

Taiwan, ROC

Chi Huang, Election Study Center, National Chengchi University

**Taiwan's Election and Democratization Study, 2012-2016
(III): Telephone Interview and Internet Survey of the
Presidential Satisfaction--The Twelfth Wave (TEDS2015_PA06)**

Study Documentation

November 18, 2019

Metadata Production

Metadata Producer(s)	Survey Research Data Archive (SRDA) , Center for Survey Research, Research Center for Humanities Social Sciences, Academia Sinica
Identification	D00149en

Table of Contents

Overview	4
Scope & Coverage	4
Producers & Sponsors	4
Data Collection	4
Data Processing & Appraisal	4
Accessibility	5
Files Description	6
TEDS2015-I PA06E	6
TEDS2015-T PA06E	6
Variables Group(s)	7
Internet Survey	7
Telephone Interview	7
Sample Information	7
Government Performance	7
Image and Capability	7
Cabinet Performance	8
Social Issues	8
Economic Evaluations	9
Position on Unification and Independence	9
Party Identification	9
Taiwanese/Chinese Identification	9
Voting Participation	9
Personal Data	10
Sample Information	10
Government Performance	10
Image and Capability	11
Cabinet Performance	11
Social Issues	11
Economic Evaluations	12
Position on Unification and Independence	12
Party Identification	12
Taiwanese/Chinese Identification	13
Voting Participation	13
Personal Data	13
New Variable	15
Weight	15
Variables Description	16
TEDS2015-I PA06E	17
TEDS2015-T PA06E	29

Taiwan's Election and Democratization Study, 2012-2016 (III): Telephone Interview and Internet Survey of the Presidential Satisfaction--The Twelfth Wave (TEDS2015_PA06) (TEDS - Taiwan's Election and Democratization Study)

Overview	
Type	Election Studies
Identification	D00149en
Version	Production Date: 2019-11-18 1
Kind of Data	Sampling
Unit of Analysis	Individuals

Scope & Coverage	
Time Period(s)	2015
Countries	Taiwan, ROC
Geographic Coverage	Taiwan

Producers & Sponsors	
Primary Investigator(s)	Chi Huang, Election Study Center, National Chengchi University
Other Producer(s)	Election Study Center, National Chengchi University
Funding Agency/ies	Ministry of Science and Technology

Data Collection	
Data Collection Dates	start 2015-06-14 end 2015-06-18
Time Period(s)	start 2014-08-01 end 2015-07-31
Data Collection Mode	Telephone Survey

Data Processing & Appraisal	
Data Editing	CSR has checked wild codes and out-of-range values, to validate and clean data. There is no doubt about data accuracy after the validation.
Other Processing	Telephone and Internet Survey

Accessibility	
Contact(s)	Survey Research Data Archive (Center for Survey Research, Research Center for Humanities Social Sciences, Academia Sinica) , http://srda.sinica.edu.tw , srda@gate.sinica.edu.tw
Distributor(s)	Survey Research Data Archive
Depositor(s)	Election Study Center, National Chengchi University
<u>Access Conditions</u> Standard Access Data (Downloads for Regular Member, Academia Sinica Researcher)	

Files Description

Dataset contains 2 file(s)

TEDS2015-I_PA06E	
# Cases	495
# Variable(s)	38

TEDS2015-T_PA06E	
# Cases	1076
# Variable(s)	78

Variables Group(s)

Dataset contains 26 group(s)

Group Internet Survey

Subgroup(s)	Sample Information , Government Performance , Image and Capability , Cabinet Performance , Social Issues , Economic Evaluations , Position on Unification and Independence , Party Identification , Taiwanese/Chinese Identification , Voting Participation , Personal Data
--------------------	---

Group Telephone Interview

Subgroup(s)	Sample Information , Government Performance , Image and Capability , Cabinet Performance , Social Issues , Economic Evaluations , Position on Unification and Independence , Party Identification , Taiwanese/Chinese Identification , Voting Participation , Personal Data , New Variable , Weight
--------------------	---

Group Sample Information

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	submitdate	Date	discrete	character-20	495	-	-
2	id	ID	continuous	numeric-13.0	495	0	-

Group Government Performance

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q1	1.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou overall performance as president over the past six months?	discrete	numeric-1.0	495	0	-
2	q2	2.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in handling the Cross-strait relations?	discrete	numeric-1.0	495	0	-
3	q3	3.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in diplomacy?	discrete	numeric-1.0	495	0	-
4	q4	4.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in national defense?	discrete	numeric-1.0	495	0	-
5	q5	5.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in promoting economic development?	discrete	numeric-1.0	495	0	-
6	q6	6.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in handling the livelihood issues?	discrete	numeric-1.0	495	0	-

Group Image and Capability

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q7	7.In personal morality, if 0 means that he has bad	discrete	numeric-2.0	495	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		personal morality, 10 means that he has good morality, how would you rate Ma, Ying-jeou using a 0-to-10 scale?					
2	q8	8.In leadership, if 0 means that he has poor leadership, 10 means that he has good leadership, how would you rate Ma, Ying-jeou using a 0-to-10 scale?	discrete	numeric-2.0	495	0	-
3	q9	9.Regard your trust toward President Ma, Ying-jeou, if 0 means that you have a deep distrust of him, 10 means that you have a high trust of him, how would you rate Ma, Ying-jeou using a 0-to-10 scale?	discrete	numeric-2.0	495	0	-
4	q10	10.In human resource allocation, if 0 means that he employs persons irrelevantly, 10 means that he employs persons relevantly, how would you rate Ma, Ying-jeou using a 0-to-10 scale?	discrete	numeric-2.0	495	0	-

Group Cabinet Performance

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q11	11.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Mao Chi-kuo as premier over the last six months?	discrete	numeric-1.0	495	0	-
2	q12	12.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of the Executive Yuan over the last six months?	discrete	numeric-1.0	495	0	-
3	q13	13.Are you satisfied with the performance of Tsai, Ing-wen when she was the chairman of DPP?	discrete	numeric-1.0	495	0	-
4	q14	14.Are you satisfied with the performance of Eric Chu when he was the chairman of KMT?	discrete	numeric-1.0	495	0	-

Group Social Issues

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q15	15.Someone said: "In our society, wealthy people become wealthier, and poor people become poorer." Do you agree the statement?	discrete	numeric-1.0	495	0	-
2	q16	16.Someone said: "To reduce inequality is the responsibility of government." Another said:	discrete	numeric-1.0	495	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		"Wealth is a result of hard-working." Which statements you agree?					
3	q17	17.Which party can reduce inequality?	discrete	numeric-2.0	495	0	-
4	q18	18.Someone said: "Decreasing unemployment rate is the responsibility of government." Another said: "Getting a job is someone's own business." Which statements you agree?	discrete	numeric-1.0	495	0	-
5	q19	19.Which party can solve the problem of unemployment?	discrete	numeric-2.0	495	0	-

Group Economic Evaluations

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q20	20.Would you say that over the past six months, the state of economy of Taiwan has gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse?	discrete	numeric-1.0	495	0	-
2	q21	21.Would you say that in the next six months, the state of the economy of Taiwan will get better, stay about the same, or get worse?	discrete	numeric-1.0	495	0	-
3	q22	22.If we classify social status as upper level, upper-middle level, middle-level, middle-lower level and lower level. Which level do you belong to?	discrete	numeric-1.0	495	0	-

Group Position on Unification and Independence

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	tondu	TONDU	discrete	numeric-1.0	495	0	-

Group Party Identification

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	partyid	PARTYID	discrete	numeric-1.0	495	0	-

Group Taiwanese/Chinese Identification

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	t_cidentity	T_Cidentity	discrete	numeric-1.0	495	0	-

Group Voting Participation

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
---	------	-------	------	--------	-------	---------	----------

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q24	24.In 2012 Presidential Election, who did you vote for?	discrete	numeric-2.0	495	0	-

Group Personal Data

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	sex	SEX	discrete	numeric-1.0	495	0	-
2	age	AGE	discrete	numeric-1.0	495	0	-
3	edu	EDU	discrete	numeric-1.0	495	0	-
4	arear	AREAR	discrete	numeric-1.0	495	0	-
5	career8	CAREER8	discrete	numeric-1.0	495	0	-
6	sengi	SENGI	discrete	numeric-1.0	495	0	-
7	masengi	MASENGI	discrete	numeric-1.0	495	0	-
8	q23	23.Please tell me where your household is registered?	discrete	numeric-2.0	495	0	-
9	q25	25a.What time do you usually go to bed? (24 hours)	discrete	numeric-2.0	495	0	-
10	q25a	25.What time do you usually get up? (24 hours)	discrete	numeric-2.0	495	0	-

Group Sample Information

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	id	identified number	continuous	numeric-6.0	1076	0	-
2	date	day	discrete	numeric-6.0	1076	0	-
3	btime	starting time	continuous	numeric-6.0	1076	0	-
4	etime	ending time	continuous	numeric-6.0	1076	0	-

Group Government Performance

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q1	1.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou overall performance as president over the past six months?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1076	0	-
2	q2	2.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in handling the Cross-strait relations?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1076	0	-
3	q3	3.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in diplomacy?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1076	0	-
4	q4	4.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in national defense?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1076	0	-
5	q5	5.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in promoting economic development?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1076	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
6	q6	6.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in handling the livelihood issues?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1076	0	-

Group Image and Capability

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q7	7.In personal morality, if 0 means that he has bad personal morality, 10 means that he has good morality, how would you rate Ma, Ying-jeou using a 0-to-10 scale?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1076	0	-
2	q8	8.In leadership, if 0 means that he has poor leadership, 10 means that he has good leadership, how would you rate Ma, Ying-jeou using a 0-to-10 scale?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1076	0	-
3	q9	9.Regard your trust toward President Ma, Ying-jeou, if 0 means that you have a deep distrust of him, 10 means that you have a high trust of him, how would you rate Ma, Ying-jeou using a 0-to-10 scale?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1076	0	-
4	q10	10.In human resource allocation, if 0 means that he employs persons irrelevantly, 10 means that he employs persons relevantly, how would you rate Ma, Ying-jeou using a 0-to-10 scale?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1076	0	-

Group Cabinet Performance

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q11	11.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Mao Chi-kuo as premier over the last six months?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1076	0	-
2	q12	12.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of the Executive Yuan over the last six months?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1076	0	-
3	q13	13.Are you satisfied with the performance of Tsai, Ing-wen when she was the chairman of DPP?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1076	0	-
4	q14	14.Are you satisfied with the performance of Eric Chu when he was the chairman of KMT?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1076	0	-

Group Social Issues

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q15	15. Someone said: "In our society, wealthy people become wealthier, and poor people become poorer." Do you agree the statement?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1076	0	-
2	q16	16. Someone said: "To reduce inequality is the responsibility of government." Another said: "Wealth is a result of hard-working." Which statements you agree?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1076	0	-
3	q17	17. Which party can reduce inequality?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1076	0	-
4	q18	18. Someone said: "Decreasing unemployment rate is the responsibility of government." Another said: "Getting a job is someone's own business." Which statements you agree?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1076	0	-
5	q19	19. Which party can solve the problem of unemployment?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1076	0	-

Group Economic Evaluations

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q20	20. Would you say that over the past six months, the state of economy of Taiwan has gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1076	0	-
2	q21	21. Would you say that in the next six months, the state of the economy of Taiwan will get better, stay about the same, or get worse?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1076	0	-
3	q22	22. If we classify social status as upper level, upper-middle level, middle-level, middle-lower level and lower level. Which level do you belong to?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1076	0	-

Group Position on Unification and Independence

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q23	23. Concerning the relationship between Taiwan and mainland China, which of the following six positions do you agree with?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1076	0	-

Group Party Identification

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q24	24. Among the main political parties in our country,	discrete	numeric-2.0	1076	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		including the KMT, DPP, PFP, NP, and TSU, do you think of yourself as leaning toward any particular party?					
2	q25	24a.Do you lean very strongly or somewhat?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1076	0	-
3	q26	24b.Relatively speaking, do you lean toward the KMT, DPP, PFP, NP, and TSU, or none of them?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1076	0	-

Group Taiwanese/Chinese Identification

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q27	25.In Taiwan, some people think they are Taiwanese. There are also some people who think that they are Chinese. Do you consider yourself as Taiwanese, Chinese or both?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1076	0	-

Group Voting Participation

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q56	32.In 2012 Presidential Election, who did you vote for?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1076	0	-

Group Personal Data

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q28	26.Year of birth	discrete	numeric-2.0	1076	0	-
2	q29	27.Education level	discrete	numeric-2.0	1076	0	-
3	q30	28.Father's ethnic background	discrete	numeric-2.0	1076	0	-
4	q31	29.Mother's ethnic background	discrete	numeric-2.0	1076	0	-
5	q32	30.Main occupation of respondent	discrete	numeric-3.0	1076	0	-
6	q33	30a.Main occupation of respondent's spouse	discrete	numeric-3.0	1076	0	-
7	q34	30b.Main occupation of respondent before retirement or unemployment	discrete	numeric-3.0	1076	0	-
8	q35	31.Please tell me where your household is registered?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1076	0	-
9	q36	31.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Yi Lan County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1076	0	-
10	q37	31.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Hsinchu County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1076	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
11	q38	31.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Miaoli County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1076	0	-
12	q39	31.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Changhua County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1076	0	-
13	q40	31.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Nantou County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1076	0	-
14	q41	31.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Yunlin County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1076	0	-
15	q42	31.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Chiayi County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1076	0	-
16	q43	31.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Pingtung County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1076	0	-
17	q44	31.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Taitung County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1076	0	-
18	q45	31.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Hualien County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1076	0	-
19	q46	31.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Penghu County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1076	0	-
20	q47	31.Where your household is registered? Which district in Keelung City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1076	0	-
21	q48	31.Where your household is registered? Which district in Hsinchu City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1076	0	-
22	q49	31.Where your household is registered? Which district in Chiayi City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1076	0	-
23	q50	31.Where your household is registered? Which district in Taipei City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1076	0	-
24	q51	31.Where your household is registered? Which district in Kaohsiung City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1076	0	-
25	q52	31.Where your household is registered? Which district in New Taipei City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1076	0	-
26	q53	31.Where your household is registered? Which district in Taichung City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1076	0	-
27	q54	31.Where your household is registered? Which district in Tainan City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1076	0	-
28	q55	31.Where your household is registered? Which district in Taoyuan City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1076	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
29	q57	33a.What time do you usually go to bed? (24 hours)	discrete	numeric-2.0	1076	0	-
30	q58	33.What time do you usually get up? (24 hours)	discrete	numeric-2.0	1076	0	-
31	q59	34.Would you please leave us your email address?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1076	0	-
32	q60	35.Respondent's gender	discrete	numeric-1.0	1076	0	-
33	q61	36.Language used to conduct interview	discrete	numeric-1.0	1076	0	-

Group New Variable

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	sex	Sex	discrete	numeric-1.0	1076	0	-
2	age	Age	discrete	numeric-1.0	1076	0	-
3	edu	Education	discrete	numeric-1.0	1076	0	-
4	arear	Area	discrete	numeric-1.0	1076	0	-
5	sengi	Father's ethnic background	discrete	numeric-1.0	1076	0	-
6	masengi	Mother's ethnic background	discrete	numeric-1.0	1076	0	-
7	t_cidentity	Taiwanese/Chinese identity	discrete	numeric-1.0	1076	0	-
8	partyid	Party identification	discrete	numeric-1.0	1076	0	-
9	party	Party support	discrete	numeric-2.0	1076	0	-
10	career	Occupation5	discrete	numeric-1.0	1076	0	-
11	career8	Occupation8	discrete	numeric-1.0	1076	0	-
12	tondu	Position on unification and independence	discrete	numeric-1.0	1076	0	-

Group Weight

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	w	weight	continuous	numeric-8.5	1076	0	-

Variables Description

Dataset contains 116 variable(s)

File : TEDS2015-I_PA06E

submitdate: Date

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=495 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2015-06-14		116	23.4%
2015-06-15		179	36.2%
2015-06-16		121	24.4%
2015-06-17		48	9.7%
2015-06-18		31	6.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

id: ID

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 5641-8630400500308] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=495 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=335492715840.719 /-] [StdDev=1102883818095.99 /-]

sex: SEX

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=495 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	MALE	283	57.2%
2	FEMALE	212	42.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

age: AGE

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=495 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	20~29 years old	97	19.6%
2	30~39 years old	132	26.7%
3	40~49 years old	151	30.5%
4	50~59 years old	91	18.4%
5	over 60 years old	23	4.6%
9	nonresponse	1	0.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

edu: EDU

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=495 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Primary school graduate	1	0.2%
2	Junior high school graduate	4	0.8%
3	High school or vocational school graduate	56	11.3%
4	Technical college graduate	83	16.8%
5	University graduate	351	70.9%
9	nonresponse	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : TEDS2015-I_PA06E

arear: AREAR

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=495 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taipei metro area	141	28.5%
2	New Taipei city-Chilung	48	9.7%
3	Taoyuan-Hsinchu-Miaoli	78	15.8%
4	Taichung-Changhwa-Nanto	79	16.0%
5	Yunlin-Chiai-Tainan	78	15.8%
6	Kaohsiung-Pingtung-Pemghu	45	9.1%
7	Yi Lan-Hwalian-Taitung	25	5.1%
9	nonresponse	1	0.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

career8: CAREER8

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=495 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Civil servants	100	20.2%
2	Managers and Professionals (priv.)	181	36.6%
3	CLERKS (priv.)	90	18.2%
4	Labor (priv.)	62	12.5%
5	FARMER	2	0.4%
6	Students	33	6.7%
7	Housekeeping	24	4.8%
8	Others	3	0.6%
9	nonresponse	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

sengi: SENGI

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=495 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taiwanese Hakka	41	8.3%
2	Taiwanese Min-nan	355	71.7%
3	Mainlander	88	17.8%
4	Aboriginal	1	0.2%
5	Foreigner	0	
9	nonresponse	10	2.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

masengi: MASENGI

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=495 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taiwanese Hakka	58	11.7%

File : TEDS2015-I_PA06E

masengi: MASENGI

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Taiwanese Min-nan	396	80.0%
3	Mainlander	34	6.9%
4	Aboriginal	0	
5	Foreigner	0	
9	nonresponse	7	1.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

partyid: PARTYID

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=495 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	KMT	178	36.0%
2	DPP	143	28.9%
3	NP	7	1.4%
4	PFP	25	5.1%
5	TSU	13	2.6%
6	neutral and independents	123	24.8%
7	nonresponse and other parties	6	1.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

t_cidentity: T_Cidentity

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=495 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taiwanese	258	52.1%
2	Both	212	42.8%
3	Chinese	19	3.8%
9	nonresponse	6	1.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

tondu: TONDU

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=495 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Immediate unification	7	1.4%
2	Immediate independence	30	6.1%
3	Maintain the status quo, move toward unification in the futu	59	11.9%
4	Maintain the status quo, move toward independence in the fut	102	20.6%
5	Maintain the status quo, decide either unification or indepe	186	37.6%
6	Maintain the status quo forever	103	20.8%
7	Is already an independence country	0	
9	nonresponse	8	1.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : TEDS2015-I_PA06E

q1: 1.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou overall performance as president over the past six months?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=495 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	17	3.4%
2	Satisfied	90	18.2%
3	Not very satisfied	203	41.0%
4	Not satisfied at all	185	37.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q2: 2.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in handling the Cross-strait relations?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=495 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	47	9.5%
2	Satisfied	125	25.3%
3	Not very satisfied	173	34.9%
4	Not satisfied at all	150	30.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q3: 3.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in diplomacy?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=495 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	38	7.7%
2	Satisfied	123	24.8%
3	Not very satisfied	194	39.2%
4	Not satisfied at all	140	28.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q4: 4.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in national defense?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=495 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	9	1.8%
2	Satisfied	115	23.2%
3	Not very satisfied	206	41.6%
4	Not satisfied at all	165	33.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q5: 5.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in promoting economic development?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=495 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	17	3.4%
2	Satisfied	80	16.2%

File : TEDS2015-I_PA06E

q5: 5.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in promoting economic development?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	Not very satisfied	179	36.2%
4	Not satisfied at all	219	44.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q6: 6.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in handling the livelihood issues?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=495 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	9	1.8%
2	Satisfied	63	12.7%
3	Not very satisfied	181	36.6%
4	Not satisfied at all	242	48.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q7: 7.In personal morality, if 0 means that he has bad personal morality, 10 means that he has good morality, how would you rate Ma, Ying-jeou using a 0-to-10 scale?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=495 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 has bad morality	54	10.9%
2	1	36	7.3%
3	2	32	6.5%
4	3	47	9.5%
5	4	25	5.1%
6	5	53	10.7%
7	6	42	8.5%
8	7	50	10.1%
9	8	72	14.5%
10	9	49	9.9%
11	10 has good morality relevantly	35	7.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q8: 8.In leadership, if 0 means that he has poor leadership, 10 means that he has good leadership, how would you rate Ma, Ying-jeou using a 0-to-10 scale?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=495 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 has poor leadership	97	19.6%
2	1	75	15.2%
3	2	61	12.3%
4	3	77	15.6%
5	4	39	7.9%
6	5	70	14.1%
7	6	36	7.3%
8	7	19	3.8%

File : TEDS2015-I_PA06E

q8: 8.In leadership, if 0 means that he has poor leadership, 10 means that he has good leadership, how would you rate Ma, Ying-jeou using a 0-to-10 scale?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9	8	17	3.4%
10	9	4	0.8%
11	has good leadership	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q9: 9.Regard your trust toward President Ma, Ying-jeou, if 0 means that you have a deep distrust of him, 10 means that you have a high trust of him, how would you rate Ma, Ying-jeou using a 0-to-10 scale?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=495 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 have a deep distrust	109	22.0%
2	1	56	11.3%
3	2	50	10.1%
4	3	33	6.7%
5	4	32	6.5%
6	5	64	12.9%
7	6	30	6.1%
8	7	38	7.7%
9	8	43	8.7%
10	9	27	5.5%
11	10 have a high trust	13	2.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q10: 10.In human resource allocation, if 0 means that he employs persons irrelevantly, 10 means that he employs persons relevantly, how would you rate Ma, Ying-jeou using a 0-to-10 scale?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-11] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=495 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0 employs persons irrelevantly	109	22.0%
2	1	67	13.5%
3	2	57	11.5%
4	3	83	16.8%
5	4	44	8.9%
6	5	54	10.9%
7	6	30	6.1%
8	7	35	7.1%
9	8	10	2.0%
10	9	3	0.6%
11	10 employs persons relevantly	3	0.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q11: 11.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Mao Chi-kuo as premier over the last six months?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=495 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

File : TEDS2015-I_PA06E

q11: 11.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Mao Chi-kuo as premier over the last six months?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	12	2.4%
2	Satisfied	129	26.1%
3	Not very satisfied	270	54.5%
4	Not satisfied at all	84	17.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q12: 12.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of the Executive Yuan over the last six months?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=495 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	8	1.6%
2	Satisfied	118	23.8%
3	Not very satisfied	271	54.7%
4	Not satisfied at all	98	19.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q13: 13.Are you satisfied with the performance of Tsai, Ing-wen when she was the chairman of DPP?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=495 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	18	3.6%
2	Satisfied	198	40.0%
3	Not very satisfied	227	45.9%
4	Not satisfied at all	52	10.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q14: 14.Are you satisfied with the performance of Eric Chu when he was the chairman of KMT?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=495 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	19	3.8%
2	Satisfied	195	39.4%
3	Not very satisfied	213	43.0%
4	Not satisfied at all	68	13.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q15: 15.Someone said: "In our society, wealthy people become wealthier, and poor people become poorer." Do you agree the statement?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=495 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	20	4.0%
2	Disagree	21	4.2%
3	Agree	157	31.7%

File : TEDS2015-I_PA06E

q15: 15. Someone said: "In our society, wealthy people become wealthier, and poor people become poorer." Do you agree the statement?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Strongly agree	297	60.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q16: 16. Someone said: "To reduce inequality is the responsibility of government." Another said: "Wealth is a result of hard-working." Which statements you agree?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=495 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	The responsibility of government	62	12.5%
2	Hard-working by themselves	52	10.5%
3	Both	381	77.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q17: 17. Which party can reduce inequality?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=495 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	KMT	57	11.5%
2	DPP	65	13.1%
3	NP	1	0.2%
4	PFP	23	4.6%
5	TSU	2	0.4%
6	Green Party	3	0.6%
7	New Power Party	11	2.2%
8	Minkuotang	2	0.4%
9	Social Democratic party	3	0.6%
10	Tree Party	2	0.4%
11	All	41	8.3%
12	No one	285	57.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q18: 18. Someone said: "Decreasing unemployment rate is the responsibility of government." Another said: "Getting a job is someone's own business." Which statements you agree?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=495 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	The responsibility of government	90	18.2%
2	Someone's own business	70	14.1%
3	Both	335	67.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q19: 19. Which party can solve the problem of unemployment?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=495 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

File : TEDS2015-I_PA06E

q19: 19. Which party can solve the problem of unemployment?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	KMT	95	19.2%
2	DPP	74	14.9%
3	NP	1	0.2%
4	PFP	20	4.0%
5	TSU	1	0.2%
6	Green Party	1	0.2%
7	New Power Party	9	1.8%
8	Minkuotang	1	0.2%
9	Social Democratic party	0	
10	Tree Party	1	0.2%
11	All	55	11.1%
12	No one	237	47.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q20: 20. Would you say that over the past six months, the state of economy of Taiwan has gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=495 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Better	43	8.7%
2	About the same	291	58.8%
3	Worse	161	32.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q21: 21. Would you say that in the next six months, the state of the economy of Taiwan will get better, stay about the same, or get worse?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=495 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Better	56	11.3%
2	About the same	266	53.7%
3	Worse	173	34.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q22: 22. If we classify social status as upper level, upper-middle level, middle-level, middle-lower level and lower level. Which level do you belong to?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=495 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Upper level	3	0.6%
2	Upper-middle level	63	12.7%
3	Middle-level	293	59.2%
4	Middle-lower level	121	24.4%
5	Lower-level	15	3.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : TEDS2015-I_PA06E

q23: 23.Please tell me where your household is registered?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2-69] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=495 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Yi Lan County	24	4.8%
4	Hsinchu County	11	2.2%
5	Miao Li County	6	1.2%
7	Changhua County	24	4.8%
8	Nantou County	7	1.4%
9	Yunlin County	16	3.2%
10	Chiayi County	4	0.8%
13	Pingtung County	7	1.4%
14	Taitung County	0	
15	Hualien County	2	0.4%
16	Peng Hu County	0	
17	Keelung City	7	1.4%
18	Hsinchu City	6	1.2%
20	Chiayi City	8	1.6%
28	Kinmen County	1	0.2%
63	Taipei City	80	16.2%
64	Kaoshiung City	39	7.9%
65	New Taipei City	99	20.0%
66	Taichung City	44	8.9%
67	Tainan City	51	10.3%
68	Taoyuan City	59	11.9%
69	Lienchiang County	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q24: 24.In 2012 Presidential Election, who did you vote for?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-94] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=495 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Ma, Ying-jeou and Wu, Den-yih	255	51.5%
2	Tsai, Ing-wen and Su, Jia-chyuan	132	26.7%
3	James Soong and Lin, Ruey Shiung	18	3.6%
91	Forgot	0	
92	Not eligible to vote	11	2.2%
93	Didn't vote	58	11.7%
94	Cast an invalid vote	21	4.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q25: 25a.What time do you usually go to bed? (24 hours)

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-24] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=495 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

File : TEDS2015-I_PA06E

q25: 25a.What time do you usually go to bed? (24 hours)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	41	8.3%
2	2	26	5.3%
3	3	4	0.8%
4	4	1	0.2%
5	5	1	0.2%
6	6	1	0.2%
7	7	0	
8	8	0	
9	9	0	
10	10	0	
11	11	0	
12	12	0	
13	13	2	0.4%
14	14	0	
15	15	0	
16	16	0	
17	17	0	
18	18	4	0.8%
19	19	3	0.6%
20	20	7	1.4%
21	21	7	1.4%
22	22	57	11.5%
23	23	191	38.6%
24	24	150	30.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q25a: 25.What time do you usually get up? (24 hours)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-24] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=495 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	0	
2	2	0	
3	3	3	0.6%
4	4	10	2.0%
5	5	34	6.9%
6	6	159	32.1%
7	7	188	38.0%
8	8	67	13.5%
9	9	18	3.6%
10	10	8	1.6%
11	11	3	0.6%
12	12	1	0.2%
13	13	0	

File : TEDS2015-I_PA06E**# q25a: 25.What time do you usually get up? (24 hours)**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
14	14	1	0.2%
15	15	0	
16	16	0	
17	17	0	
18	18	1	0.2%
19	19	1	0.2%
20	20	0	
21	21	0	
22	22	0	
23	23	1	0.2%
24	24	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : TEDS2015-T_PA06E

id: identified number

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 380006-566998] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1076 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=491192.116 /-] [StdDev=77301.948 /-]

date: day

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 150614-150618] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1076 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
150614		161	15.0%
150615		234	21.7%
150616		207	19.2%
150617		226	21.0%
150618		248	23.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

btime: starting time

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 180500-223039] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1076 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=201358.691 /-] [StdDev=11764.039 /-]

etime: ending time

Information [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 181239-224304] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1076 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=203188.522 /-] [StdDev=11863.921 /-]

q1: 1.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou overall performance as president over the past six months?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1076 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	37	3.4%
2	Satisfied	186	17.3%
3	Not very satisfied	345	32.1%
4	Not satisfied at all	393	36.5%
95	Refuse to answer	9	0.8%
96	It's hard to say	25	2.3%
97	No opinion	39	3.6%
98	Don't know	42	3.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q2: 2.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in handling the Cross-strait relations?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1076 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	78	7.2%
2	Satisfied	264	24.5%
3	Not very satisfied	281	26.1%
4	Not satisfied at all	300	27.9%
95	Refuse to answer	9	0.8%
96	It's hard to say	20	1.9%

File : TEDS2015-T_PA06E

q2: 2.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in handling the Cross-strait relations?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No opinion	40	3.7%
98	Don't know	84	7.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q3: 3.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in diplomacy?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1076 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	76	7.1%
2	Satisfied	299	27.8%
3	Not very satisfied	293	27.2%
4	Not satisfied at all	258	24.0%
95	Refuse to answer	2	0.2%
96	It's hard to say	14	1.3%
97	No opinion	29	2.7%
98	Don't know	105	9.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q4: 4.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in national defense?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1076 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	41	3.8%
2	Satisfied	224	20.8%
3	Not very satisfied	348	32.3%
4	Not satisfied at all	259	24.1%
95	Refuse to answer	3	0.3%
96	It's hard to say	15	1.4%
97	No opinion	43	4.0%
98	Don't know	143	13.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q5: 5.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in promoting economic development?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1076 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	42	3.9%
2	Satisfied	182	16.9%
3	Not very satisfied	341	31.7%
4	Not satisfied at all	450	41.8%
95	Refuse to answer	1	0.1%
96	It's hard to say	8	0.7%
97	No opinion	13	1.2%
98	Don't know	39	3.6%

File : TEDS2015-T_PA06E

q5: 5.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in promoting economic development?

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q6: 6.How satisfied are you with Ma, Ying-jeou performance in handling the livelihood issues?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1076 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	25	2.3%
2	Satisfied	173	16.1%
3	Not very satisfied	331	30.8%
4	Not satisfied at all	473	44.0%
95	Refuse to answer	4	0.4%
96	It's hard to say	11	1.0%
97	No opinion	23	2.1%
98	Don't know	36	3.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q7: 7.In personal morality, if 0 means that he has bad personal morality, 10 means that he has good morality, how would you rate Ma, Ying-jeou using a 0-to-10 scale?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1076 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	0 has bad morality	80	7.4%
1		26	2.4%
2		46	4.3%
3		79	7.3%
4		50	4.6%
5		213	19.8%
6		130	12.1%
7		106	9.9%
8		158	14.7%
9		72	6.7%
10	10 has good morality relevantly	68	6.3%
95	Refuse to answer	4	0.4%
98	Don't know	44	4.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q8: 8.In leadership, if 0 means that he has poor leadership, 10 means that he has good leadership, how would you rate Ma, Ying-jeou using a 0-to-10 scale?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1076 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	0 has poor leadership	175	16.3%
1		42	3.9%
2		96	8.9%
3		151	14.0%
4		99	9.2%

File : TEDS2015-T_PA06E

q8: 8.In leadership, if 0 means that he has poor leadership, 10 means that he has good leadership, how would you rate Ma, Ying-jeou using a 0-to-10 scale?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5		237	22.0%
6		106	9.9%
7		80	7.4%
8		42	3.9%
9		11	1.0%
10	10 has good leadership	9	0.8%
95	Refuse to answer	5	0.5%
98	Don't know	23	2.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q9: 9.Regard your trust toward President Ma, Ying-jeou, if 0 means that you have a deep distrust of him, 10 means that you have a high trust of him, how would you rate Ma, Ying-jeou using a 0-to-10 scale?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1076 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	0 have a deep distrust	198	18.4%
1		43	4.0%
2		61	5.7%
3		116	10.8%
4		76	7.1%
5		211	19.6%
6		93	8.6%
7		78	7.2%
8		102	9.5%
9		28	2.6%
10	10 have a high trust	42	3.9%
95	Refuse to answer	6	0.6%
98	Don't know	22	2.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q10: 10.In human resource allocation, if 0 means that he employs persons irrelevantly, 10 means that he employs persons relevantly, how would you rate Ma, Ying-jeou using a 0-to-10 scale?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1076 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	0 employs persons irrelevantly	216	20.1%
1		44	4.1%
2		96	8.9%
3		147	13.7%
4		115	10.7%
5		209	19.4%
6		113	10.5%
7		43	4.0%

File : TEDS2015-T_PA06E

q10: 10.In human resource allocation, if 0 means that he employs persons irrelevantly, 10 means that he employs persons relevantly, how would you rate Ma, Ying-jeou using a 0-to-10 scale?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8		33	3.1%
9		8	0.7%
10	10 employs persons relevantly	9	0.8%
95	Refuse to answer	5	0.5%
98	Don't know	38	3.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q11: 11.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Mao Chi-kuo as premier over the last six months?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1076 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	18	1.7%
2	Satisfied	261	24.3%
3	Not very satisfied	365	33.9%
4	Not satisfied at all	171	15.9%
95	Refuse to answer	5	0.5%
96	It's hard to say	26	2.4%
97	No opinion	69	6.4%
98	Don't know	161	15.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q12: 12.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of the Executive Yuan over the last six months?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1076 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	20	1.9%
2	Satisfied	203	18.9%
3	Not very satisfied	487	45.3%
4	Not satisfied at all	267	24.8%
95	Refuse to answer	3	0.3%
96	It's hard to say	18	1.7%
97	No opinion	29	2.7%
98	Don't know	49	4.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q13: 13.Are you satisfied with the performance of Tsai, Ing-wen when she was the chairman of DPP?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1076 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	70	6.5%
2	Satisfied	391	36.3%
3	Not very satisfied	261	24.3%
4	Not satisfied at all	92	8.6%

File : TEDS2015-T_PA06E

q13: 13.Are you satisfied with the performance of Tsai, Ing-wen when she was the chairman of DPP?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
95	Refuse to answer	11	1.0%
96	It's hard to say	23	2.1%
97	No opinion	60	5.6%
98	Don't know	168	15.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q14: 14.Are you satisfied with the performance of Eric Chu when he was the chairman of KMT?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1076 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	86	8.0%
2	Satisfied	406	37.7%
3	Not very satisfied	296	27.5%
4	Not satisfied at all	118	11.0%
95	Refuse to answer	7	0.7%
96	It's hard to say	14	1.3%
97	No opinion	49	4.6%
98	Don't know	100	9.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q15: 15.Someone said: "In our society, wealthy people become wealthier, and poor people become poorer." Do you agree the statement?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1076 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly disagree	37	3.4%
2	Disagree	87	8.1%
3	Agree	199	18.5%
4	Strongly agree	728	67.7%
95	Refuse to answer	2	0.2%
96	It's hard to say	8	0.7%
97	No opinion	5	0.5%
98	Don't know	10	0.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q16: 16.Someone said: "To reduce inequality is the responsibility of government." Another said: "Wealth is a result of hard-working." Which statements you agree?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1076 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	The responsibility of government	468	43.5%
2	Hard-working by themselves	496	46.1%
3	Both	98	9.1%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It depends	4	0.4%

File : TEDS2015-T_PA06E

q16: 16. Someone said: "To reduce inequality is the responsibility of government." Another said: "Wealth is a result of hard-working." Which statements you agree?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	No opinion	3	0.3%
98	Don't know	7	0.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q17: 17. Which party can reduce inequality?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1076 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	KMT	164	15.2%
2	DPP	209	19.4%
3	NP	1	0.1%
4	PFP	30	2.8%
5	TSU	5	0.5%
6	Green Party	2	0.2%
7	New Power Party	0	
8	Minkuotang	2	0.2%
9	Social Democratic party	2	0.2%
10	Tree Party	1	0.1%
91	All	16	1.5%
92	No one	396	36.8%
95	Refuse to answer	16	1.5%
96	It's hard to say	74	6.9%
97	No opinion	18	1.7%
98	Don't know	140	13.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q18: 18. Someone said: "Decreasing unemployment rate is the responsibility of government." Another said: "Getting a job is someone's own business." Which statements you agree?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1076 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	The responsibility of government	536	49.8%
2	Someone's own business	449	41.7%
3	Both	72	6.7%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It depends	4	0.4%
97	No opinion	2	0.2%
98	Don't know	13	1.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q19: 19. Which party can solve the problem of unemployment?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1076 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

File : TEDS2015-T_PA06E

q19: 19. Which party can solve the problem of unemployment?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	KMT	249	23.1%
2	DPP	197	18.3%
3	NP	0	
4	PFP	28	2.6%
5	TSU	0	
6	Green Party	2	0.2%
7	New Power Party	0	
8	Minkuotang	1	0.1%
9	Social Democratic party	2	0.2%
10	Tree Party	1	0.1%
91	All	15	1.4%
92	No one	314	29.2%
95	Refuse to answer	15	1.4%
96	It's hard to say	64	5.9%
97	No opinion	20	1.9%
98	Don't know	168	15.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q20: 20. Would you say that over the past six months, the state of economy of Taiwan has gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1076 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Better	126	11.7%
2	About the same	574	53.3%
3	Worse	343	31.9%
95	Refuse to answer	1	0.1%
96	It depends	4	0.4%
97	No opinion	2	0.2%
98	Don't know	26	2.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q21: 21. Would you say that in the next six months, the state of the economy of Taiwan will get better, stay about the same, or get worse?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1076 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Better	120	11.2%
2	About the same	586	54.5%
3	Worse	293	27.2%
95	Refuse to answer	3	0.3%
96	It depends	20	1.9%
97	No opinion	2	0.2%
98	Don't know	52	4.8%

File : TEDS2015-T_PA06E

q21: 21. Would you say that in the next six months, the state of the economy of Taiwan will get better, stay about the same, or get worse?

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q22: 22. If we classify social status as upper level, upper-middle level, middle-level, middle-lower level and lower level. Which level do you belong to?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1076 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Upper level	10	0.9%
2	Upper-middle level	134	12.5%
3	Middle-level	415	38.6%
4	Middle-lower level	333	30.9%
5	Lower-level	144	13.4%
95	Refuse to answer	7	0.7%
96	It depends	1	0.1%
97	No opinion	4	0.4%
98	Don't know	28	2.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q23: 23. Concerning the relationship between Taiwan and mainland China, which of the following six positions do you agree with?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1076 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Immediate unification	19	1.8%
2	Immediate independence	29	2.7%
3	Maintain the status quo, move toward unification in the futu	116	10.8%
4	Maintain the status quo, move toward independence in the fut	175	16.3%
5	Maintain the status quo, decide either unification or indepe	361	33.6%
6	Maintain the status quo forever	306	28.4%
7	Is already an independent country	2	0.2%
95	Refuse to answer	3	0.3%
96	It's hard to say	15	1.4%
97	No opinion	10	0.9%
98	Don't know	40	3.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q24: 24. Among the main political parties in our country, including the KMT, DPP, PFP, NP, and TSU, do you think of yourself as leaning toward any particular party?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1076 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	KMT [continue to 24a]	304	28.3%
2	DPP [continue to 24a]	291	27.0%
3	NP [continue to 24a]	9	0.8%
4	PFP [continue to 24a]	65	6.0%

File : TEDS2015-T_PA06E

q24: 24.Among the main political parties in our country, including the KMT, DPP, PFP, NP, and TSU, do you think of yourself as leaning toward any particular party?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	TSU [continue to 24a]	14	1.3%
6	All support [continue to 24b]	34	3.2%
7	All don't support [continue to 24b]	231	21.5%
8	KMT+DPP [continue to 24b]	1	0.1%
10	KMT+PFP [continue to 24b]	1	0.1%
12	DPP+PFP [continue to 24b]	0	
16	PAN-BLUE [continue to 24b]	0	
17	PAN-GREEN [continue to 24b]	0	
19	Vote for candidate not for his/her party [continue to 24b]	32	3.0%
20	Green Party [continue to 24a]	2	0.2%
21	New Power Party [continue to 24a]	0	
22	Minkuotang [continue to 24a]	1	0.1%
23	Social Democratic Party [continue to 24a]	1	0.1%
24	Tree Party [continue to 24a]	0	
25	Taiwan Independence party [continue to 24a]	1	0.1%
95	Refuse to answer [continue to 24b]	8	0.7%
96	It depends [continue to 24b]	34	3.2%
97	No opinion [continue to 24b]	19	1.8%
98	Don't know [continue to 24b]	28	2.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q25: 24a.Do you lean very strongly or somewhat?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1076 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very strongly	186	17.3%
2	Somewhat	502	46.7%
99	Skipped	388	36.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q26: 24b.Relatively speaking, do you lean toward the KMT, DPP, PFP, NP, and TSU, or none of them?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 3-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1076 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	KMT	24	2.2%
4	DPP	13	1.2%
5	NP	2	0.2%
6	PFP	3	0.3%
7	TSU	1	0.1%
8	None	321	29.8%
10	KMT+DPP	1	0.1%
11	KMT+NP	0	
14	DPP+PFP	0	

File : TEDS2015-T_PA06E

q26: 24b. Relatively speaking, do you lean toward the KMT, DPP, PFP, NP, and TSU, or none of them?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
19	PAN-GREEN	0	
20	Oppositon party	0	
21	Vote for candidate not for his/her party	8	0.7%
23	Green Party	0	
24	New Power Party	0	
25	Minkuotang	0	
26	Social Democratic party	0	
27	Tree Party	0	
28	Taiwan Independence party	0	
95	Refuse to answer	2	0.2%
96	It depends	4	0.4%
97	No opinion	1	0.1%
98	Don't know	8	0.7%
99	Skipped	688	63.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q27: 25. In Taiwan, some people think they are Taiwanese. There are also some people who think that they are Chinese. Do you consider yourself as Taiwanese, Chinese or both?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1076 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taiwanese	606	56.3%
2	Both	405	37.6%
3	Chinese	39	3.6%
95	Refuse to answer	5	0.5%
96	It's hard to say	3	0.3%
97	No opinion	5	0.5%
98	Don't know	13	1.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q28: 26. Year of birth

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 13-95] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1076 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
13		1	0.1%
14		1	0.1%
15		1	0.1%
16		3	0.3%
17		3	0.3%
18		1	0.1%
19		3	0.3%
21		1	0.1%
22		3	0.3%
24		2	0.2%

File : TEDS2015-T_PA06E

q28: 26.Year of birth

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
25		3	0.3%
27		3	0.3%
28		7	0.7%
29		1	0.1%
30		15	1.4%
31		6	0.6%
32		10	0.9%
33		5	0.5%
34		7	0.7%
35		5	0.5%
36		8	0.7%
37		11	1.0%
38		14	1.3%
39		18	1.7%
40		24	2.2%
41		12	1.1%
42		22	2.0%
43		24	2.2%
44		23	2.1%
45		27	2.5%
46		21	2.0%
47		34	3.2%
48		25	2.3%
49		25	2.3%
50		29	2.7%
51		31	2.9%
52		30	2.8%
53		32	3.0%
54		18	1.7%
55		43	4.0%
56		27	2.5%
57		30	2.8%
58		26	2.4%
59		21	2.0%
60		32	3.0%
61		23	2.1%
62		26	2.4%
63		19	1.8%
64		24	2.2%
65		27	2.5%
66		18	1.7%
67		25	2.3%
68		25	2.3%

File : TEDS2015-T_PA06E

q28: 26.Year of birth

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
69		20	1.9%
70		17	1.6%
71		13	1.2%
72		15	1.4%
73		16	1.5%
74		14	1.3%
75		6	0.6%
76		10	0.9%
77		15	1.4%
78		6	0.6%
79		11	1.0%
80		13	1.2%
81		8	0.7%
82		7	0.7%
83		12	1.1%
84		8	0.7%
95	Refuse to answer	10	0.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q29: 27.Education level

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Illiterate	17	1.6%
2	Primary school graduate	84	7.8%
3	Junior high school graduate	116	10.8%
4	High school or vocational school graduate	342	31.8%
5	Technical college graduate	173	16.1%
6	University graduate	259	24.1%
7	Post-graduate education	80	7.4%
95	Refuse to answer	5	0.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q30: 28.Father's ethnic background

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taiwanese Hakka	114	10.6%
2	Taiwanese Min-nan	768	71.4%
3	Mainlander	157	14.6%
4	Aboriginal	5	0.5%
5	Foreigner	3	0.3%
95	Refuse to answer	1	0.1%
98	Don't know	28	2.6%

File : TEDS2015-T_PA06E

q30: 28.Father's ethnic background

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q31: 29.Mother's ethnic background

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1076 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taiwanese Hakka	113	10.5%
2	Taiwanese Min-nan	838	77.9%
3	Mainlander	74	6.9%
4	Aboriginal	10	0.9%
5	Foreigner	7	0.7%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	34	3.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q32: 30.Main occupation of respondent

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 101-995] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1076 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
101	Legislators	0	
102	Government Administrators	5	0.5%
103	Chief Executives (Pub.)	6	0.6%
104	Chief Executives (Priv.)	114	10.6%
105	Directors have employee	63	5.9%
106	Directors don't have employee	42	3.9%
201	Science Professionals (Pub.)	0	
202	Science Professionals (Priv.)	0	
203	Health Associate Professionals (Pub.)	4	0.4%
204	Health Associate Professionals (Priv.)	10	0.9%
205	Accountants	2	0.2%
206	Teachers (Pub.)	21	2.0%
207	Teachers (Priv.)	10	0.9%
208	Lawyers and Legal Professionals (Pub.)	0	
209	Lawyers and Legal Professionals (Priv.)	0	
210	Religion man	0	
211	Abstractionist	2	0.2%
212	Writer (author, reporter, dramatist)	0	
213	Eng. Science Professionals (Pub.)	0	
214	Eng. Science Professionals (Priv.)	7	0.7%
215	Sportsman	0	
301	Office Clerks (Pub.)	26	2.4%
302	Office Clerks (Priv.)	106	9.9%
303	Salespersons	1	0.1%
401	Personal Services Workers (Priv.)	33	3.1%
501	Agr., Animal Husbandry, Forestry and Fishing Workers (Priv.)	39	3.6%

File : TEDS2015-T_PA06E

q32: 30.Main occupation of respondent

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
601	Production Laborers and Rel. Workers (Pub.)	22	2.0%
602	Production Laborers and Rel. Workers (Priv.)	188	17.5%
701	Students	27	2.5%
801	Servicemen	9	0.8%
901	Housekeeping, without domestic crafting [continue to 30a]	148	13.8%
902	Housekeeping, with domestic crafting [continue to 30a]	11	1.0%
903	Housekeeping, helping familial business without pay [continu	6	0.6%
904	Housekeeping, helping familial business with pay [continue t	6	0.6%
905	Unemployment [skip to 30b]	30	2.8%
906	Retired [skip to 30b]	131	12.2%
907	Not working, but has income	2	0.2%
911	Haven't employed	2	0.2%
995	Refuse to answer	3	0.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q33: 30a.Main occupation of respondent's spouse

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 101-999] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1076 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
101	Legislators	0	
102	Government Administrators	3	0.3%
103	Chief Executives (Pub.)	5	0.5%
104	Chief Executives (Priv.)	24	2.2%
105	Directors have employee	16	1.5%
106	Directors don't have employee	10	0.9%
201	Science Professionals (Pub.)	0	
202	Science Professionals (Priv.)	0	
203	Health Associate Professionals (Pub.)	0	
204	Health Associate Professionals (Priv.)	1	0.1%
205	Accountants	0	
206	Teachers (Pub.)	4	0.4%
207	Teachers (Priv.)	2	0.2%
208	Lawyers and Legal Professionals (Pub.)	0	
209	Lawyers and Legal Professionals (Priv.)	0	
210	Religion man	0	
211	Abstractionist	0	
212	Writer (author, reporter, dramatist)	0	
213	Eng. Science Professionals (Pub.)	0	
214	Eng. Science Professionals (Priv.)	0	
215	Sportsman	0	
301	Office Clerks (Pub.)	1	0.1%
302	Office Clerks (Priv.)	9	0.8%
303	Salespersons	0	
401	Personal Services Workers (Priv.)	2	0.2%

File : TEDS2015-T_PA06E

q33: 30a.Main occupation of respondent's spouse

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
501	Agr., Animal Husbandry, Forestry and Fishing Workers (Priv.)	11	1.0%
601	Production Laborers and Rel. Workers (Pub.)	9	0.8%
602	Production Laborers and Rel. Workers (Priv.)	42	3.9%
701	Students	1	0.1%
801	Servicemen	8	0.7%
901	Widowed	12	1.1%
902	Divorced	6	0.6%
907	Not working, but has income	1	0.1%
911	Haven't employed	2	0.2%
995	Refuse to answer	2	0.2%
999	Skipped	905	84.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q34: 30b.Main occupation of respondent before retirement or unemployment

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 101-999] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1076 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
101	Legislators	0	
102	Government Administrators	3	0.3%
103	Chief Executives (Pub.)	6	0.6%
104	Chief Executives (Priv.)	23	2.1%
105	Directors have employee	13	1.2%
106	Directors don't have employee	3	0.3%
201	Science Professionals (Pub.)	0	
202	Science Professionals (Priv.)	0	
203	Health Associate Professionals (Pub.)	0	
204	Health Associate Professionals (Priv.)	0	
205	Accountants	0	
206	Teachers (Pub.)	13	1.2%
207	Teachers (Priv.)	1	0.1%
208	Lawyers and Legal Professionals (Pub.)	0	
209	Lawyers and Legal Professionals (Priv.)	0	
210	Religion man	0	
211	Abstractionist	0	
212	Writer (author, reporter, dramatist)	1	0.1%
213	Eng. Science Professionals (Pub.)	0	
214	Eng. Science Professionals (Priv.)	0	
215	Sportsman	0	
301	Office Clerks (Pub.)	11	1.0%
302	Office Clerks (Priv.)	15	1.4%
303	Salespersons	2	0.2%
401	Personal Services Workers (Priv.)	10	0.9%
501	Agr., Animal Husbandry, Forestry and Fishing Workers (Priv.)	7	0.7%
601	Production Laborers and Rel. Workers (Pub.)	8	0.7%

File : TEDS2015-T_PA06E

q34: 30b.Main occupation of respondent before retirement or unemployment

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
602	Production Laborers and Rel. Workers (Priv.)	35	3.3%
701	Students	2	0.2%
801	Servicemen	7	0.7%
995	Refuse to answer	1	0.1%
999	Skipped	915	85.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q35: 31.Please tell me where your household is registered?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1076 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Yi Lan County	23	2.1%
4	Hsinchu County	24	2.2%
5	Miao Li County	25	2.3%
7	Changhua County	78	7.2%
8	Nantou County	38	3.5%
9	Yunlin County	48	4.5%
10	Chiayi County	42	3.9%
13	Pingtung County	47	4.4%
14	Taitung County	14	1.3%
15	Hualien County	10	0.9%
16	Peng Hu County	6	0.6%
17	Keelung City	17	1.6%
18	Hsinchu City	12	1.1%
20	Chiayi City	15	1.4%
28	Kinmen County	4	0.4%
30	Lienchiang County	1	0.1%
63	Taipei City	103	9.6%
64	Kaoshiung City	119	11.1%
65	New Taipei City	148	13.8%
66	Taichung City	113	10.5%
67	Tainan City	105	9.8%
68	Taoyuan City	77	7.2%
95	Refuse to answer	6	0.6%
98	Don't know	1	0.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q36: 31.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Yi Lan County?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1076 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yilan City	5	0.5%
2	Luodong Township	4	0.4%
3	Suao Township	2	0.2%

File : TEDS2015-T_PA06E

q36: 31.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Yi Lan County?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Toucheng Township	0	
5	Jiaoxi Township	2	0.2%
6	Zhuangwei Township	2	0.2%
7	Yuanshan Township	1	0.1%
8	Dongshan Township	4	0.4%
9	Wujie Township	1	0.1%
10	Sanxing Township	2	0.2%
11	Datong Township	0	
12	Nanao Township	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1053	97.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q37: 31.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Hsinchu County?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1076 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Zhubei City	11	1.0%
2	Zhudong Township	4	0.4%
3	Xinpu Township	0	
4	Guanxi Township	1	0.1%
5	Hukou Township	1	0.1%
6	Xinfeng Township	3	0.3%
7	Qionglin Township	1	0.1%
8	Hengshan Township	2	0.2%
9	Beipu Township	0	
10	Baoshan Township	0	
11	Emei Township	1	0.1%
12	Jianshi Township	0	
13	Wufeng Township	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1052	97.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q38: 31.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Miaoli County?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1076 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Miaoli City	5	0.5%
2	Yuanli Township	3	0.3%
3	Tongxiao Township	4	0.4%
4	Zhunan Township	2	0.2%

File : TEDS2015-T_PA06E

q38: 31.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Miaoli County?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Toufen Township	4	0.4%
6	Houlong Township	2	0.2%
7	Zhuolan Township	2	0.2%
8	Dahu Township	0	
9	Gongguan Township	0	
10	Tongluo Township	0	
11	Nanzhuang Township	1	0.1%
12	Touwu Township	0	
13	Sanyi Township	0	
14	Xihu Township	0	
15	Zaoqiao Township	0	
16	Sanwan Township	1	0.1%
17	Shitan Township	0	
18	Taian Township	0	
95	Refuse to answer	1	0.1%
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1051	97.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q39: 31.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Changhua County?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Changhua City	13	1.2%
2	Lugang Township	3	0.3%
3	Hemei Township	6	0.6%
4	Xianxi Township	0	
5	Shengang Township	1	0.1%
6	Fuxing Township	2	0.2%
7	Xiushui Township	0	
8	Huatan Township	6	0.6%
9	Fenyuan Township	1	0.1%
10	Yuanlin Township	8	0.7%
11	Xihu Township	4	0.4%
12	Tianzhong Township	4	0.4%
13	Dacun Township	3	0.3%
14	Puyan Township	0	
15	Puxin Township	0	
16	Yongjing Township	0	
17	Shetou Township	2	0.2%
18	Ershui Township	0	
19	Beidou Township	5	0.5%
20	Erlin Township	5	0.5%
21	Tianwei Township	3	0.3%

File : TEDS2015-T_PA06E

q39: 31.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Changhua County?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
22	Pitou Township	2	0.2%
23	Fangyuan Township	1	0.1%
24	Dacheng Township	0	
25	Zhutang Township	2	0.2%
26	Xizhou Township	4	0.4%
95	Refuse to answer	2	0.2%
98	Don't know	1	0.1%
99	Skipped	998	92.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q40: 31.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Nantou County?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1076 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Nantou City	9	0.8%
2	Puli Township	6	0.6%
3	Caotun Township	12	1.1%
4	Zhushan Township	4	0.4%
5	Jiji Township	1	0.1%
6	Mingjian Township	2	0.2%
7	Lugu Township	2	0.2%
8	Zhongliao Township	0	
9	Yuchi Township	1	0.1%
10	Guoxing Township	0	
11	Shuili Township	1	0.1%
12	Xinyi Township	0	
13	Renai Township	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1038	96.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q41: 31.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Yunlin County?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1076 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Douliu City	9	0.8%
2	Dounan Township	2	0.2%
3	Huwei Township	1	0.1%
4	Xiluo Township	5	0.5%
5	Tuku Township	1	0.1%
6	Beigang Township	2	0.2%
7	Gukeng Township	3	0.3%
8	Dapi Township	0	

File : TEDS2015-T_PA06E

q41: 31.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Yunlin County?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9	Citong Township	1	0.1%
10	Linnei Township	2	0.2%
11	Erlun Township	3	0.3%
12	Lunbei Township	2	0.2%
13	Mailiao Township	1	0.1%
14	Dongshi Township	2	0.2%
15	Baozhong Township	0	
16	Taixi Township	2	0.2%
17	Yuanchang Township	1	0.1%
18	Sihu Township	4	0.4%
19	Kouhu Township	3	0.3%
20	Shuilin Township	1	0.1%
95	Refuse to answer	3	0.3%
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1028	95.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q42: 31.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Chiayi County?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1076 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taibao City	1	0.1%
2	Puzi City	3	0.3%
3	Budai Township	4	0.4%
4	Dalin Township	2	0.2%
5	Minxiong Township	7	0.7%
6	Xikou Township	0	
7	Xingang Township	3	0.3%
8	Liujiiao Township	1	0.1%
9	Dongshi Township	4	0.4%
10	Yizhu Township	2	0.2%
11	Lucao Township	1	0.1%
12	Shuishang Township	3	0.3%
13	Zhongpu Township	5	0.5%
14	Zhuqi Township	4	0.4%
15	Meishan Township	1	0.1%
16	Fanlu Township	0	
17	Dapu Township	0	
18	Alishan Township	0	
95	Refuse to answer	1	0.1%
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1034	96.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : TEDS2015-T_PA06E

q43: 31.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Pingtung County?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1076 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Pingtung City	12	1.1%
2	Chaozhou Township	6	0.6%
3	Donggang Township	5	0.5%
4	Hengchun Township	1	0.1%
5	Wandan Township	2	0.2%
6	Changzhi Township	2	0.2%
7	Linluo Township	2	0.2%
8	Jiuru Township	4	0.4%
9	Ligang Township	0	
10	Yanpu Township	0	
11	Gaoshu Township	0	
12	Wanluan Township	0	
13	Neipu Township	1	0.1%
14	Zhutian Township	2	0.2%
15	Xinpi Township	1	0.1%
16	Fangliao Township	1	0.1%
17	Xinyuan Township	2	0.2%
18	Kanding Township	1	0.1%
19	Linbian Township	2	0.2%
20	Nanzhou Township	0	
21	Jiadong Township	1	0.1%
22	Liuqiu Township	1	0.1%
23	Checheng Townshi	0	
24	Manzhou Townshi	0	
25	Fangshan Township	0	
26	Sandimen Township	0	
27	Wutai Township	0	
28	Majia Township	0	
29	Taiwu Townshi	0	
30	Laiyi Township	0	
31	Chunri Townshi	0	
32	Shizi Township	0	
33	Mudan Township	0	
95	Refuse to answer	1	0.1%
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1029	95.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q44: 31.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Taitung County?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1076 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

File : TEDS2015-T_PA06E

q44: 31.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Taitung County?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taitung City	9	0.8%
2	Chenggong Township	0	
3	Guanshan Township	0	
4	Beinan Township	0	
5	Luye Township	0	
6	Chishang Township	0	
7	Donghe Township	1	0.1%
8	Changbin Township	0	
9	Taimali Township	2	0.2%
10	Dawu Township	1	0.1%
11	Lyudao Township	0	
12	Haiduan Township	0	
13	Yanping Townshi	0	
14	Jinfeng Township	0	
15	Daren Township	1	0.1%
16	Lanyu Township	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1062	98.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q45: 31.Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Hualien County?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1076 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Hualien City	4	0.4%
2	Fenglin Township	0	
3	Yuli Township	2	0.2%
4	Xincheng Townshi	0	
5	Jian Township	2	0.2%
6	Shoufeng Township	0	
7	Guangfu Township	0	
8	Fengbin Township	0	
9	Ruisui Township	0	
10	Fuli Township	1	0.1%
11	Xiulin Townshi	0	
12	Wanrong Township	0	
13	Zhuoxi Township	0	
95	Refuse to answer	1	0.1%
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1066	99.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : TEDS2015-T_PA06E

q46: 31. Where your household is registered? Which township or city in Penghu County?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1076 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Magong City	6	0.6%
2	Huxi Township	0	
3	Baisha Township	0	
4	Xiyu Township	0	
5	Wang'an Township	0	
6	Qimei Township	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1070	99.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# q47: 31.Where your household is registered? Which district in Keelung City?			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1076 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Zhongzheng District	2	0.2%
2	Qidu District	3	0.3%
3	Nuannuan District	2	0.2%
4	Ren'ai District	1	0.1%
5	Zhongshan District	0	
6	Anle District	5	0.5%
7	Xinyi District	2	0.2%
95	Refuse to answer	1	0.1%
98	Don't know	1	0.1%
99	Skipped	1059	98.4%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# q48: 31.Where your household is registered? Which district in Hsinchu City?			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1076 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	East District	5	0.5%
2	North District	5	0.5%
3	Xiangshan District	2	0.2%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1064	98.9%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# q49: 31.Where your household is registered? Which district in Chiayi City?			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1076 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	East District	6	0.6%
2	West District	8	0.7%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	1	0.1%
99	Skipped	1061	98.6%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# q50: 31.Where your household is registered? Which district in Taipei City?			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1076 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Songshan District	1	0.1%
2	Xinyi District	10	0.9%
3	Daan District	9	0.8%
4	Zhongshan District	3	0.3%

q50: 31.Where your household is registered? Which district in Taipei City?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Zhongzheng District	7	0.7%
6	Datong District	0	
7	Wanhua District	8	0.7%
8	Wenshan District	20	1.9%
9	Nangang District	9	0.8%
10	Neihu District	9	0.8%
11	Shilin District	13	1.2%
12	Beitou District	9	0.8%
95	Refuse to answer	1	0.1%
98	Don't know	4	0.4%
99	Skipped	973	90.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q51: 31.Where your household is registered? Which district in Kaohsiung City?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1076 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yancheng District	2	0.2%
2	Gushan District	3	0.3%
3	Zuoying District	9	0.8%
4	Nanzi District	9	0.8%
5	Sanmin District	13	1.2%
6	Xinxing District	2	0.2%
7	Qianjin District	0	
8	Lingya District	2	0.2%
9	Qianzhen District	11	1.0%
10	Qijin District	2	0.2%
11	Xiaogang District	5	0.5%
12	Fengshan District	19	1.8%
13	Linyuan District	3	0.3%
14	Daliao District	4	0.4%
15	Dashu District	2	0.2%
16	Dashe District	0	
17	Renwu District	4	0.4%
18	Niaosong District	3	0.3%
19	Gangshan District	2	0.2%
20	Qiaotou District	0	
21	Yanchao District	2	0.2%
22	Tianliao District	0	
23	Alian District	1	0.1%
24	Luzhu District	6	0.6%
25	Hunei District	1	0.1%
26	Qieding District	0	
27	Yongan District	1	0.1%
28	Mituo District	0	

q51: 31.Where your household is registered? Which district in Kaohsiung City?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
29	Ziguan District	1	0.1%
30	Qishan District	4	0.4%
31	Meinong District	0	
32	Liugui District	2	0.2%
33	Jiaxian District	0	
34	Shanlin District	0	
35	Neimen District	1	0.1%
36	Maolin District	0	
37	Taoyuan District	0	
38	Namaxia District	0	
95	Refuse to answer	3	0.3%
98	Don't know	2	0.2%
99	Skipped	957	88.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q52: 31.Where your household is registered? Which district in New Taipei City?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1076 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Banqiao District	16	1.5%
2	Sanchong District	22	2.0%
3	Zhonghe District	14	1.3%
4	Yonghe District	7	0.7%
5	Xinzhuang District	21	2.0%
6	Xindian District	8	0.7%
7	Shulin District	6	0.6%
8	Yingge District	4	0.4%
9	Sanxia District	1	0.1%
10	Danshui District	5	0.5%
11	Xizhi District	6	0.6%
12	Ruifang District	2	0.2%
13	Tucheng District	11	1.0%
14	Luzhou District	6	0.6%
15	Wugu District	2	0.2%
16	Taishan District	5	0.5%
17	Linkou District	2	0.2%
18	Shenkeng District	2	0.2%
19	Shiding District	2	0.2%
20	Pinglin District	0	
21	Sanzhi District	0	
22	Shimen District	1	0.1%
23	Bali District	1	0.1%
24	Pingxi District	0	
25	Shuangxi District	0	
26	Gongliao District	1	0.1%

q52: 31.Where your household is registered? Which district in New Taipei City?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
27	Jinshan District	0	
28	Wanli District	0	
29	Wulai District	0	
95	Refuse to answer	1	0.1%
98	Don't know	2	0.2%
99	Skipped	928	86.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q53: 31.Where your household is registered? Which district in Taichung City?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1076 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Central District	0	
2	East District	1	0.1%
3	South District	3	0.3%
4	West District	4	0.4%
5	North District	4	0.4%
6	Xitun District	5	0.5%
7	Nantun District	3	0.3%
8	Beitun District	12	1.1%
9	Fengyuan District	8	0.7%
10	Dongshi District	0	
11	Dajia District	7	0.7%
12	Qingshui District	6	0.6%
13	Shalu District	9	0.8%
14	Wuqi District	2	0.2%
15	Houli District	2	0.2%
16	Shengang District	5	0.5%
17	Tanzi District	3	0.3%
18	Daya District	2	0.2%
19	Xinshe District	0	
20	Shigang District	1	0.1%
21	Waipu District	2	0.2%
22	Daan District	1	0.1%
23	Wuri District	3	0.3%
24	Dadu District	2	0.2%
25	Longjing District	2	0.2%
26	Wufeng District	3	0.3%
27	Taiping District	10	0.9%
28	Dali District	10	0.9%
29	Heping District	0	
95	Refuse to answer	3	0.3%
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	963	89.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# q54: 31.Where your household is registered? Which district in Tainan City?			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=1076 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Xinying District	5	0.5%
2	Yanshui District	2	0.2%
3	Baihe District	3	0.3%
4	Liuying District	1	0.1%
5	Houbi District	2	0.2%
6	Dongshan District	0	
7	Madou District	4	0.4%
8	Xiaying District	2	0.2%
9	Liujia District	2	0.2%
10	Guantian District	3	0.3%
11	Danei District	0	
12	Jiali District	3	0.3%
13	Xuejia District	1	0.1%
14	Sigang District	4	0.4%
15	Qigu District	0	
16	Jiangjun District	3	0.3%
17	Beimen District	0	
18	Xinhua District	4	0.4%
19	Shanhua District	3	0.3%
20	Xinshi District	1	0.1%
21	Anding District	4	0.4%
22	Shanshang District	1	0.1%
23	Yujing District	2	0.2%
24	Nanxi District	0	
25	Nanhua District	0	
26	Zuozhen District	0	
27	Rende District	0	
28	Guiren District	4	0.4%
29	Guanmiao District	3	0.3%
30	Longqi District	0	
31	Yongkang District	9	0.8%
32	East District	13	1.2%
33	South District	7	0.7%
34	North District	4	0.4%
35	Annan District	9	0.8%
36	Anping District	1	0.1%
37	West Central District	2	0.2%
95	Refuse to answer	3	0.3%
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	971	90.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q55: 31. Where your household is registered? Which district in Taoyuan City?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1076 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taoyuan District	21	2.0%
2	Zhongli District	7	0.7%
3	Daxi District	6	0.6%
4	Yangmei District	6	0.6%
5	Luzhu District	6	0.6%
6	Dayuan District	2	0.2%
7	Guishan District	5	0.5%
8	Bade District	5	0.5%
9	Longtan District	4	0.4%
10	Pingzhen District	11	1.0%
11	Xinwu District	0	
12	Guanyin District	2	0.2%
13	Fuxing District	0	
95	Refuse to answer	1	0.1%
98	Don't know	1	0.1%
99	Skipped	999	92.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q56: 32. In 2012 Presidential Election, who did you vote for?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-95] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1076 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Ma, Ying-jeou and Wu, Den-yih	427	39.7%
2	Tsai, Ing-wen and Su, Jia-chyuan	252	23.4%
3	James Soong and Lin, Ruey Shiung	24	2.2%
91	Forgot	49	4.6%
92	Not eligible to vote	33	3.1%
93	Didn't vote	234	21.7%
94	Cast an invalid vote	14	1.3%
95	Refuse to answer	43	4.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q57: 33a. What time do you usually go to bed? (24 hours)

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-96] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=1076 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		81	7.5%
2		33	3.1%
3		10	0.9%
4		1	0.1%
7		1	0.1%
19		1	0.1%
20		17	1.6%

q57: 33a.What time do you usually go to bed? (24 hours)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
21		56	5.2%
22		196	18.2%
23		364	33.8%
24		272	25.3%
95	Refuse to answer	16	1.5%
96	it depends	28	2.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q58: 33.What time do you usually get up? (24 hours)

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 3-96] [Missing=*]
--------------------	---

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1076 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
---------------------------	--------------------------------

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3		8	0.7%
4		39	3.6%
5		130	12.1%
6		316	29.4%
7		341	31.7%
8		144	13.4%
9		42	3.9%
10		12	1.1%
11		4	0.4%
12		4	0.4%
15		2	0.2%
95	Refuse to answer	14	1.3%
96	it depends	20	1.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q59: 34.Would you please leave us your email address?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-95] [Missing=*]
--------------------	---

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1076 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
---------------------------	--------------------------------

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	email	225	20.9%
95	Refuse to answer	851	79.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q60: 35.Respondent's gender

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
--------------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1076 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
---------------------------	--------------------------------

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	519	48.2%
2	Female	557	51.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q61: 36.Language used to conduct interview

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
--------------------	--

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1076 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
---------------------------	--------------------------------

q61: 36.Language used to conduct interview

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Mandarin	941	87.5%
2	Taiwanese	51	4.7%
3	Hakka	0	
4	Mandarin and Taiwanese	84	7.8%
5	Mandarin and Hakka	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

sex: Sex

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1076 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	519	48.2%
2	Female	557	51.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

age: Age

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1076 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	20-29 years	96	8.9%
2	30-39 years	190	17.7%
3	40-49 years	271	25.2%
4	50-59 years	272	25.3%
5	60 years and above	237	22.0%
9	Nonresponse	10	0.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

edu: Education

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1076 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Below-primary school	101	9.4%
2	Junior high school	116	10.8%
3	High school or vocational school	342	31.8%
4	Technical college	173	16.1%
5	Post-university	339	31.5%
9	Nonresponse	5	0.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

arear: Area

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1076 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taipei metro area	191	17.8%
2	New Taipei city-Chilung	77	7.2%
3	Taoyuan-Hsinchu-Miaoli	138	12.8%

# arear: Area			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Taichung-Changhwa-Nanto	229	21.3%
5	Yunlin-Chiai-Tainan	210	19.5%
6	Kaohsiung-Pingtung-Pemghu	172	16.0%
7	Yi Lan-Hwalian-Taitung	47	4.4%
9	Nonresponse	12	1.1%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# sengi: Father's ethnic background			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1076 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taiwanese Hakka	114	10.6%
2	Taiwanese Min-nan	768	71.4%
3	Mainlander	157	14.6%
4	Aboriginal	5	0.5%
5	Foreigner	3	0.3%
9	Nonresponse	29	2.7%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# masengi: Mother's ethnic background			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1076 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taiwanese Hakka	113	10.5%
2	Taiwanese Min-nan	838	77.9%
3	Mainlander	74	6.9%
4	Aboriginal	10	0.9%
5	Foreigner	7	0.7%
9	Nonresponse	34	3.2%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# t_cidentity: Taiwanese/Chinese identity			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1076 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taiwanese	606	56.3%
2	Both	405	37.6%
3	Chinese	39	3.6%
9	Nonresponse	26	2.4%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# partyid: Party identification			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1076 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	KMT	328	30.5%

# partyid: Party identification			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	DPP	304	28.3%
3	NP	11	1.0%
4	PFP	68	6.3%
5	TSU	15	1.4%
6	Neutral and it depends	333	30.9%
7	Nonresponse and other parties	17	1.6%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# party: Party support			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-16] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1076 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly support KMT	92	8.6%
2	Somewhat support KMT	212	19.7%
3	Lean to the KMT	24	2.2%
4	Strongly support DPP	71	6.6%
5	Somewhat support DPP	220	20.4%
6	Lean to the DPP	13	1.2%
7	Strongly support NP	1	0.1%
8	Somewhat support NP	8	0.7%
9	Lean to the NP	2	0.2%
10	Strongly support PFP	15	1.4%
11	Somewhat support PFP	50	4.6%
12	Lean to the PFP	3	0.3%
13	Strongly support TSU	5	0.5%
14	Somewhat support TSU	9	0.8%
15	Lean to the TSU	1	0.1%
16	Neutral and other parties	350	32.5%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# career: Occupation5			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1076 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Hight-class WHITE COLLAR	468	43.5%
2	Low-class WHITE COLLAR	216	20.1%
3	FARMER	57	5.3%
4	WORKER	304	28.3%
5	Others	31	2.9%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# career8: Occupation8			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1076 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Civil servants	152	14.1%

# career8: Occupation8			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Managers and Professionals (priv.)	280	26.0%
3	CLERKS (priv.)	167	15.5%
4	Labor (priv.)	223	20.7%
5	FARMER	46	4.3%
6	Students	29	2.7%
7	Housekeeping	171	15.9%
8	Others	8	0.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# tondu: Position on unification and independence	
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1076 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Immediate unification	19	1.8%
2	Maintain the status quo, move toward unification	116	10.8%
3	Maintain the status quo, decide either unification or indepe	361	33.6%
4	Maintain the status quo forever	306	28.4%
5	Maintain the status quo, move toward independence	175	16.3%
6	Immediate independence	29	2.7%
9	Nonresponse	70	6.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# w: weight	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.291341691-4.021698011] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1076 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0.54 /-]