
7	Changhua County	22	4.3%
8	Nantou County	7	1.4%
9	Yunlin County	25	4.9%
10	Chiayi County	1	0.2%
13	Pingtung County	6	1.2%
14	Taitung County	1	0.2%
15	Hualien County	2	0.4%
16	Peng Hu County	0	
17	Keelung City	8	1.6%

File : TEDS2014-I_PA12E

q20: 20.Please tell me where your household is registered?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
18	Hsinchu City	5	1.0%
20	Chiayi City	5	1.0%
28	Kinmen County	1	0.2%
63	Taipei City	71	13.9%
64	Kaoshiung City	43	8.4%
65	New Taipei City	99	19.4%
66	Taichung City	49	9.6%
67	Tainan City	54	10.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q21a: 21.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Lin, Tsung-Hsien as county magistrate over the last four years?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=509 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	3	0.6%
2	Satisfied	12	2.4%
3	Not very satisfied	13	2.6%
4	Not satisfied at all	2	0.4%
99	Skipped	479	94.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q21b: 21.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Wu, Chih-Yang as county magistrate over the last four years?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=509 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	6	1.2%
2	Satisfied	18	3.5%
3	Not very satisfied	26	5.1%
4	Not satisfied at all	10	2.0%
99	Skipped	449	88.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q21c: 21.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Chiu, Ching-Chun as county magistrate over the last four years?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=509 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	1	0.2%
2	Satisfied	7	1.4%
3	Not very satisfied	8	1.6%
4	Not satisfied at all	0	
99	Skipped	493	96.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : TEDS2014-I_PA12E

q21d: 21.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Liu, Cheng-Hung as county magistrate over the last four years?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=509 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	0	
2	Satisfied	3	0.6%
3	Not very satisfied	0	
4	Not satisfied at all	1	0.2%
99	Skipped	505	99.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q21e: 21.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Cho, Po-Yuan as county magistrate over the last four years?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=509 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	1	0.2%
2	Satisfied	8	1.6%
3	Not very satisfied	8	1.6%
4	Not satisfied at all	5	1.0%
99	Skipped	487	95.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q21f: 21.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Lee Chao-Ching as county magistrate over the last four years?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=509 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	0	
2	Satisfied	0	
3	Not very satisfied	4	0.8%
4	Not satisfied at all	3	0.6%
99	Skipped	502	98.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q21g: 21.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Su, Chih-Fen as county magistrate over the last four years?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=509 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	2	0.4%
2	Satisfied	13	2.6%
3	Not very satisfied	8	1.6%
4	Not satisfied at all	2	0.4%
99	Skipped	484	95.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : TEDS2014-I_PA12E

q21h: 21.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Chang, Hwa-Kuan as county magistrate over the last four years?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=509 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	0	
2	Satisfied	0	
3	Not very satisfied	1	0.2%
4	Not satisfied at all	0	
99	Skipped	508	99.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q21i: 21.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Tsao, Chi-Hung as county magistrate over the last four years?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=509 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	0	
2	Satisfied	2	0.4%
3	Not very satisfied	2	0.4%
4	Not satisfied at all	2	0.4%
99	Skipped	503	98.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q21j: 21.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Justin Huang, as county magistrate over the last four years?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=509 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	0	
2	Satisfied	1	0.2%
3	Not very satisfied	0	
4	Not satisfied at all	0	
99	Skipped	508	99.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q21k: 21.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Fu, Kun-Chi as county magistrate over the last four years?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=509 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	0	
2	Satisfied	1	0.2%
3	Not very satisfied	0	
4	Not satisfied at all	1	0.2%
99	Skipped	507	99.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : TEDS2014-I_PA12E

q21l: 21.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Wang, Chien-fa as county magistrate over the last four years?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=509 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	0	
2	Satisfied	0	
3	Not very satisfied	0	
4	Not satisfied at all	0	
99	Skipped	509	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q21m: 21.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Li, Wo-Shi as county magistrate over the last four years?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=509 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	0	
2	Satisfied	0	
3	Not very satisfied	0	
4	Not satisfied at all	1	0.2%
99	Skipped	508	99.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q21n: 21.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Yang, Sui-Sheng as county magistrate over the last four years?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=509 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	0	
2	Satisfied	0	
3	Not very satisfied	0	
4	Not satisfied at all	0	
99	Skipped	509	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q21o: 21.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Chang, Tong-rong as county magistrate over the last four years?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=509 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	0	
2	Satisfied	0	
3	Not very satisfied	2	0.4%
4	Not satisfied at all	6	1.2%
99	Skipped	501	98.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : TEDS2014-I_PA12E

q21p: 21.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Hsu, Ming-Tsai as city mayor over the last four years?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=509 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	0	
2	Satisfied	1	0.2%
3	Not very satisfied	2	0.4%
4	Not satisfied at all	2	0.4%
99	Skipped	504	99.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q21q: 21.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Huang, Min Huei as city mayor over the last four years?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=509 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	2	0.4%
2	Satisfied	2	0.4%
3	Not very satisfied	1	0.2%
4	Not satisfied at all	0	
99	Skipped	504	99.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q21r: 21.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Hau, Lung-Bin as city mayor over the last four years?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=509 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	8	1.6%
2	Satisfied	26	5.1%
3	Not very satisfied	27	5.3%
4	Not satisfied at all	10	2.0%
99	Skipped	438	86.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q21s: 21.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Chen, Chu as city mayor over the last four years?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=509 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	12	2.4%
2	Satisfied	16	3.1%
3	Not very satisfied	10	2.0%
4	Not satisfied at all	5	1.0%
99	Skipped	466	91.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q21t: 21.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Eric Liluan Chu as city mayor over the last four years?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=509 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	17	3.3%
2	Satisfied	45	8.8%
3	Not very satisfied	27	5.3%
4	Not satisfied at all	10	2.0%
99	Skipped	410	80.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q21u: 21.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Jason Hu as city mayor over the last four years?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=509 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	4	0.8%
2	Satisfied	17	3.3%
3	Not very satisfied	23	4.5%
4	Not satisfied at all	5	1.0%
99	Skipped	460	90.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q21v: 21.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Lai, Ching-Te as city mayor over the last four years?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=509 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	15	2.9%
2	Satisfied	28	5.5%
3	Not very satisfied	9	1.8%
4	Not satisfied at all	2	0.4%
99	Skipped	455	89.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q22: 22.In 2012 Presidential Election, who did you vote for?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-95] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=509 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

q22: 22.In 2012 Presidential Election, who did you vote for?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Ma, Ying-jeou and Wu, Den-yih	255	50.1%
2	Tsai, Ing-wen and Su, Jia-chyuan	158	31.0%
3	James Soong and Lin, Ruey Shiung	19	3.7%
91	Forgot	0	
92	Not eligible to vote	12	2.4%
93	Didn't vote	53	10.4%
94	Cast an invalid vote	12	2.4%
95	Refuse to answer	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q23: 23.In the municipal elections of last month, which party's nominee did you vote for?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=509 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	KMT	186	36.5%
2	DPP	224	44.0%
8	None	99	19.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q24: 24.What time do you usually go to bed? (24 hours)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-24] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=509 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	52	10.2%
2	2	24	4.7%
3	3	9	1.8%
4	4	2	0.4%
5	5	0	
6	6	1	0.2%
7	7	1	0.2%
8	8	0	
9	9	0	
10	10	0	
11	11	0	
12	12	0	
13	13	0	
14	14	1	0.2%
15	15	0	
16	16	0	
17	17	0	
18	18	4	0.8%
19	19	5	1.0%
20	20	4	0.8%
21	21	8	1.6%
22	22	67	13.2%

q24: 24.What time do you usually go to bed? (24 hours)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
23	23	187	36.7%
24	24	144	28.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q24a: 24a.What time do you usually get up? (24 hours)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-24] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=509 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	0	
2	2	0	
3	3	3	0.6%
4	4	4	0.8%
5	5	35	6.9%
6	6	148	29.1%
7	7	196	38.5%
8	8	74	14.5%
9	9	26	5.1%
10	10	9	1.8%
11	11	7	1.4%
12	12	4	0.8%
13	13	0	
14	14	0	
15	15	0	
16	16	0	
17	17	0	
18	18	0	
19	19	0	
20	20	0	
21	21	0	
22	22	2	0.4%
23	23	1	0.2%
24	24	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : TEDS2014-T_PA12E

id: identified number

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 70004-116939] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=91975.643 /-] [StdDev=14029.739 /-]

date: day

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 141211-141215] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=141212.778 /-] [StdDev=1.243 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
141211		222	20.5%
141212		221	20.4%
141213		307	28.4%
141214		237	21.9%
141215		94	8.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

btime: starting time

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 180359-223028] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=199929.97 /-] [StdDev=11649.887 /-]

etime: ending time

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 181146-224821] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=201687.47 /-] [StdDev=11713.417 /-]

q1: 1.Which TV news did you watch most often?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-96] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taiwan Television	36	3.3%
2	China Television	50	4.6%
3	Chinese Television	27	2.5%
4	Formosa Television	119	11.0%
5	TVBS	170	15.7%
6	Sanlih E-Television	100	9.3%
7	Eastern Television	59	5.5%
8	CTi Television	58	5.4%
9	Era Television	59	5.5%
10	Gala Television	0	
11	Unique Satellite TV	18	1.7%
12	Da-Ai Television	6	0.6%
13	Taiwan Public Television	18	1.7%
14	Hakka TV	0	
15	Indigenous Television	1	0.1%
16	NextTV	67	6.2%
21	BBC	1	0.1%
92	Don't watch	106	9.8%
95	Refuse to answer	1	0.1%

File : TEDS2014-T_PA12E

q1: 1.Which TV news did you watch most often?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
96	It's hard to say	185	17.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q2: 2.Generally, would you say that you are very, somewhat, not very or not at all interested in politics?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Not interested at all	168	15.5%
2	Not very interested	472	43.7%
3	Interested	316	29.2%
4	Very interested	59	5.5%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It depends	31	2.9%
97	No opinion	19	1.8%
98	Don't know	16	1.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q3: 3.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou overall performance as president over the past six months?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	17	1.6%
2	Satisfied	102	9.4%
3	Not very satisfied	342	31.6%
4	Not satisfied at all	502	46.4%
95	Refuse to answer	5	0.5%
96	It's hard to say	26	2.4%
97	No opinion	48	4.4%
98	Don't know	39	3.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q4: 4.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in handling the Cross-strait relations?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	42	3.9%
2	Satisfied	185	17.1%
3	Not very satisfied	295	27.3%
4	Not satisfied at all	368	34.0%
95	Refuse to answer	1	0.1%
96	It's hard to say	27	2.5%
97	No opinion	49	4.5%
98	Don't know	114	10.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : TEDS2014-T_PA12E

q5: 5.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in diplomacy?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	48	4.4%
2	Satisfied	213	19.7%
3	Not very satisfied	303	28.0%
4	Not satisfied at all	303	28.0%
95	Refuse to answer	3	0.3%
96	It's hard to say	27	2.5%
97	No opinion	47	4.3%
98	Don't know	137	12.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q6: 6.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in national defense?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	30	2.8%
2	Satisfied	170	15.7%
3	Not very satisfied	294	27.2%
4	Not satisfied at all	283	26.2%
95	Refuse to answer	2	0.2%
96	It's hard to say	27	2.5%
97	No opinion	70	6.5%
98	Don't know	205	19.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q7: 7.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in promoting economic development?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	24	2.2%
2	Satisfied	145	13.4%
3	Not very satisfied	303	28.0%
4	Not satisfied at all	531	49.1%
95	Refuse to answer	2	0.2%
96	It's hard to say	17	1.6%
97	No opinion	18	1.7%
98	Don't know	41	3.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q8: 8.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in handling the livelihood issues?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

File : TEDS2014-T_PA12E

q8: 8.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in handling the livelihood issues?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	15	1.4%
2	Satisfied	80	7.4%
3	Not very satisfied	312	28.9%
4	Not satisfied at all	606	56.1%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It's hard to say	16	1.5%
97	No opinion	21	1.9%
98	Don't know	31	2.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q9: 9.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou's government in food safety management?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	12	1.1%
2	Satisfied	59	5.5%
3	Not very satisfied	221	20.4%
4	Not satisfied at all	703	65.0%
94	It's nothing to do with President Ma	24	2.2%
95	Refuse to answer	1	0.1%
96	It's hard to say	18	1.7%
97	No opinion	16	1.5%
98	Don't know	27	2.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q10: 10.In personal morality, if 0 means that he has bad personal morality, 10 means that he has good morality, how would you rate Ma, Ying-jeou using a 0-to-10 scale?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	0 has bad personal morality	138	12.8%
1		37	3.4%
2		50	4.6%
3		80	7.4%
4		53	4.9%
5		205	19.0%
6		121	11.2%
7		91	8.4%
8		131	12.1%
9		55	5.1%
10	10 has good personal morality	52	4.8%
95	Refuse to answer	10	0.9%
98	Don't know	58	5.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : TEDS2014-T_PA12E

q11: 11.In leadership, if 0 means that he has poor leadership, 10 means that he has good leadership, how would you rate Ma, Ying-jeou using a 0-to-10 scale?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	0 has poor leadership	206	19.1%
1		71	6.6%
2		113	10.5%
3		167	15.4%
4		102	9.4%
5		184	17.0%
6		89	8.2%
7		52	4.8%
8		14	1.3%
9		8	0.7%
10	10 has good leadership	17	1.6%
95	Refuse to answer	9	0.8%
98	Don't know	49	4.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q12: 12.Regard your trust toward President Ma, Ying-jeou, if 0 means that you have a deep distrust of him, 10 means that you have a high trust of him, how would you rate Ma, Ying-jeou using a 0-to-10 scale?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	0 have a deep distrust	279	25.8%
1		51	4.7%
2		79	7.3%
3		103	9.5%
4		78	7.2%
5		188	17.4%
6		92	8.5%
7		64	5.9%
8		49	4.5%
9		19	1.8%
10	10 have a high trust	30	2.8%
95	Refuse to answer	6	0.6%
98	Don't know	43	4.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q13: 13.In human resource allocation, if 0 means that he employs persons irrelevantly, 10 means that he employs persons relevantly, how would you rate Ma, Ying-jeou using a 0-to-10 scale?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	0 employs persons irrelevantly	282	26.1%

File : TEDS2014-T_PA12E

 # q13: 13.In human resource allocation, if 0 means that he employs persons irrelevantly, 10 means that he employs persons relevantly, how would you rate Ma, Ying-jeou using a 0-to-10 scale?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		80	7.4%
2		109	10.1%
3		158	14.6%
4		86	8.0%
5		167	15.4%
6		69	6.4%
7		33	3.1%
8		13	1.2%
9		4	0.4%
10	10 employs persons relevantly	15	1.4%
95	Refuse to answer	8	0.7%
98	Don't know	57	5.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

 # q14: 14.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Yi-huah Jiang as ex-premier over the last six months?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	35	3.2%
2	Satisfied	189	17.5%
3	Not very satisfied	366	33.9%
4	Not satisfied at all	313	29.0%
95	Refuse to answer	3	0.3%
96	It's hard to say	24	2.2%
97	No opinion	36	3.3%
98	Don't know	115	10.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

 # q15: 15.After the election held in November this year, the executive yuan has been reshuffled. Are you satisfied with the overall performance of the former executive yuan over the past six months?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	15	1.4%
2	Satisfied	119	11.0%
3	Not very satisfied	450	41.6%
4	Not satisfied at all	341	31.5%
95	Refuse to answer	2	0.2%
96	It's hard to say	19	1.8%
97	No opinion	36	3.3%
98	Don't know	99	9.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : TEDS2014-T_PA12E

q16: 16.In our society, there are different opinions about Mao Chi-kuo being assigned as the premier. Are you satisfied with Mao Chi-kuo taking over as the premier?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	22	2.0%
2	Satisfied	126	11.7%
3	Not very satisfied	310	28.7%
4	Not satisfied at all	229	21.2%
95	Refuse to answer	5	0.5%
96	It's hard to say	41	3.8%
97	No opinion	105	9.7%
98	Don't know	243	22.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q17: 17.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Tsai, Ing-wen to be the chairman of DPP over the last six months?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	163	15.1%
2	Satisfied	440	40.7%
3	Not very satisfied	157	14.5%
4	Not satisfied at all	61	5.6%
95	Refuse to answer	4	0.4%
96	It's hard to say	32	3.0%
97	No opinion	87	8.0%
98	Don't know	137	12.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q18: 18.Would you say that over the past six months, the state of economy of Taiwan has gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Better	111	10.3%
2	About the same	561	51.9%
3	Worse	376	34.8%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It depends	1	0.1%
97	No opinion	8	0.7%
98	Don't know	24	2.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q19: 19.Would you say that in the next six months, the state of the economy of Taiwan will get better, stay about the same, or get worse?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

File : TEDS2014-T_PA12E

q19: 19.Would you say that in the next six months, the state of the economy of Taiwan will get better, stay about the same, or get worse?

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Better	144	13.3%
2	About the same	567	52.5%
3	Worse	236	21.8%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It depends	34	3.1%
97	No opinion	10	0.9%
98	Don't know	90	8.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q20: 20.Concerning the relationship between Taiwan and mainland China, which of the following six positions do you agree with?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Immediate unification	14	1.3%
2	Immediate independence	53	4.9%
3	Maintain the status quo, move toward unification in the futu	92	8.5%
4	Maintain the status quo, move toward independence in the fut	188	17.4%
5	Maintain the status quo, decide either unification or indepe	388	35.9%
6	Maintain the status quo forever	299	27.7%
7	Is already an independent country	3	0.3%
95	Refuse to answer	2	0.2%
96	It's hard to say	11	1.0%
97	No opinion	6	0.6%
98	Don't know	25	2.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q21: 21.Among the main political parties in our country, including the KMT, DPP, NP, PFP, and TSU, do you think of yourself as leaning toward any particular party?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	KMT [continue to 21a]	213	19.7%
2	DPP [continue to 21a]	316	29.2%
3	NP [continue to 21a]	17	1.6%
4	PFP [continue to 21a]	35	3.2%
5	TSU [continue to 21a]	26	2.4%
6	All support [continue to 21b]	23	2.1%
7	All don't support [continue to 21b]	292	27.0%
8	KMT and DPP [continue to 21b]	1	0.1%
10	KMT and PFP [continue to 21b]	1	0.1%
19	Vote for candidate not for his/her party [continue to 21b]	40	3.7%

File : TEDS2014-T_PA12E

q21: 21.Among the main political parties in our country, including the KMT, DPP, NP, PFP, and TSU, do you think of yourself as leaning toward any particular party?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
95	Refuse to answer [continue to 21b]	7	0.6%
96	It depends [continue to 21b]	59	5.5%
97	No opinion [continue to 21b]	21	1.9%
98	Don't know [continue to 21b]	30	2.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q22: 21a.Do you lean very strongly or somewhat?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very strongly	162	15.0%
2	Somewhat	445	41.2%
99	Skipped	474	43.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q23: 21b.Relatively speaking, do you lean toward the KMT, DPP, NP, PFP, and TSU, or none of them?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 3-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	KMT	30	2.8%
4	DPP	24	2.2%
5	NP	1	0.1%
6	PFP	3	0.3%
7	TSU	1	0.1%
8	None	387	35.8%
10	KMT and DPP	2	0.2%
12	KMT and PFP	2	0.2%
18	PAN-BLUE	1	0.1%
21	Vote for candidate not for his/her party	8	0.7%
95	Refuse to answer	1	0.1%
96	It depends	5	0.5%
97	No opinion	5	0.5%
98	Don't know	4	0.4%
99	Skipped	607	56.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q24: 22.In Taiwan, some people think they are Taiwanese. There are also some people who think that they are Chinese. Do you consider yourself as Taiwanese, Chinese or both?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taiwanese	627	58.0%
2	Both	393	36.4%
3	Chinese	41	3.8%

File : TEDS2014-T_PA12E

q24: 22.In Taiwan, some people think they are Taiwanese. There are also some people who think that they are Chinese. Do you consider yourself as Taiwanese, Chinese or both?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
95	Refuse to answer	1	0.1%
96	It's hard to say	5	0.5%
97	No opinion	8	0.7%
98	Don't know	6	0.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q25: 23.Year of birth

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 16-95] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
16		1	0.1%
17		1	0.1%
18		1	0.1%
19		1	0.1%
20		2	0.2%
22		2	0.2%
23		4	0.4%
24		1	0.1%
25		6	0.6%
26		3	0.3%
27		4	0.4%
28		4	0.4%
29		5	0.5%
30		9	0.8%
31		6	0.6%
32		5	0.5%
33		9	0.8%
34		9	0.8%
35		12	1.1%
36		10	0.9%
37		14	1.3%
38		13	1.2%
39		11	1.0%
40		21	1.9%
41		20	1.9%
42		17	1.6%
43		24	2.2%
44		14	1.3%
45		31	2.9%
46		10	0.9%
47		18	1.7%
48		19	1.8%
49		17	1.6%

File : TEDS2014-T_PA12E

q25: 23.Year of birth

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
50		38	3.5%
51		26	2.4%
52		32	3.0%
53		38	3.5%
54		29	2.7%
55		30	2.8%
56		30	2.8%
57		31	2.9%
58		27	2.5%
59		24	2.2%
60		36	3.3%
61		16	1.5%
62		17	1.6%
63		19	1.8%
64		30	2.8%
65		32	3.0%
66		24	2.2%
67		20	1.9%
68		28	2.6%
69		18	1.7%
70		27	2.5%
71		26	2.4%
72		21	1.9%
73		6	0.6%
74		11	1.0%
75		14	1.3%
76		4	0.4%
77		18	1.7%
78		17	1.6%
79		10	0.9%
80		14	1.3%
81		14	1.3%
82		10	0.9%
83		9	0.8%
95	Refuse to answer	11	1.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q26: 24.Education level

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-95] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Illiterate	19	1.8%
2	Primary school graduate	66	6.1%
3	Junior high school graduate	130	12.0%

File : TEDS2014-T_PA12E

q26: 24.Education level

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	High school or vocational school graduate	326	30.2%
5	Technical college graduate	168	15.5%
6	University graduate	285	26.4%
7	Post-graduate education	85	7.9%
95	Refuse to answer	2	0.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q27: 25.Father's ethnic background

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taiwanese Hakka	138	12.8%
2	Taiwanese Min-nan	790	73.1%
3	Mainlander	105	9.7%
4	Aboriginal	7	0.6%
5	Foreigner	1	0.1%
95	Refuse to answer	8	0.7%
98	Don't know	32	3.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q28: 26.Mother's ethnic background

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taiwanese Hakka	145	13.4%
2	Taiwanese Min-nan	838	77.5%
3	Mainlander	54	5.0%
4	Aboriginal	8	0.7%
5	Foreigner	2	0.2%
95	Refuse to answer	5	0.5%
98	Don't know	29	2.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q29: 27.Main occupation of respondent

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 101-995] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
101	Legislators	0	
102	Government Administrators	8	0.7%
103	Chief Executives (Pub.)	6	0.6%
104	Chief Executives (Priv.)	117	10.8%
105	Directors have employee	54	5.0%
106	Directors don't have employee	46	4.3%
201	Science Professionals (Pub.)	1	0.1%

File : TEDS2014-T_PA12E

q29: 27.Main occupation of respondent

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
202	Science Professionals (Priv.)	1	0.1%
203	Health Associate Professionals (Pub.)	2	0.2%
204	Health Associate Professionals (Priv.)	9	0.8%
205	Accountants	2	0.2%
206	Teachers (Pub.)	30	2.8%
207	Teachers (Priv.)	8	0.7%
208	Lawyers and Legal Professionals (Pub.)	0	
209	Lawyers and Legal Professionals (Priv.)	0	
210	Religion man	1	0.1%
211	Abstractionist	2	0.2%
212	Writer (author, reporter, dramatist)	1	0.1%
213	Eng. Science Professionals (Pub.)	0	
214	Eng. Science Professionals (Priv.)	11	1.0%
215	Sportsman	1	0.1%
301	Office Clerks (Pub.)	25	2.3%
302	Office Clerks (Priv.)	133	12.3%
303	Salespersons	1	0.1%
401	Personal Services Workers (Priv.)	37	3.4%
501	Agr., Animal Husbandry, Forestry and Fishing Workers (Priv.)	47	4.3%
601	Production Laborers and Rel. Workers (Pub.)	32	3.0%
602	Production Laborers and Rel. Workers (Priv.)	181	16.7%
701	Students	38	3.5%
801	Servicemen	5	0.5%
901	Housekeeping, without domestic crafting [continue to 27a]	110	10.2%
902	Housekeeping, with domestic crafting [continue to 27a]	11	1.0%
903	Housekeeping, helping familial business without pay [continu	10	0.9%
904	Housekeeping, helping familial business with pay [continue t	14	1.3%
905	Unemployment [skip to 27b]	21	1.9%
906	Retired [skip to 27b]	112	10.4%
907	Not working, but has income	0	
911	Haven't employed	0	
995	Refuse to answer	4	0.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q30: 27a.Main occupation of respondent's spouse

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 101-999] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
101	Legislators	0	
102	Government Administrators	1	0.1%
103	Chief Executives (Pub.)	0	
104	Chief Executives (Priv.)	15	1.4%
105	Directors have employee	14	1.3%
106	Directors don't have employee	6	0.6%

File : TEDS2014-T_PA12E

q30: 27a.Main occupation of respondent's spouse

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
201	Science Professionals (Pub.)	0	
202	Science Professionals (Priv.)	0	
203	Health Associate Professionals (Pub.)	0	
204	Health Associate Professionals (Priv.)	1	0.1%
205	Accountants	0	
206	Teachers (Pub.)	3	0.3%
207	Teachers (Priv.)	1	0.1%
208	Lawyers and Legal Professionals (Pub.)	0	
209	Lawyers and Legal Professionals (Priv.)	0	
210	Religion man	0	
211	Abstractionist	0	
212	Writer (author, reporter, dramatist)	0	
213	Eng. Science Professionals (Pub.)	0	
214	Eng. Science Professionals (Priv.)	2	0.2%
215	Sportsman	0	
301	Office Clerks (Pub.)	3	0.3%
302	Office Clerks (Priv.)	13	1.2%
303	Salespersons	1	0.1%
401	Personal Services Workers (Priv.)	8	0.7%
501	Agr., Animal Husbandry, Forestry and Fishing Workers (Priv.)	15	1.4%
601	Production Laborers and Rel. Workers (Pub.)	8	0.7%
602	Production Laborers and Rel. Workers (Priv.)	37	3.4%
701	Students	0	
801	Servicemen	1	0.1%
901	Widowed	7	0.6%
902	Divorced	6	0.6%
907	Not working, but has income	2	0.2%
911	Haven't employed	0	
995	Refuse to answer	1	0.1%
999	Skipped	936	86.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q31: 27b.Main occupation of respondent before retirement or unemployment

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 101-999] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
101	Legislators	0	
102	Government Administrators	4	0.4%
103	Chief Executives (Pub.)	4	0.4%
104	Chief Executives (Priv.)	21	1.9%
105	Directors have employee	9	0.8%
106	Directors don't have employee	7	0.6%
201	Science Professionals (Pub.)	0	
202	Science Professionals (Priv.)	0	

File : TEDS2014-T_PA12E

q31: 27b.Main occupation of respondent before retirement or unemployment

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
203	Health Associate Professionals (Pub.)	1	0.1%
204	Health Associate Professionals (Priv.)	1	0.1%
205	Accountants	0	
206	Teachers (Pub.)	14	1.3%
207	Teachers (Priv.)	1	0.1%
208	Lawyers and Legal Professionals (Pub.)	1	0.1%
209	Lawyers and Legal Professionals (Priv.)	0	
210	Religion man	0	
211	Abstractionist	0	
212	Writer (author, reporter, dramatist)	0	
213	Eng. Science Professionals (Pub.)	0	
214	Eng. Science Professionals (Priv.)	1	0.1%
215	Sportsman	0	
301	Office Clerks (Pub.)	8	0.7%
302	Office Clerks (Priv.)	8	0.7%
303	Salespersons	0	
401	Personal Services Workers (Priv.)	4	0.4%
501	Agr., Animal Husbandry, Forestry and Fishing Workers (Priv.)	7	0.6%
601	Production Laborers and Rel. Workers (Pub.)	2	0.2%
602	Production Laborers and Rel. Workers (Priv.)	37	3.4%
701	Students	0	
801	Servicemen	2	0.2%
995	Refuse to answer	1	0.1%
999	Skipped	948	87.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q32: 28.Where your household is registered?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Yi Lan County	23	2.1%
3	Taoyuan County	78	7.2%
4	Hsinchu County	27	2.5%
5	Miao Li County	39	3.6%
7	Changhua County	93	8.6%
8	Nantou County	30	2.8%
9	Yunlin County	51	4.7%
10	Chiayi County	31	2.9%
13	Pingtung County	50	4.6%
14	Taitung County	6	0.6%
15	Hualien County	9	0.8%
16	Peng Hu County	2	0.2%
17	Keelung City	17	1.6%
18	Hsinchu City	17	1.6%

File : TEDS2014-T_PA12E

q32: 28.Where your household is registered?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
20	Chiayi City	18	1.7%
28	Kinmen County	2	0.2%
30	Lienchiang County	0	
63	Taipei City	116	10.7%
64	Kaoshiung City	127	11.7%
65	New Taipei City	159	14.7%
66	Taichung City	99	9.2%
67	Tainan City	84	7.8%
95	Refuse to answer	3	0.3%
98	Don't know	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q33: 28.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Yi Lan County?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yilan City	0	
2	Luodong Township	0	
3	Su'ao Township	0	
4	Toucheng Township	0	
5	Jiaoxi Township	0	
6	Zhuangwei Township	0	
7	Yuanshan Township	0	
8	Dongshan Township	0	
9	Wujie Township	0	
10	Sanxing Township	0	
11	Datong Township	0	
12	Nan'ao Township	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1081	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q34: 28.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Taoyuan County?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taoyuan City	0	
2	Zhongli City	0	
3	Daxi Township	0	
4	Yangmei City	0	
5	Luzhu Township	0	
6	Dayuan Township	0	
7	Guishan Township	0	

File : TEDS2014-T_PA12E

q34: 28.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Taoyuan County?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	Bade City	0	
9	Longtan Township	0	
10	Pingzhen City	0	
11	Xinwu Township	0	
12	Guanyin Township	0	
13	Fuxing Township	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1081	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q35: 28.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Hsinchu County?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Zhubei City	0	
2	Zhudong Township	0	
3	Xinpu Township	0	
4	Guanxi Township	0	
5	Hukou Township	0	
6	Xinfeng Township	0	
7	Qionglin Township	0	
8	Hengshan Township	0	
9	Beipu Township	0	
10	Baoshan Township	0	
11	Emei Township	0	
12	Jianshi Township	0	
13	Wufeng Township	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1081	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q36: 28.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Miaoli County?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Miaoli City	0	
2	Yuanli Township	0	
3	Tongxiao Township	0	
4	Zhunan Township	0	
5	Toufen Township	0	
6	Houlong Township	0	
7	Zhuolan Township	0	

File : TEDS2014-T_PA12E

q36: 28.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Miaoli County?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	Dahu Township	0	
9	Gongguan Township	0	
10	Tongluo Township	0	
11	Nanzhuang Township	0	
12	Touwu Township	0	
13	Sanyi Township	0	
14	Xihu Township	0	
15	Zaoqiao Township	0	
16	Sanwan Township	0	
17	Shitan Township	0	
18	Tai'an Township	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1081	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q37: 28.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Changhua County?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Changhua City	0	
2	Lugang Township	0	
3	Hemei Township	0	
4	Xianxi Township	0	
5	Shengang Township	0	
6	Fuxing Township	0	
7	Xiushui Township	0	
8	Huatan Township	0	
9	Fenyuan Township	0	
10	Yuanlin Township	0	
11	Xihu Township	0	
12	Tianzhong Township	0	
13	Dacun Township	0	
14	Puyan Township	0	
15	Puxin Township	0	
16	Yongjing Township	0	
17	Shetou Township	0	
18	Ershui Township	0	
19	Beidou Township	0	
20	Erlin Township	0	
21	Tianwei Township	0	
22	Pitou Township	0	
23	Fangyuan Township	0	
24	Dacheng Township	0	

File : TEDS2014-T_PA12E

q37: 28.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Changhua County?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
25	Zhutang Township	0	
26	Xizhou Township	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1081	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q38: 28.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Nantou County?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Nantou City	0	
2	Puli Township	0	
3	Caotun Township	0	
4	Zhushan Township	0	
5	Jiji Township	0	
6	Mingjian Township	0	
7	Lugu Township	0	
8	Zhongliao Township	0	
9	Yuchi Township	0	
10	Guoxing Township	0	
11	Shuili Township	0	
12	Xinyi Township	0	
13	Ren'ai Township	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1081	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q39: 28.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Yunlin County?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Douliu City	0	
2	Dounan Township	0	
3	Huwei Township	0	
4	Xiluo Township	0	
5	Tuku Township	0	
6	Beigang Township	0	
7	Gukeng Township	0	
8	Dapi Township	0	
9	Citong Township	0	
10	Linnei Township	0	
11	Erlun Township	0	

File : TEDS2014-T_PA12E

q39: 28.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Yunlin County?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
12	Lunbei Township	0	
13	Mailiao Township	0	
14	Dongshi Township	0	
15	Baozhong Township	0	
16	Taixi Township	0	
17	Yuanchang Township	0	
18	Sihu Township	0	
19	Kouhu Township	0	
20	Shuilin Township	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1081	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q40: 28.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Chiayi County?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taibao City	0	
2	Puzi City	0	
3	Budai Township	0	
4	Dalin Township	0	
5	Minxiong Township	0	
6	Xikou Township	0	
7	Xingang Township	0	
8	Liujiao Township	0	
9	Dongshi Township	0	
10	Yizhu Township	0	
11	Lucao Township	0	
12	Shuishang Township	0	
13	Zhongpu Township	0	
14	Zhuqi Township	0	
15	Meishan Township	0	
16	Fanlu Township	0	
17	Dapu Township	0	
18	Alishan Township	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1081	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q41: 28.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Pingtung County?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

File : TEDS2014-T_PA12E

q41: 28.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Pingtung County?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Pingtung City	0	
2	Chaozhou Township	0	
3	Donggang Township	0	
4	Hengchun Township	0	
5	Wandan Township	0	
6	Changzhi Township	0	
7	Linluo Township	0	
8	Jiuru Township	0	
9	Ligang Township	0	
10	Yanpu Township	0	
11	Gaoshu Township	0	
12	Wanluan Township	0	
13	Neipu Township	0	
14	Zhutian Township	0	
15	Xinpi Township	0	
16	Fangliao Township	0	
17	Xinyuan Township	0	
18	Kanding Township	0	
19	Linbian Township	0	
20	Nanzhou Township	0	
21	Jiadong Township	0	
22	Liuqiu Township	0	
23	Checheng Township	0	
24	Manzhou Township	0	
25	Fangshan Township	0	
26	Sandimen Township	0	
27	Wutai Township	0	
28	Majia Township	0	
29	Taiwu Township	0	
30	Laiyi Township	0	
31	Chunri Township	0	
32	Shizi Township	0	
33	Mudan Township	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1081	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q42: 28.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Taitung County?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taitung City	0	

File : TEDS2014-T_PA12E

q42: 28.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Taitung County?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Chenggong Township	0	
3	Guanshan Township	0	
4	Beinan Township	0	
5	Luye Township	0	
6	Chishang Township	0	
7	Donghe Township	0	
8	Changbin Township	0	
9	Taimali Township	0	
10	Dawu Township	0	
11	Lyudao Township	0	
12	Haiduan Township	0	
13	Yanping Township	0	
14	Jinfeng Township	0	
15	Daren Township	0	
16	Lanyu Township	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1081	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q43: 28.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Hualien County?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Hualien City	0	
2	Fenglin Township	0	
3	Yuli Township	0	
4	Xincheng Township	0	
5	Ji'an Township	0	
6	Shoufeng Township	0	
7	Guangfu Township	0	
8	Fengbin Township	0	
9	Ruisui Township	0	
10	Fuli Township	0	
11	Xiulin Township	0	
12	Wanrong Township	0	
13	Zhuoxi Township	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1081	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q44: 28.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Penghu County?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]

File : TEDS2014-T_PA12E

q44: 28.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Penghu County?

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Magong City	0	
2	Huxi Township	0	
3	Baisha Township	0	
4	Xiyu Township	0	
5	Wang'an Township	0	
6	Qimei Township	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1081	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q45: 28.Where your household is registered? Which district in Keelung City?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Zhongzheng District	0	
2	Qidu District	0	
3	Nuannuan District	0	
4	Ren'ai District	0	
5	Zhongshan District	0	
6	Anle District	0	
7	Xinyi District	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1081	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q46: 28.Where your household is registered? Which district in Hsinchu City?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	East District	0	
2	North District	0	
3	Xiangshan District	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1081	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q47: 28.Where your household is registered? Which district in Chiayi City?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	East District	0	
2	West District	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1081	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q48: 28.Where your household is registered? Which district in Taipei City?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Songshan District	0	
2	Xinyi District	0	
3	Da'an District	0	
4	Zhongshan District	0	
5	Zhongzheng District	0	
6	Datong District	0	
7	Wanhua District	0	
8	Wenshan District	0	
9	Nangang District	0	
10	Neihu District	0	
11	Shilin District	0	
12	Beitou District	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1081	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q49: 28.Where your household is registered? Which district in Kaohsiung City?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yancheng District	0	
2	Gushan District	0	
3	Zuoying District	0	
4	Nanzi District	0	
5	Sanmin District	0	
6	Xinxing District	0	
7	Qianjin District	0	
8	Lingya District	0	
9	Qianzhen District	0	
10	Qijin District	0	
11	Xiaogang District	0	

q49: 28.Where your household is registered? Which district in Kaohsiung City?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
12	Fengshan District	0	
13	Linyuan District	0	
14	Daliao District	0	
15	Dashu District	0	
16	Dashe District	0	
17	Renwu District	0	
18	Niaosong District	0	
19	Gangshan District	0	
20	Qiaotou District	0	
21	Yanchao District	0	
22	Tianliao District	0	
23	Alian District	0	
24	Luzhu District	0	
25	Hunei District	0	
26	Qieding District	0	
27	Yong'an District	0	
28	Mituo District	0	
29	Ziguan District	0	
30	Qishan District	0	
31	Meinong District	0	
32	Liugui District	0	
33	Jiaxian District	0	
34	Shanlin District	0	
35	Neimen District	0	
36	Maolin District	0	
37	Taoyuan District	0	
38	Namaxia District	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1081	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q50: 28.Where your household is registered? Which district in New Taipei City?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Banqiao District	0	
2	Sanchong District	0	
3	Zhonghe District	0	
4	Yonghe District	0	
5	Xinzhuang District	0	
6	Xindian District	0	
7	Shulin District	0	
8	Yingge District	0	
9	Sanxia District	0	

q50: 28.Where your household is registered? Which district in New Taipei City?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	Danshui District	0	
11	Xizhi District	0	
12	Ruifang District	0	
13	Tucheng District	0	
14	Luzhou District	0	
15	Wugu District	0	
16	Taishan District	0	
17	Linkou District	0	
18	Shenkeng District	0	
19	Shiding District	0	
20	Pinglin District	0	
21	Sanzhi District	0	
22	Shimen District	0	
23	Bali District	0	
24	Pingxi District	0	
25	Shuangxi District	0	
26	Gongliao District	0	
27	Jinshan District	0	
28	Wanli District	0	
29	Wulai District	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1081	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q51: 28.Where your household is registered? Which district in Taichung City?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Central District	0	
2	East District	0	
3	South District	0	
4	West District	0	
5	North District	0	
6	Xitun District	0	
7	Nantun District	0	
8	Beitun District	0	
9	Fengyuan District	0	
10	Dongshi District	0	
11	Dajia District	0	
12	Qingshui District	0	
13	Shalu District	0	
14	Wuqi District	0	
15	Houli District	0	
16	Shengang District	0	

q51: 28.Where your household is registered? Which district in Taichung City?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
17	Tanzi District	0	
18	Daya District	0	
19	Xinshe District	0	
20	Shigang District	0	
21	Waipu District	0	
22	Da'an District	0	
23	Wuri District	0	
24	Dadu District	0	
25	Longjing District	0	
26	Wufeng District	0	
27	Taiping District	0	
28	Dali District	0	
29	Heping District	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1081	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q52: 28.Where your household is registered? Which district in Tainan City?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Xinying District	0	
2	Yanshui District	0	
3	Baihe District	0	
4	Liuying District	0	
5	Houbi District	0	
6	Dongshan District	0	
7	Madou District	0	
8	Xiaying District	0	
9	Liujia District	0	
10	Guantian District	0	
11	Danei District	0	
12	Jiali District	0	
13	Xuejia District	0	
14	Sigang District	0	
15	Qigu District	0	
16	Jiangjun District	0	
17	Beimen District	0	
18	Xinhua District	0	
19	Shanhua District	0	
20	Xinshi District	0	
21	Anding District	0	
22	Shanshang District	0	
23	Yujing District	0	

q52: 28.Where your household is registered? Which district in Tainan City?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
24	Nanxi District	0	
25	Nanhua District	0	
26	Zuozhen District	0	
27	Rende District	0	
28	Guiren District	0	
29	Guanmiao District	0	
30	Longqi District	0	
31	Yongkang District	0	
32	East District	0	
33	South District	0	
34	North District	0	
35	Annan District	0	
36	Anping District	0	
37	West Central District	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1081	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q53: 29.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Lin, Tsung-Hsien as county magistrate over the last four years?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	6	0.6%
2	Satisfied	5	0.5%
3	Not very satisfied	5	0.5%
4	Not satisfied at all	1	0.1%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It's hard to say	1	0.1%
97	No opinion	3	0.3%
98	Don't know	2	0.2%
99	Skipped	1058	97.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q54: 29.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Wu, Chih-Yang as county magistrate over the last four years?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	9	0.8%
2	Satisfied	21	1.9%
3	Not very satisfied	23	2.1%
4	Not satisfied at all	14	1.3%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It's hard to say	1	0.1%

q54: 29.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Wu, Chih-Yang as county magistrate over the last four years?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No opinion	4	0.4%
98	Don't know	6	0.6%
99	Skipped	1003	92.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q55: 29.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Chiu, Ching-Chun as county magistrate over the last four years?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	4	0.4%
2	Satisfied	10	0.9%
3	Not very satisfied	5	0.5%
4	Not satisfied at all	4	0.4%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It's hard to say	1	0.1%
97	No opinion	1	0.1%
98	Don't know	2	0.2%
99	Skipped	1054	97.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q56: 29.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Liu, Cheng-Hung as county magistrate over the last four years?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	4	0.4%
2	Satisfied	17	1.6%
3	Not very satisfied	6	0.6%
4	Not satisfied at all	8	0.7%
95	Refuse to answer	1	0.1%
96	It's hard to say	2	0.2%
97	No opinion	0	
98	Don't know	1	0.1%
99	Skipped	1042	96.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q57: 29.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Cho, Po-Yuan as county magistrate over the last four years?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	15	1.4%
2	Satisfied	35	3.2%
3	Not very satisfied	18	1.7%

q57: 29.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Cho, Po-Yuan as county magistrate over the last four years?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Not satisfied at all	10	0.9%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It's hard to say	1	0.1%
97	No opinion	5	0.5%
98	Don't know	9	0.8%
99	Skipped	988	91.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q58: 29.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Lee Chao-Ching as county magistrate over the last four years?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	0	
2	Satisfied	4	0.4%
3	Not very satisfied	9	0.8%
4	Not satisfied at all	10	0.9%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It's hard to say	1	0.1%
97	No opinion	3	0.3%
98	Don't know	3	0.3%
99	Skipped	1051	97.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q59: 29.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Su, Chih-Fen as county magistrate over the last four years?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	7	0.6%
2	Satisfied	20	1.9%
3	Not very satisfied	11	1.0%
4	Not satisfied at all	4	0.4%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It's hard to say	1	0.1%
97	No opinion	1	0.1%
98	Don't know	7	0.6%
99	Skipped	1030	95.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q60: 29.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Chang, Hwa-Kuan as county magistrate over the last four years?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

q60: 29.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Chang, Hwa-Kuan as county magistrate over the last four years?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	7	0.6%
2	Satisfied	17	1.6%
3	Not very satisfied	4	0.4%
4	Not satisfied at all	2	0.2%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It's hard to say	0	
97	No opinion	0	
98	Don't know	1	0.1%
99	Skipped	1050	97.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q61: 29.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Tsao, Chi-Hung as county magistrate over the last four years?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	7	0.6%
2	Satisfied	19	1.8%
3	Not very satisfied	9	0.8%
4	Not satisfied at all	6	0.6%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It's hard to say	2	0.2%
97	No opinion	3	0.3%
98	Don't know	4	0.4%
99	Skipped	1031	95.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q62: 29.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Justin Huang, as county magistrate over the last four years?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	2	0.2%
2	Satisfied	3	0.3%
3	Not very satisfied	0	
4	Not satisfied at all	1	0.1%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It's hard to say	0	
97	No opinion	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1075	99.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q63: 29.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Fu, Kun-Chi as county magistrate over the last four years?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

q63: 29.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Fu, Kun-Chi as county magistrate over the last four years?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	0	
2	Satisfied	6	0.6%
3	Not very satisfied	0	
4	Not satisfied at all	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It's hard to say	0	
97	No opinion	1	0.1%
98	Don't know	2	0.2%
99	Skipped	1072	99.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q64: 29.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Wang, Chien-fa as county magistrate over the last four years?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	0	
2	Satisfied	0	
3	Not very satisfied	0	
4	Not satisfied at all	2	0.2%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It's hard to say	0	
97	No opinion	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1079	99.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q65: 29.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Chang, Tong-rong as county magistrate over the last four years?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	0	
2	Satisfied	0	
3	Not very satisfied	8	0.7%
4	Not satisfied at all	9	0.8%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It's hard to say	0	
97	No opinion	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1064	98.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q66: 29.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Hsu, Ming-Tsai as city mayor over the last four years?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

q66: 29.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Hsu, Ming-Tsai as city mayor over the last four years?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	2	0.2%
2	Satisfied	4	0.4%
3	Not very satisfied	4	0.4%
4	Not satisfied at all	6	0.6%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It's hard to say	0	
97	No opinion	0	
98	Don't know	1	0.1%
99	Skipped	1064	98.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q67: 29.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Huang, Min Huei as city mayor over the last four years?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	3	0.3%
2	Satisfied	8	0.7%
3	Not very satisfied	1	0.1%
4	Not satisfied at all	3	0.3%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It's hard to say	0	
97	No opinion	2	0.2%
98	Don't know	1	0.1%
99	Skipped	1063	98.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q68: 29.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Hau, Lung-Bin as city mayor over the last four years?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	9	0.8%
2	Satisfied	54	5.0%
3	Not very satisfied	25	2.3%
4	Not satisfied at all	10	0.9%
95	Refuse to answer	1	0.1%
96	It's hard to say	4	0.4%
97	No opinion	8	0.7%
98	Don't know	5	0.5%
99	Skipped	965	89.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q69: 29.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Chen, Chu as city mayor over the last four years?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	41	3.8%

q69: 29.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Chen, Chu as city mayor over the last four years?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Satisfied	49	4.5%
3	Not very satisfied	16	1.5%
4	Not satisfied at all	8	0.7%
95	Refuse to answer	1	0.1%
96	It's hard to say	3	0.3%
97	No opinion	4	0.4%
98	Don't know	5	0.5%
99	Skipped	954	88.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q70: 29.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Eric Liluan Chu as city mayor over the last four years?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	23	2.1%
2	Satisfied	82	7.6%
3	Not very satisfied	21	1.9%
4	Not satisfied at all	11	1.0%
95	Refuse to answer	1	0.1%
96	It's hard to say	6	0.6%
97	No opinion	10	0.9%
98	Don't know	5	0.5%
99	Skipped	922	85.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q71: 29.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Jason Hu as city mayor over the last four years?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	15	1.4%
2	Satisfied	32	3.0%
3	Not very satisfied	26	2.4%
4	Not satisfied at all	15	1.4%
95	Refuse to answer	1	0.1%
96	It's hard to say	2	0.2%
97	No opinion	3	0.3%
98	Don't know	5	0.5%
99	Skipped	982	90.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q72: 29.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Lai, Ching-Te as city mayor over the last four years?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	37	3.4%
2	Satisfied	32	3.0%

q72: 29.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Lai, Ching-Te as city mayor over the last four years?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Not very satisfied	3	0.3%
4	Not satisfied at all	1	0.1%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It's hard to say	5	0.5%
97	No opinion	3	0.3%
98	Don't know	3	0.3%
99	Skipped	997	92.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q73: 29.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Li, Wo-Shi as county magistrate over the last four years?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	0	
2	Satisfied	0	
3	Not very satisfied	0	
4	Not satisfied at all	1	0.1%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It's hard to say	0	
97	No opinion	0	
98	Don't know	1	0.1%
99	Skipped	1079	99.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q74: 29.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Yang, Sui-Sheng as county magistrate over the last four years?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	0	
2	Satisfied	0	
3	Not very satisfied	0	
4	Not satisfied at all	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It's hard to say	0	
97	No opinion	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1081	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q75: 30.In 2012 Presidential Election, who did you vote for?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Ma, Ying-jeou and Wu, Den-yih	378	35.0%
2	Tsai, Ing-wen and Su, Jia-chyuan	294	27.2%

q75: 30.In 2012 Presidential Election, who did you vote for?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	James Soong and Lin, Ruey Shiung	26	2.4%
89	Didn't pick up the ballot	11	1.0%
91	Forgot	71	6.6%
92	Not eligible to vote	31	2.9%
93	Didn't vote	201	18.6%
94	Cast an invalid vote	20	1.9%
95	Refuse to answer	42	3.9%
98	Don't know	7	0.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q76: 31.In the municipal elections of last month, which party's nominee did you vote for?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	KMT	260	24.1%
2	DPP	402	37.2%
8	No party affiliation	80	7.4%
89	Didn't pick up the ballot	5	0.5%
91	Forgot	23	2.1%
92	Not eligible to vote	2	0.2%
93	Didn't vote	210	19.4%
94	Cast an invalid vote	27	2.5%
95	Refuse to answer	64	5.9%
98	Don't know	8	0.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q77: 32.What time do you usually go to bed? (24 hours)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-96] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	76	7.0%
2	2	43	4.0%
3	3	8	0.7%
4	4	4	0.4%
5	5	0	
6	6	1	0.1%
7	7	0	
8	8	0	
9	9	1	0.1%
10	10	1	0.1%
11	11	0	
12	12	0	
13	13	1	0.1%
14	14	0	
15	15	0	
16	16	0	

q77: 32.What time do you usually go to bed? (24 hours)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
17	17	0	
18	18	0	
19	19	4	0.4%
20	20	18	1.7%
21	21	59	5.5%
22	22	187	17.3%
23	23	296	27.4%
24	24	337	31.2%
95	refuse to answer	11	1.0%
96	It depends	34	3.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q78: 32a.What time do you usually get up? (24 hours)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-96] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	0	
2	2	0	
3	3	6	0.6%
4	4	19	1.8%
5	5	110	10.2%
6	6	300	27.8%
7	7	382	35.3%
8	8	144	13.3%
9	9	48	4.4%
10	10	21	1.9%
11	11	6	0.6%
12	12	6	0.6%
13	13	1	0.1%
14	14	1	0.1%
15	15	0	
16	16	0	
17	17	1	0.1%
18	18	0	
19	19	0	
20	20	0	
21	21	0	
22	22	0	
23	23	0	
24	24	0	
95	refuse to answer	14	1.3%
96	It depends	22	2.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q79: 32b.Would you please leave us your email address?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-95] [Missing=*]

q79: 32b.Would you please leave us your email address?

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	email	245	22.7%
95	refuse to answer	836	77.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q80: 33.Respondent's gender

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	535	49.5%
2	Female	546	50.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q81: 34.Language used to conduct interview

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Mandarin	961	88.9%
2	Taiwanese	53	4.9%
3	Hakka	0	
4	Mandarin and Taiwanese	67	6.2%
5	Mandarin and Hakka	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

sex: Sex

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	535	49.5%
2	Female	546	50.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

age: Age

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	20-29 years	121	11.2%
2	30-39 years	232	21.5%
3	40-49 years	259	24.0%
4	50-59 years	243	22.5%
5	60 years and above	215	19.9%
9	Nonresponse	11	1.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

edu: Education

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

edu: Education

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Below-primary school	85	7.9%
2	Junior high school	130	12.0%
3	High school or vocational school	326	30.2%
4	Technical college	168	15.5%
5	Post-university	370	34.2%
9	Nonresponse	2	0.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

sengi: Father's ethnic background

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taiwanese Hakka	138	12.8%
2	Taiwanese Min-nan	790	73.1%
3	Mainlander	105	9.7%
4	Aboriginal	7	0.6%
5	Foreigner	1	0.1%
9	Nonresponse	40	3.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

masengi: Mother's ethnic background

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taiwanese Hakka	145	13.4%
2	Taiwanese Min-nan	838	77.5%
3	Mainlander	54	5.0%
4	Aboriginal	8	0.7%
5	Foreigner	2	0.2%
9	Nonresponse	34	3.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

t_cidentity: Taiwanese/Chinese identity

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taiwanese	627	58.0%
2	Both	393	36.4%
3	Chinese	41	3.8%
9	Nonresponse	20	1.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

partyid: Party identification

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

partyid: Party identification

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	KMT	243	22.5%
2	DPP	340	31.5%
3	NP	18	1.7%
4	PFP	38	3.5%
5	TSU	27	2.5%
6	Neutral and it depends	400	37.0%
7	Nonresponse and other parties	15	1.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

party: Party support

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-16] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly support KMT	58	5.4%
2	Somewhat support KMT	155	14.3%
3	Lean to the KMT	30	2.8%
4	Strongly support DPP	84	7.8%
5	Somewhat support DPP	232	21.5%
6	Lean to the DPP	24	2.2%
7	Strongly support NP	3	0.3%
8	Somewhat support NP	14	1.3%
9	Lean to the NP	1	0.1%
10	Strongly support PFP	0	
11	Somewhat support PFP	35	3.2%
12	Lean to the PFP	3	0.3%
13	Strongly support TSU	6	0.6%
14	Somewhat support TSU	20	1.9%
15	Lean to the TSU	1	0.1%
16	Neutral and other parties	415	38.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

career: Occupation5

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Hight-class WHITE COLLAR	453	41.9%
2	Low-class WHITE COLLAR	241	22.3%
3	FARMER	69	6.4%
4	WORKER	297	27.5%
5	Others	21	1.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

career8: Occupation8

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

career8: Occupation8

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Civil servants	154	14.2%
2	Managers and Professionals (Priv.)	284	26.3%
3	CLERKS (Priv.)	183	16.9%
4	Labor (Priv.)	218	20.2%
5	FARMER	54	5.0%
6	Students	38	3.5%
7	Housekeeping	145	13.4%
8	Others	5	0.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

arear: Area

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taipei metro area	205	19.0%
2	New Taipei city-Chilung	87	8.0%
3	Taoyuan-Hsinchu-Miaoli	161	14.9%
4	Taichung-Changhwa-Nanto	222	20.5%
5	Yunlin-Chiai-Tainan	184	17.0%
6	Kaohsiung-Pingtung-Pemghu	179	16.6%
7	Yi Lan-Hwalian-Taitung	38	3.5%
9	Nonresponse	5	0.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

tondu: Position on unification and independence

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Immediate unification	14	1.3%
2	Maintain the status quo, move toward unification	92	8.5%
3	Maintain the status quo, decide either unification or indepe	388	35.9%
4	Maintain the status quo forever	299	27.7%
5	Maintain the status quo, move toward independence	188	17.4%
6	Immediate independence	53	4.9%
9	Nonresponse	47	4.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w: Weight

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.354597651-3.337767439] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1081 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0.467 /-]