Taiwan, ROC

Chi Hunag, Election Study Center, National Chengchi University

Taiwan's Election and Democratization Study, 2016-2020 (I): Telephone and Mobile Phone Interview Survey of the Presidential Satisfaction--The Nineteenth Wave (TEDS2017_PA03)

Study Documentation

August 22, 2018

Metadata Production

Metadata Producer(s)	Survey Research Data Archive (SRDA) , Center for Survey Research, Research Center for Humanities Social Sciences, Academia Sinica
Production Date	August 21, 2018
Identification	D00156en

Table of Contents

Taiwan's Election and Democratization Study, 2016-2020 (I): Telephone and Mobile Phone Interview Survey of the Presidential Satisfaction--The Nineteenth Wave (TEDS2017_PA03) (TEDS - Taiwan's Election and Democratization Study)

Overview

Type	Election Studies
Identification	D00156en
Version	Production Date: 2018-08-22
Kind of Data	Sampling
Unit of Analysis	Individuals

Scope & Coverage

Time Period(s)	2017
Countries	Taiwan, ROC
Geographic Coverage Taiwan	

Producers & Sponsors

Primary Investigator(s)	Chi Hunag, Election Study Center, National Chengchi University
Other Producer(s)	Election Study Center, National Chengchi University
Funding Agency/ies	Ministry of Science and Technology

Sampling

Weighting

Due to the increasingly high percentage of cell phone users, especially the younger Taiwanese, these people might be underrepresented in the samples collected through landline interview. To alleviate this problem, samples were collected through both landline interview and cell phone interview. As a result, the Telephone Interview data released include 916 samples from landline interview and 302 samples from cell phone interview.

The Telephone Interview data are weighted by raking method along with population distribution of sex, age, education, and area. The population parameters are referred to "2015 Demographic Fact Book, Republic of China", published by Ministry of The Interior Republish of China.

Data Collection

Data Collection Dates	start 2017-03-23 end 2017-03-28
Time Period(s)	start 2016-08-01 end 2017-07-31
Data Collection Mode	Telephone Survey

Data Processing & Appraisal

Data Editing

CSR has checked wild codes and out-of-range values, to validate and clean data. There is no doubt about data accuracy after the validation.

Accessibility

Contact(s)	, http://srda.sinica.edu.tw , srda@gate.sinica.edu.tw
Distributor(s)	Survey Research Data Archive
Depositor(s)	Election Study Center, National Chengchi University

Access Conditions

Standard Access Data (Downloads for Regular Member, Academia Sinica Researcher)

Files Description

Dataset contains 1 file(s)

TEDS2017-T_PA03E	
# Cases	1218
# Variable(s)	77

Taiwan's Election and Democratization Study, 2016-2020 (I): Telephone and Mobile Phone Interview Survey of the Presidential Satisfaction--The Nineteenth Wave (TEDS2017_PA03) - Files Description

- 6 -

Variables Group(s)

Dataset contains 13 group(s)

Group Sample Information

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	id	Identified number	continuous	numeric-6.0	1218	0	-
2	date	Day	discrete	numeric-6.0	1218	0	-
3	btime	Starting time	continuous	numeric-6.0	1218	0	-
4	etime	Ending time	continuous	numeric-6.0	1218	0	-

Group Government Performance

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q4	1.How satisfied are you with Tsai, Ing-wen's overall performance as president since her inauguration?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1218	0	-
2	q5	2.Regarding following problems that our country is facing, what do you think is the most priority that President Tsai, Ing-wen should handle?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1218	0	-
3	q6	2a.Regarding following problems that our country is facing, what do you think is the next priority that President Tsai, Ing-wen should handle?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1218	0	-
4	q7	3.How satisfied are you with her performance in handling the Cross-strait relations?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1218	0	-
5	q8	4.How satisfied are you with her performance in diplomacy?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1218	0	-
6	q9	5.How satisfied are you with her performance in national defense?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1218	0	-
7	q10	6.How satisfied are you with her performance in promoting economic development?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1218	0	-
8	q11	7.How satisfied are you with her performance in handling the livelihood issues?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1218	0	-

Group Image and Capability

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q12	8.In leadership, if 0 means that she has poor leadership, 10 means that he has good leadership, how would you	discrete	numeric-2.0	1218	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
		rate Tsai, Ing-wen using a 0-to-10 scale?					
2	q13	9.Regard your trust toward President Tsai, Ing-wen, if 0 means that you have a deep distrust of her, 10 means that you have a high trust of her, how would you rate Tsai, Ing-wen using a 0-to-10 scale?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1218	0	-
3	q17	13.If 0 means you do not trust it at all, 10 means you fully trust it, how would you rate the government of mainland china using a 0-to-10 scale?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1218	0	-

Group Cabinet and Party Performance

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q14	10.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Lin, Chuan as premier?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1218	0	-
2	q15	11.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of the Executive Yuan?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1218	0	-
3	q16	12.Are you satisfied with the performance of Hung, Hsiu-chu when she was the chairman of KMT?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1218	0	-

Group Social Issues

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q18	14.Some people say, "Abolishing 18-percent preferential retirement savings rate is not fair to the retired public servants." Do you agree or disagree with this statement?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1218	0	-
2	q19	15.Some people say, "The Civil Law should be amended so that homosexuals can get married and form a family." Do you agree or disagree with this statement?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1218	0	-

Group Economic Evaluations

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q20	16.Would you say that over the past six months, the state of economy of Taiwan has gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1218	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
2	q21	17.Would you say that in the next six months, the state of the economy of Taiwan will get better, stay about the same, or get worse?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1218	0	-

Group Position on Unification and Independence

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q22	18.Concerning the relationship between Taiwan and mainland China, which of the following six positions do you agree with?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1218	0	-

Group Party Identification

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q23	19.Among all political parties in our country, which party do you think of yourself as leaning toward?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1218	0	-
2	q24	19a.Do you lean very strongly or somewhat?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1218	0	-
3	q25	19b.Relatively speaking, do you lean toward any political party?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1218	0	-

Group Taiwanese/Chinese Identification

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q26	20.In Taiwan, some people think they are Taiwanese. There are also some people who think that they are Chinese. Do you consider yourself as Taiwanese, Chinese or both?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1218	0	-

Group Voting Participation

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q55	27.In 2016 Presidential Election, who did you vote for?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1218	0	-

Group Personal Data

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	q1	A1.(Home phone interview) do you have a cell-phone?/ (Cell-phone interview) do you have a land-line at where you stay?	discrete	numeric-1.0	1218	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
2	q2	A1a.Which telecom company does your mobile number belong to?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1218	0	-
3	q3	A2.How many (Home phone interview) telephone lines does your household have?/ (Cell-phone interview) cell-phone numbers do you usually use?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1218	0	-
4	q27	21.Year of birth	discrete	numeric-2.0	1218	0	-
5	q28	22.Education level	discrete	numeric-2.0	1218	0	-
6	q29	23.Father's ethnic background	discrete	numeric-2.0	1218	0	-
7	q30	24.Mother's ethnic background	discrete	numeric-2.0	1218	0	-
8	q31	25.Main occupation of respondent	discrete	numeric-3.0	1218	0	-
9	q32	25a.Main occupation of respondent's spouse	discrete	numeric-3.0	1218	0	-
10	q33	25b.Main occupation of respondent before retirement or unemployment	discrete	numeric-3.0	1218	0	-
11	q34	26.Please tell me where your household is registered?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1218	0	-
12	q35	26.Which township or city in Yi Lan County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1218	0	-
13	q36	26.Which township or city in Hsinchu County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1218	0	-
14	q37	26.Which township or city in Miaoli County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1218	0	-
15	q38	26.Which township or city in Changhua County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1218	0	-
16	q39	26.Which township or city in Nantou County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1218	0	-
17	q40	26.Which township or city in Yunlin County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1218	0	-
18	q41	26.Which township or city in Chiayi County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1218	0	-
19	q42	26.Which township or city in Pingtung County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1218	0	-
20	q43	26.Which township or city in Taitung County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1218	0	-
21	q44	26.Which township or city in Hualien County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1218	0	-
22	q45	26.Which township or city in Penghu County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1218	0	-
23	q46	26.Which district in Keelung City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1218	0	-
24	q47	26.Which district in Hsinchu City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1218	0	-
25	q48	26.Which district in Chiayi City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1218	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
26	q49	26.Which district in Taipei City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1218	0	-
27	q50	26.Which district in Kaohsiung City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1218	0	-
28	q51	26.Which district in New Taipei City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1218	0	-
29	q52	26.Which district in Taichung City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1218	0	-
30	q53	26.Which district in Tainan City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1218	0	-
31	q54	26.Which district in Taoyuan City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1218	0	-
32	q56	28.Do you have driver's license?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1218	0	-
33	q57	29.Do you have email address?	discrete	numeric-2.0	1218	0	-
34	q59	30.Respondent's gender	discrete	numeric-1.0	1218	0	-
35	q60	31.Language used to conduct interview	discrete	numeric-1.0	1218	0	-
36	type	Sample type	discrete	numeric-1.0	1218	0	-

Group New Variable

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	sex	Sex	discrete	numeric-1.0	1218	0	-
2	age	Age	discrete	numeric-1.0	1218	0	-
3	edu	Education	discrete	numeric-1.0	1218	0	-
4	arear	Area	discrete	numeric-1.0	1218	0	-
5	career	Occupation5	discrete	numeric-1.0	1218	0	-
6	career8	Occupation8	discrete	numeric-1.0	1218	0	-
7	t_cidentity	Taiwanese/Chinese identity	discrete	numeric-1.0	1218	0	-
8	partyid	Party identification	discrete	numeric-1.0	1218	0	-
9	party	Party support	discrete	numeric-2.0	1218	0	-
10	sengi	Father's ethnic background	discrete	numeric-1.0	1218	0	-
11	masengi	Mother's ethnic background	discrete	numeric-1.0	1218	0	-
12	tondu	Position on unification and independence	discrete	numeric-1.0	1218	0	-

Group Weight

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	w	weight	continuous	numeric-8.5	1218	0	-

Variables Description

Dataset contains 77 variable(s)

File : TEDS2017-T_PA03E

id: Identified number

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10004-259813] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1218 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=147648.213 /-] [StdDev=84569.607 /-]

date: Day

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 170323-170328] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1218 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=170325.659 /-] [StdDev=1.611 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
170323		140	11.5%
170324		202	16.6%
170325		223	18.3%
170326		209	17.2%
170327		256	21.0%
170328		188	15.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

btime: Starting time

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 180805-221809] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1218 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=200716.51 /-] [StdDev=10706.219 /-]

etime: Ending time

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 181847-221859] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1218 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=202711.36 /-] [StdDev=10846.794 /-]

q1: A1.(Home phone interview) do you have a cell-phone?/(Cell-phone interview) do you have a land-line at where you stay?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-95] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1218 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	854	70.1%
2	No	364	29.9%
95	Refuse to answer	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q2: A1a.Which telecom company does your mobile number belong to?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1218 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Chunghwa Telecom	598	49.1%
2	Taiwan Mobile	253	20.8%
3	FarEasTone (FET)	196	16.1%
4	T-star Telecom	42	3.4%
5	Asia Pacific Telecom	38	3.1%
6	Ibon Mobile	0	
95	Refuse to answer	18	1.5%
98	Don't know	11	0.9%
99	Skipped	62	5.1%

File : TEDS2017-T_PA03E

q2: A1a.Which telecom company does your mobile number belong to?

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q3: A2.How many (Home phone interview) telephone lines does your household have?/ (Cell-phone interview) cell-phone numbers do you usually use?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1218 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		3	0.2%
1		926	76.0%
2		206	16.9%
3		34	2.8%
4		10	0.8%
5		5	0.4%
6		2	0.2%
95	Refuse to answer	14	1.1%
98	Don't know	18	1.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q4: 1.How satisfied are you with Tsai, Ing-wen's overall performance as president since her inauguration?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1218 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	62	5.1%
2	Satisfied	343	28.2%
3	Not very satisfied	369	30.3%
4	Not satisfied at all	268	22.0%
95	Refuse to answer	6	0.5%
96	It's hard to say	19	1.6%
97	No opinion	88	7.2%
98	Don't know	63	5.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q5: 2.Regarding following problems that our country is facing, what do you think is the most priority that President Tsai, Ing-wen should handle?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1218 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Cross-strait relations	151	12.4%
2	Education policy	154	12.6%
3	Annuity reform	173	14.2%
4	Economic development	557	45.7%
5	Food safety	0	
6	Energy policy	0	
7	Labor policy	6	0.5%
8	Judicial reform problem	90	7.4%
9	Livelihood issues	1	0.1%

File : TEDS2017-T_PA03E

q5: 2.Regarding following problems that our country is facing, what do you think is the most priority that President Tsai, Ing-wen should handle?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	Employment problem	1	0.1%
11	Competition between Pan-blue and the Pan-green	0	
12	Traffic problem	0	
13	Crime problem	1	0.1%
14	Transitional justice	20	1.6%
15	House prices	1	0.1%
16	Low wages	0	
17	Social welfare problem	1	0.1%
18	Diplomacy problem	0	
19	Gender equality	0	
20	Ill-gotten Party Assets	0	
21	Corruption Problem	0	
22	Quality of Nation	0	
23	United of Nation	0	
24	Financial problem	0	
25	Food contaminated with radioactive	0	
26	Chen, Shui-bian amnesty issue	0	
27	Marriage equality	0	
28	Intraparty issues	0	
29	Public servant problem	0	
30	National Defense Problem	1	0.1%
31	Drug use problem	0	
32	Fertility policy	0	
92	None [skip to 3]	0	
95	Refuse to answer [skip to 3]	3	0.2%
96	It's hard to say [skip to 3]	10	0.8%
97	No opinion [skip to 3]	13	1.1%
98	Don't know [skip to 3]	35	2.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q6: 2a.Regarding following problems that our country is facing, what do you think is the next priority that President Tsai, Ing-wen should handle?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1218 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Cross-strait relations	221	18.1%
2	Education policy	245	20.1%
3	Annuity reform	176	14.4%
4	Economic development	263	21.6%
5	Food safety	0	
6	Energy policy	0	
7	Labor policy	4	0.3%
8	Judicial reform problem	150	12.3%

File : TEDS2017-T_PA03E

q6: 2a.Regarding following problems that our country is facing, what do you think is the next priority that President Tsai, Ing-wen should handle?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9	Livelihood issues	1	0.1%
10	Employment problem	0	
11	Competition between Pan-blue and the Pan-green	1	0.1%
12	Traffic problem	0	
13	Crime problem	2	0.2%
14	Transitional justice	41	3.4%
15	House prices	0	
16	Low wages	1	0.1%
17	Social welfare problem	0	
18	Diplomacy problem	0	
19	Gender equality	0	
20	Ill-gotten Party Assets	0	
21	Corruption Problem	0	
22	Quality of Nation	0	
23	United of Nation	1	0.1%
24	Financial problem	0	
25	Food contaminated with radioactive	0	
26	Chen, Shui-bian amnesty issue	0	
27	Marriage equality	0	
28	Intraparty issues	0	
29	Public servant problem	0	
30	National Defense Problem	0	
31	Drug use problem	0	
32	Fertility policy	1	0.1%
92	None	4	0.3%
95	Refuse to answer	4	0.3%
96	It's hard to say	5	0.4%
97	No opinion	15	1.2%
98	Don't know	22	1.8%
99	Skipped	61	5.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q7: 3.How satisfied are you with her performance in handling the Cross-strait relations?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1218 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	61	5.0%
2	Satisfied	271	22.2%
3	Not very satisfied	374	30.7%
4	Not satisfied at all	279	22.9%
95	Refuse to answer	8	0.7%
96	It's hard to say	25	2.1%
97	No opinion	83	6.8%

File : TEDS2017-T_PA03E

q7: 3.How satisfied are you with her performance in handling the Cross-strait relations?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Don't know	117	9.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q8: 4.How satisfied are you with her performance in diplomacy?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1218 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	83	6.8%
2	Satisfied	348	28.6%
3	Not very satisfied	347	28.5%
4	Not satisfied at all	196	16.1%
95	Refuse to answer	6	0.5%
96	It's hard to say	22	1.8%
97	No opinion	91	7.5%
98	Don't know	125	10.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q9: 5.How satisfied are you with her performance in national defense?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1218 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	77	6.3%
2	Satisfied	326	26.8%
3	Not very satisfied	340	27.9%
4	Not satisfied at all	203	16.7%
95	Refuse to answer	5	0.4%
96	It's hard to say	19	1.6%
97	No opinion	80	6.6%
98	Don't know	168	13.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q10: 6.How satisfied are you with her performance in promoting economic development?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1218 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	50	4.1%
2	Satisfied	257	21.1%
3	Not very satisfied	450	36.9%
4	Not satisfied at all	359	29.5%
95	Refuse to answer	6	0.5%
96	It's hard to say	18	1.5%
97	No opinion	31	2.5%
98	Don't know	47	3.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : TEDS2017-T_PA03E

q11: 7.How satisfied are you with her performance in handling the livelihood issues?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1218 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	41	3.4%
2	Satisfied	289	23.7%
3	Not very satisfied	453	37.2%
4	Not satisfied at all	301	24.7%
95	Refuse to answer	2	0.2%
96	It's hard to say	20	1.6%
97	No opinion	40	3.3%
98	Don't know	72	5.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q12: 8.In leadership, if 0 means that she has poor leadership, 10 means that he has good leadership, how would you rate Tsai, Ing-wen using a 0-to-10 scale?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1218 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Has poor leadership	88	7.2%
1		25	2.1%
2		55	4.5%
3		122	10.0%
4		108	8.9%
5		274	22.5%
6		225	18.5%
7		141	11.6%
8		95	7.8%
9		13	1.1%
10	Has good leadership	26	2.1%
95	Refuse to answer	4	0.3%
98	Don't know	42	3.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q13: 9.Regard your trust toward President Tsai, Ing-wen, if 0 means that you have a deep distrust of her, 10 means that you have a high trust of her, how would you rate Tsai, Ing-wen using a 0-to-10 scale?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1218 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Have a deep distrust	144	11.8%
1		28	2.3%
2		58	4.8%
3		94	7.7%
4		90	7.4%
5		263	21.6%
6		172	14.1%

File : TEDS2017-T_PA03E

q13: 9.Regard your trust toward President Tsai, Ing-wen, if 0 means that you have a deep distrust of her, 10 means that you have a high trust of her, how would you rate Tsai, Ing-wen using a 0-to-10 scale?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7		116	9.5%
8		112	9.2%
9		37	3.0%
10	Have a high trust	69	5.7%
95	Refuse to answer	4	0.3%
98	Don't know	31	2.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q14: 10.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of Lin, Chuan as premier?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1218 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	38	3.1%
2	Satisfied	305	25.0%
3	Not very satisfied	470	38.6%
4	Not satisfied at all	213	17.5%
95	Refuse to answer	7	0.6%
96	It's hard to say	12	1.0%
97	No opinion	55	4.5%
98	Don't know	118	9.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q15: 11.Are you satisfied with the overall performance of the Executive Yuan?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1218 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	28	2.3%
2	Satisfied	263	21.6%
3	Not very satisfied	559	45.9%
4	Not satisfied at all	262	21.5%
95	Refuse to answer	1	0.1%
96	It's hard to say	17	1.4%
97	No opinion	33	2.7%
98	Don't know	55	4.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q16: 12.Are you satisfied with the performance of Hung, Hsiu-chu when she was the chairman of KMT?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1218 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	42	3.4%
2	Satisfied	220	18.1%
3	Not very satisfied	422	34.6%

File : TEDS2017-T_PA03E

q16: 12.Are you satisfied with the performance of Hung, Hsiu-chu when she was the chairman of KMT?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Not satisfied at all	202	16.6%
95	Refuse to answer	18	1.5%
96	It's hard to say	21	1.7%
97	No opinion	103	8.5%
98	Don't know	190	15.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q17: 13.If 0 means you do not trust it at all, 10 means you fully trust it, how would you rate the government of mainland china using a 0-to-10 scale?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1218 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Have a deep distrust	334	27.4%
1		39	3.2%
2		81	6.7%
3		144	11.8%
4		107	8.8%
5		276	22.7%
6		70	5.7%
7		39	3.2%
8		24	2.0%
9		4	0.3%
10	Have a high trust	15	1.2%
95	Refuse to answer	24	2.0%
98	Don't know	61	5.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q18: 14.Some people say, "Abolishing 18-percent preferential retirement savings rate is not fair to the retired public servants." Do you agree or disagree with this statement?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1218 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	166	13.6%
2	Agree	212	17.4%
3	Disagree	340	27.9%
4	Strongly disagree	380	31.2%
95	Refuse to answer	11	0.9%
96	It's hard to say	26	2.1%
97	No opinion	24	2.0%
98	Don't know	59	4.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q19: 15.Some people say, "The Civil Law should be amended so that homosexuals can get married and form a family." Do you agree or disagree with this statement?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]

File : TEDS2017-T_PA03E

q19: 15.Some people say, "The Civil Law should be amended so that homosexuals can get married and form a family." Do you agree or disagree with this statement?

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1218 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	246	20.2%
2	Agree	245	20.1%
3	Disagree	174	14.3%
4	Strongly disagree	456	37.4%
95	Refuse to answer	10	0.8%
96	It's hard to say	15	1.2%
97	No opinion	49	4.0%
98	Don't know	23	1.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q20: 16.Would you say that over the past six months, the state of economy of Taiwan has gotten better, stayed about the same, or gotten worse?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1218 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Better	111	9.1%
2	About the same	545	44.7%
3	Worse	533	43.8%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It depends	0	
97	No opinion	4	0.3%
98	Don't know	25	2.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q21: 17.Would you say that in the next six months, the state of the economy of Taiwan will get better, stay about the same, or get worse?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1218 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Better	175	14.4%
2	About the same	537	44.1%
3	Worse	414	34.0%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
96	It depends	17	1.4%
97	No opinion	5	0.4%
98	Don't know	70	5.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q22: 18.Concerning the relationship between Taiwan and mainland China, which of the following six positions do you agree with?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1218 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

File : TEDS2017-T_PA03E

q22: 18.Concerning the relationship between Taiwan and mainland China, which of the following six positions do you agree with?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Immediate unification	15	1.2%
2	Immediate independence	58	4.8%
3	Maintain the status quo, move toward unification in the futu	112	9.2%
4	Maintain the status quo, move toward independence in the fut	245	20.1%
5	Maintain the status quo, decide either unification or indepe	421	34.6%
6	Maintain the status quo forever	311	25.5%
7	Is already an independent country	0	
8	Is already an unified country	0	
95	Refuse to answer	11	0.9%
96	It's hard to say	8	0.7%
97	No opinion	6	0.5%
98	Don't know	31	2.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q23: 19.Among all political parties in our country, which party do you think of yourself as leaning toward?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1218 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	KMT [continue to 19a]	228	18.7%
2	DPP [continue to 19a]	265	21.8%
3	NP [continue to 19a]	5	0.4%
4	PFP [continue to 19a]	21	1.7%
5	TSU [continue to 19a]	3	0.2%
6	All support [skip to 19b]	24	2.0%
7	All don't support [skip to 19b]	433	35.6%
8	KMT+DPP [skip to 19b]	1	0.1%
9	KMT+NP [skip to 19b]	0	
10	KMT+PFP [skip to 19b]	0	
12	DPP+PFP [skip to 19b]	0	
16	PAN-BLUE [skip to 19b]	0	
17	PAN-GREEN [skip to 19b]	0	
18	Opposititon party [skip to 19b]	0	
19	Vote for candidate not for his/her party [skip to 19b]	14	1.1%
20	Green Party [continue to 19a]	6	0.5%
21	New Power Party [continue to 19a]	55	4.5%
22	Minkuotang [continue to 19a]	1	0.1%
23	Social Democratic Party [continue to 19a]	2	0.2%
24	Tree Party [continue to 19a]	0	
25	Taiwan Independence Party [continue to 19a]	0	
26	Free Taiwan Party [continue to 19a]	0	
27	The Motorists' Party of R.O.C. [continue to 19a]	0	
28	Non-Partisan Solidarity Union [continue to 19a]	0	
29	Unionist Party [continue to 19a]	0	

File : TEDS2017-T_PA03E

q23: 19.Among all political parties in our country, which party do you think of yourself as leaning toward?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
30	Faith And Hope League [continue to 19a]	0	
31	Green Party Taiwan & Social Democratic Party Alliance [conti	0	
32	Military, Civil, and Faculty Alliance Party [continue to 19a	0	
33	Taiwan Independence Party [continue to 19a]	0	
34	Taiwan labor party [continue to 19a]	1	0.1%
95	Refuse to answer [skip to 19b]	15	1.2%
96	It depends [skip to 19b]	61	5.0%
97	No opinion [skip to 19b]	50	4.1%
98	Don't know [skip to 19b]	33	2.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q24: 19a.Do you lean very strongly or somewhat?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1218 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very strongly	167	13.7%
2	Somewhat	420	34.5%
99	Skipped	631	51.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q25: 19b.Relatively speaking, do you lean toward any political party?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 3-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1218 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
3	KMT	54	4.4%
4	DPP	39	3.2%
5	NP	0	
6	PFP	3	0.2%
7	TSU	0	
8	None	438	36.0%
10	KMP+DPP	1	0.1%
12	KMT+PFP	0	
14	DPP+PFP	0	
18	PAN-BLUE	1	0.1%
19	PAN-GREEN	0	
20	Opposititon party	0	
21	Vote for candidate not for his/her party	8	0.7%
23	Green Party	1	0.1%
24	New Power Party	6	0.5%
25	Minkuotang	0	
26	Social Democratic Party	0	
27	Tree Party	0	
28	Taiwan Independence party	0	
29	Free Taiwan Party	0	

File : TEDS2017-T_PA03E

q25: 19b.Relatively speaking, do you lean toward any political party?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
30	The Motorists' Party of R.O.C.	0	
31	Non-Partisan Solidarity Union	0	
32	Unionist Party	1	0.1%
33	Military, Civil, and Faculty Alliance Party	0	
34	Faith And Hope Leagu	0	
35	Green Party Taiwan & Social Democratic Party Alliance	0	
36	Taiwan Independence Party	0	
37	Taiwan labor party	0	
95	Refuse to answer	6	0.5%
96	It depends	22	1.8%
97	No opinion	29	2.4%
98	Don't know	22	1.8%
99	Skipped	587	48.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q26: 20.In Taiwan, some people think they are Taiwanese. There are also some people who think that they are Chinese. Do you consider yourself as Taiwanese, Chinese or both?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1218 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taiwanese	639	52.5%
2	Both	506	41.5%
3	Chinese	34	2.8%
95	Refuse to answer	6	0.5%
96	It depends	8	0.7%
97	No opinion	8	0.7%
98	Don't know	17	1.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q27: 21.Year of birth

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 11-95] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1218 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
11		1	0.1%
15		1	0.1%
18		1	0.1%
19		1	0.1%
20		1	0.1%
21		1	0.1%
23		2	0.2%
24		4	0.3%
25		3	0.2%
26		5	0.4%
27		3	0.2%

File : TEDS2017-T_PA03E

q27: 21.Year of birth

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
28		7	0.6%
29		8	0.7%
30		5	0.4%
31		10	0.8%
32		8	0.7%
33		2	0.2%
34		5	0.4%
35		5	0.4%
36		7	0.6%
37		8	0.7%
38		12	1.0%
39		11	0.9%
40		18	1.5%
41		14	1.1%
42		18	1.5%
43		14	1.1%
44		25	2.1%
45		21	1.7%
46		15	1.2%
47		21	1.7%
48		19	1.6%
49		27	2.2%
50		25	2.1%
51		32	2.6%
52		19	1.6%
53		24	2.0%
54		23	1.9%
55		24	2.0%
56		23	1.9%
57		26	2.1%
58		34	2.8%
59		28	2.3%
60		36	3.0%
61		41	3.4%
62		13	1.1%
63		18	1.5%
64		24	2.0%
65		31	2.5%
66		27	2.2%
67		28	2.3%
68		27	2.2%
69		35	2.9%
70		41	3.4%

File : TEDS2017-T_PA03E

q27: 21.Year of birth

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
71		24	2.0%
72		34	2.8%
73		23	1.9%
74		14	1.1%
75		26	2.1%
76		14	1.1%
77		11	0.9%
78		14	1.1%
79		26	2.1%
80		23	1.9%
81		15	1.2%
82		23	1.9%
83		18	1.5%
84		23	1.9%
85		18	1.5%
86		9	0.7%
95	Refuse to answer	21	1.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q28: 22.Education level

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-95] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1218 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Illiterate	22	1.8%
2	Primary school graduate	67	5.5%
3	Junior high school graduate	88	7.2%
4	High school or vocational school graduate	346	28.4%
5	Technical college graduate	175	14.4%
6	University graduate	378	31.0%
7	Post-graduate education	131	10.8%
95	Refuse to answer	11	0.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q29: 23.Father's ethnic background

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1218 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taiwanese Hakka	152	12.5%
2	Taiwanese Min-nan	859	70.5%
3	Mainlander	147	12.1%
4	Aboriginal	16	1.3%
5	Foreigner	1	0.1%
6	Recent Mainland immigrant	1	0.1%
7	Recent Foreign immigrant	2	0.2%

File : TEDS2017-T_PA03E

q29: 23.Father's ethnic background

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
95	Refuse to answer	13	1.1%
98	Don't know	27	2.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q30: 24.Mother's ethnic background

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1218 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taiwanese Hakka	159	13.1%
2	Taiwanese Min-nan	911	74.8%
3	Mainlander	74	6.1%
4	Aboriginal	22	1.8%
5	Foreigner	2	0.2%
6	Recent Mainland immigrant	2	0.2%
7	Recent Foreign immigrant	4	0.3%
95	Refuse to answer	15	1.2%
98	Don't know	29	2.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q31: 25.Main occupation of respondent

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 101-995] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1218 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
101	Legislators	2	0.2%
102	Government Administrators	5	0.4%
103	Chief Executives(Pub.)	10	0.8%
104	Chief Executives(Priv.)	114	9.4%
105	Directors have employee	66	5.4%
106	Directors don't have employee	39	3.2%
201	Science Professionals(Pub.)	0	
202	Science Professionals(Priv.)	0	
203	Health Associate Professionals(Pub.)	3	0.2%
204	Health Associate Professionals(Priv.)	22	1.8%
205	Accountants	2	0.2%
206	Teachers(Pub.)	34	2.8%
207	Teachers(Priv.)	12	1.0%
208	Lawyers and Legal Professionals(Pub.)	0	
209	Lawyers and Legal Professionals(Priv.)	1	0.1%
210	Religion man	1	0.1%
211	Abstractionist	3	0.2%
212	Writer(author, reporter, dramatist)	2	0.2%
213	Eng. Science Professionals(Pub.)	1	0.1%
214	Eng. Science Professionals(Priv.)	23	1.9%
215	Sportsman	0	

File : TEDS2017-T_PA03E

q31: 25.Main occupation of respondent

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
301	Office Clerks(Pub.)	33	2.7%
302	Office Clerks(Priv.)	144	11.8%
303	Salespersons	8	0.7%
401	Personal Services Workers(Priv.) or Services Elementary Occu	44	3.6%
501	Agr., Animal Husbandry, Forestry and Fishing Workers(Priv.)	34	2.8%
601	Production Laborers and Rel. Workers(Pub.)	17	1.4%
602	Production Laborers and Rel. Workers(Priv.)	231	19.0%
701	Students	60	4.9%
801	Servicemen	10	0.8%
901	Housekeeping, without domestic crafting [continue to 25a]	111	9.1%
902	Housekeeping, with domestic crafting [continue to 25a]	11	0.9%
903	Housekeeping, helping familial business without pay [continu	16	1.3%
904	Housekeeping, helping familial business with pay [continue t	1	0.1%
905	Unemployment [skip to 25b]	18	1.5%
906	Retired [skip to 25b]	126	10.3%
907	Not working, but has income	0	
911	Haven't employed	2	0.2%
995	Refuse to answer	12	1.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q32: 25a.Main occupation of respondent's spouse

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 101-999] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1218 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
101	Legislators	0	
102	Government Administrators	0	
103	Chief Executives(Pub.)	3	0.2%
104	Chief Executives(Priv.)	19	1.6%
105	Directors have employee	8	0.7%
106	Directors don't have employee	8	0.7%
201	Science Professionals(Pub.)	0	
202	Science Professionals(Priv.)	0	
203	Health Associate Professionals(Pub.)	0	
204	Health Associate Professionals(Priv.)	2	0.2%
205	Accountants	0	
206	Teachers(Pub.)	2	0.2%
207	Teachers(Priv.)	0	
208	Lawyers and Legal Professionals(Pub.)	0	
209	Lawyers and Legal Professionals(Priv.)	0	
210	Religion man	0	
211	Abstractionist	0	
212	Writer(author, reporter, dramatist)	1	0.1%
213	Eng. Science Professionals(Pub.)	0	
214	Eng. Science Professionals(Priv.)	1	0.1%

File : TEDS2017-T_PA03E

q32: 25a.Main occupation of respondent's spouse

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
215	Sportsman	0	
301	Office Clerks(Pub.)	2	0.2%
302	Office Clerks(Priv.)	9	0.7%
303	Salespersons	0	
401	Personal Services Workers(Priv.) or Services Elementary Occu	8	0.7%
501	Agr., Animal Husbandry, Forestry and Fishing Workers(Priv.)	9	0.7%
601	Production Laborers and Rel. Workers(Pub.)	6	0.5%
602	Production Laborers and Rel. Workers(Priv.)	43	3.5%
701	Students	0	
801	Servicemen	3	0.2%
901	Widowed	2	0.2%
902	Divorced	5	0.4%
907	Not working, but has income	1	0.1%
911	Haven't employed	2	0.2%
995	Refuse to answer	5	0.4%
999	Skipped	1079	88.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q33: 25b.Main occupation of respondent before retirement or unemployment

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 101-999] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1218 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
101	Legislators	1	0.1%
102	Government Administrators	2	0.2%
103	Chief Executives(Pub.)	4	0.3%
104	Chief Executives(Priv.)	16	1.3%
105	Directors have employee	16	1.3%
106	Directors don't have employee	8	0.7%
201	Science Professionals(Pub.)	0	
202	Science Professionals(Priv.)	0	
203	Health Associate Professionals(Pub.)	0	
204	Health Associate Professionals(Priv.)	1	0.1%
205	Accountants	0	
206	Teachers(Pub.)	8	0.7%
207	Teachers(Priv.)	0	
208	Lawyers and Legal Professionals(Pub.)	0	
209	Lawyers and Legal Professionals(Priv.)	1	0.1%
210	Religion man	0	
211	Abstractionist	0	
212	Writer(author, reporter, dramatist)	0	
213	Eng. Science Professionals(Pub.)	0	
214	Eng. Science Professionals(Priv.)	1	0.1%
215	Sportsman	0	
301	Office Clerks(Pub.)	6	0.5%

File : TEDS2017-T_PA03E

q33: 25b.Main occupation of respondent before retirement or unemployment

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
302	Office Clerks(Priv.)	12	1.0%
303	Salespersons	0	
401	Personal Services Workers(Priv.) or Services Elementary Occu	11	0.9%
501	Agr., Animal Husbandry, Forestry and Fishing Workers(Priv.)	7	0.6%
601	Production Laborers and Rel. Workers(Pub.)	6	0.5%
602	Production Laborers and Rel. Workers(Priv.)	34	2.8%
701	Students	3	0.2%
801	Servicemen	4	0.3%
995	Refuse to answer	3	0.2%
999	Skipped	1074	88.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q34: 26.Please tell me where your household is registered?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 2-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1218 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	Yi Lan County	24	2.0%
4	Hsinchu County	25	2.1%
5	Miao Li County	32	2.6%
7	Changhua County	89	7.3%
8	Nantou County	30	2.5%
9	Yunlin County	48	3.9%
10	Chiayi County	30	2.5%
13	Pingtung County	54	4.4%
14	Taitung County	8	0.7%
15	Hualien County	14	1.1%
16	Peng Hu County	7	0.6%
17	Keelung City	11	0.9%
18	Hsinchu City	18	1.5%
20	Chiayi City	23	1.9%
28	Kinmen County	9	0.7%
30	Lienchiang County County	0	
63	Taipei City	122	10.0%
64	Kaoshiung City	139	11.4%
65	New Taipei City	192	15.8%
66	Taichung City	125	10.3%
67	Tainan City	95	7.8%
68	Taoyuan City	96	7.9%
95	Refuse to answer	21	1.7%
98	Don' know	6	0.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q35: 26.Which township or city in Yi Lan County?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]

File : TEDS2017-T_PA03E

q35: 26.Which township or city in Yi Lan County?

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1218 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yilan City	6	0.5%
2	Luodong Township	5	0.4%
3	Suao Township	0	
4	Toucheng Township	1	0.1%
5	Jiaoxi Township	2	0.2%
6	Zhuangwei Township	1	0.1%
7	Yuanshan Township	0	
8	Dongshan Township	4	0.3%
9	Wujie Township	1	0.1%
10	Sanxing Township	2	0.2%
11	Datong Township	0	
12	Nanao Township	1	0.1%
95	Refuse to answer	1	0.1%
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1194	98.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q36: 26.Which township or city in Hsinchu County?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1218 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Zhubei City	6	0.5%
2	Zhudong Township	6	0.5%
3	Xinpu Township	2	0.2%
4	Guanxi Township	2	0.2%
5	Hukou Township	3	0.2%
6	Xinfeng Township	3	0.2%
7	Qionglin Township	0	
8	Hengshan Township	1	0.1%
9	Beipu Township	1	0.1%
10	Baoshan Township	1	0.1%
11	Emei Township	0	
12	Jianshi Township	0	
13	Wufeng Township	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1193	97.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q37: 26.Which township or city in Miaoli County?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1218 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

File : TEDS2017-T_PA03E

q37: 26.Which township or city in Miaoli County?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Miaoli City	8	0.7%
2	Yuanli Township	4	0.3%
3	Tongxiao Township	1	0.1%
4	Zhunan Township	4	0.3%
5	Toufen City	9	0.7%
6	Houlong Township	0	
7	Zhuolan Township	1	0.1%
8	Dahu Township	0	
9	Gongguan Township	0	
10	Tongluo Township	2	0.2%
11	Nanzhuang Township	0	
12	Touwu Township	0	
13	Sanyi Township	2	0.2%
14	Xihu Township	0	
15	Zaoqiao Township	0	
16	Sanwan Township	0	
17	Shitan Township	0	
18	Taian Township	0	
95	Refuse to answer	1	0.1%
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1186	97.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q38: 26.Which township or city in Changhua County?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1218 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Changhua City	14	1.1%
2	Lugang Township	6	0.5%
3	Hemei Township	6	0.5%
4	Xianxi Township	0	
5	Shengang Township	3	0.2%
6	Fuxing Township	4	0.3%
7	Xiushui Township	2	0.2%
8	Huatan Township	3	0.2%
9	Fenyuan Township	0	
10	Yuanlin City	14	1.1%
11	Xihu Township	3	0.2%
12	Tianzhong Township	4	0.3%
13	Dacun Township	2	0.2%
14	Puyan Township	4	0.3%
15	Puxin Township	1	0.1%
16	Yongjing Township	1	0.1%

File : TEDS2017-T_PA03E

q38: 26.Which township or city in Changhua County?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
17	Shetou Township	4	0.3%
18	Ershui Township	2	0.2%
19	Beidou Township	1	0.1%
20	Erlin Township	4	0.3%
21	Tianwei Township	2	0.2%
22	Pitou Township	1	0.1%
23	Fangyuan Township	1	0.1%
24	Dacheng Township	0	
25	Zhutang Township	0	
26	Xizhou Township	1	0.1%
95	Refuse to answer	5	0.4%
98	Don't know	1	0.1%
99	Skipped	1129	92.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q39: 26.Which township or city in Nantou County?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1218 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Nantou City	7	0.6%
2	Puli Township	4	0.3%
3	Caotun Township	10	0.8%
4	Zhushan Township	0	
5	Jiji Township	1	0.1%
6	Mingjian Township	2	0.2%
7	Lugu Township	2	0.2%
8	Zhongliao Township	0	
9	Yuchi Township	1	0.1%
10	Guoxing Township	0	
11	Shuili Township	2	0.2%
12	Xinyi Township	0	
13	Renai Township	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	1	0.1%
99	Skipped	1188	97.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q40: 26.Which township or city in Yunlin County?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1218 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Douliu City	9	0.7%
2	Dounan Township	6	0.5%
3	Huwei Township	3	0.2%

File : TEDS2017-T_PA03E

q40: 26.Which township or city in Yunlin County?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Xiluo Township	0	
5	Tuku Township	1	0.1%
6	Beigang Township	3	0.2%
7	Gukeng Township	3	0.2%
8	Dapi Township	4	0.3%
9	Citong Township	0	
10	Linnei Township	0	
11	Erlun Township	2	0.2%
12	Lunbei Township	0	
13	Mailiao Township	5	0.4%
14	Dongshi Township	0	
15	Baozhong Township	1	0.1%
16	Taixi Township	2	0.2%
17	Yuanchang Township	2	0.2%
18	Sihu Township	0	
19	Kouhu Township	2	0.2%
20	Shuilin Township	3	0.2%
95	Refuse to answer	2	0.2%
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1170	96.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q41: 26.Which township or city in Chiayi County?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1218 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taibao City	0	
2	Puzi City	6	0.5%
3	Budai Township	1	0.1%
4	Dalin Township	1	0.1%
5	Minxiong Township	4	0.3%
6	Xikou Township	1	0.1%
7	Xingang Township	1	0.1%
8	Liujiao Township	0	
9	Dongshi Township	2	0.2%
10	Yizhu Township	0	
11	Lucao Township	0	
12	Shuishang Township	1	0.1%
13	Zhongpu Township	4	0.3%
14	Zhuqi Township	2	0.2%
15	Meishan Township	2	0.2%
16	Fanlu Township	2	0.2%
17	Dapu Township	0	
18	Alishan Township	0	

File : TEDS2017-T_PA03E

q41: 26.Which township or city in Chiayi County?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
95	Refuse to answer	2	0.2%
98	Don't know	1	0.1%
99	Skipped	1188	97.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q42: 26.Which township or city in Pingtung County?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1218 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Pingtung City	16	1.3%
2	Chaozhou Township	8	0.7%
3	Donggang Township	1	0.1%
4	Hengchun Township	3	0.2%
5	Wandan Township	0	
6	Changzhi Township	1	0.1%
7	Linluo Township	0	
8	Jiuru Township	0	
9	Ligang Township	4	0.3%
10	Yanpu Township	3	0.2%
11	Gaoshu Township	1	0.1%
12	Wanluan Township	0	
13	Neipu Township	2	0.2%
14	Zhutian Township	0	
15	Xinpi Township	1	0.1%
16	Fangliao Township	1	0.1%
17	Xinyuan Township	0	
18	Kanding Township	0	
19	Linbian Township	0	
20	Nanzhou Township	0	
21	Jiadong Township	3	0.2%
22	Liuqiu Township	2	0.2%
23	Checheng Townshi	0	
24	Manzhou Townshi	1	0.1%
25	Fangshan Township	1	0.1%
26	Sandimen Township	1	0.1%
27	Wutai Township	0	
28	Majia Township	0	
29	Taiwu Townshi	0	
30	Laiyi Township	0	
31	Chunri Townshi	0	
32	Shizi Township	0	
33	Mudan Township	0	
95	Refuse to answer	3	0.2%
98	Don't know	2	0.2%

File : TEDS2017-T_PA03E

q42: 26.Which township or city in Pingtung County?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
99	Skipped	1164	95.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q43: 26.Which township or city in Taitung County?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1218 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taitung City	3	0.2%
2	Chenggong Township	0	
3	Guanshan Township	0	
4	Beinan Township	3	0.2%
5	Luye Township	0	
6	Chishang Township	1	0.1%
7	Donghe Township	0	
8	Changbin Township	0	
9	Taimali Township	0	
10	Dawu Township	0	
11	Lyudao Township	0	
12	Haiduan Township	0	
13	Yanping Townshi	0	
14	Jinfeng Township	0	
15	Daren Township	0	
16	Lanyu Township	1	0.1%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1210	99.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q44: 26.Which township or city in Hualien County?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1218 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Hualien City	5	0.4%
2	Fenglin Township	1	0.1%
3	Yuli Township	1	0.1%
4	Xincheng Townshi	1	0.1%
5	Jian Township	5	0.4%
6	Shoufeng Township	0	
7	Guangfu Township	0	
8	Fengbin Township	0	
9	Ruisui Township	0	
10	Fuli Township	1	0.1%
11	Xiulin Townshi	0	
12	Wanrong Township	0	

File : TEDS2017-T_PA03E

q44: 26.Which township or city in Hualien County?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
13	Zhuoxi Township	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1204	98.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q45: 26.Which township or city in Penghu County?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1218 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Magong City	4	0.3%
2	Huxi Township	0	
3	Baisha Township	3	0.2%
4	Xiyu Township	0	
5	Wang'an Township	0	
6	Qimei Township	0	
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1211	99.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q46: 26.Which district in Keelung City?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1218 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Zhongzheng District	1	0.1%
2	Qidu District	0	
3	Nuannuan District	1	0.1%
4	Ren'ai District	1	0.1%
5	Zhongshan District	1	0.1%
6	Anle District	4	0.3%
7	Xinyi District	2	0.2%
95	Refuse to answer	1	0.1%
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1207	99.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q47: 26.Which district in Hsinchu City?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1218 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	East District	9	0.7%
2	North District	5	0.4%
3	Xiangshan District	3	0.2%
95	Refuse to answer	1	0.1%
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1200	98.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q48: 26.Which district in Chiayi City?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1218 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	East District	14	1.1%
2	West District	8	0.7%
95	Refuse to answer	0	
98	Don't know	1	0.1%
99	Skipped	1195	98.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q49: 26.Which district in Taipei City?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1218 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Songshan District	8	0.7%
2	Xinyi District	7	0.6%
3	Daan District	14	1.1%
4	Zhongshan District	7	0.6%
5	Zhongzheng District	10	0.8%
6	Datong District	6	0.5%
7	Wanhua District	4	0.3%
8	Wenshan District	20	1.6%
9	Nangang District	6	0.5%
10	Neihu District	10	0.8%
11	Shilin District	14	1.1%
12	Beitou District	10	0.8%
95	Refuse to answer	6	0.5%
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1096	90.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q50: 26.Which district in Kaohsiung City?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1218 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

q50: 26.Which district in Kaohsiung City?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yancheng District	0	
2	Gushan District	6	0.5%
3	Zuoying District	4	0.3%
4	Nanzi District	10	0.8%
5	Sanmin District	25	2.1%
6	Xinxing District	2	0.2%
7	Qianjin District	0	
8	Lingya District	14	1.1%
9	Qianzhen District	12	1.0%
10	Qijin District	1	0.1%
11	Xiaogang District	4	0.3%
12	Fengshan District	16	1.3%
13	Linyuan District	5	0.4%
14	Daliao District	1	0.1%
15	Dashu District	0	
16	Dashe District	3	0.2%
17	Renwu District	2	0.2%
18	Niaosong District	3	0.2%
19	Gangshan District	6	0.5%
20	Qiaotou District	1	0.1%
21	Yanchao District	1	0.1%
22	Tianliao District	0	
23	Alian District	2	0.2%
24	Luzhu District	6	0.5%
25	Hunei District	1	0.1%
26	Qieding District	5	0.4%
27	Yongan District	1	0.1%
28	Mituo District	1	0.1%
29	Ziguan District	3	0.2%
30	Qishan District	0	
31	Meinong District	2	0.2%
32	Liugui District	0	
33	Jiaxian District	1	0.1%
34	Shanlin District	0	
35	Neimen District	0	
36	Maolin District	0	
37	Taoyuan District	0	
38	Namaxia District	0	
95	Refuse to answer	1	0.1%
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1079	88.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q51: 26.Which district in New Taipei City?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]

q51: 26.Which district in New Taipei City?

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1218 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Banqiao District	37	3.0%
2	Sanchong District	21	1.7%
3	Zhonghe District	17	1.4%
4	Yonghe District	8	0.7%
5	Xinzhuang District	16	1.3%
6	Xindian District	13	1.1%
7	Shulin District	7	0.6%
8	Yingge District	6	0.5%
9	Sanxia District	10	0.8%
10	Danshui District	6	0.5%
11	Xizhi District	10	0.8%
12	Ruifang District	1	0.1%
13	Tucheng District	10	0.8%
14	Luzhou District	6	0.5%
15	Wugu District	4	0.3%
16	Taishan District	3	0.2%
17	Linkou District	2	0.2%
18	Shenkeng District	3	0.2%
19	Shiding District	0	
20	Pinglin District	0	
21	Sanzhi District	1	0.1%
22	Shimen District	0	
23	Bali District	2	0.2%
24	Pingxi District	0	
25	Shuangxi District	1	0.1%
26	Gongliao District	0	
27	Jinshan District	0	
28	Wanli District	1	0.1%
29	Wulai District	0	
95	Refuse to answer	4	0.3%
98	Don't know	2	0.2%
99	Skipped	1027	84.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q52: 26.Which district in Taichung City?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1218 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Central District	0	
2	East District	1	0.1%
3	South District	8	0.7%
4	West District	2	0.2%
5	North District	7	0.6%
6	Xitun District	6	0.5%

q52: 26.Which district in Taichung City?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	Nantun District	6	0.5%
8	Beitun District	15	1.2%
9	Fengyuan District	8	0.7%
10	Dongshi District	2	0.2%
11	Dajia District	4	0.3%
12	Qingshui District	5	0.4%
13	Shalu District	5	0.4%
14	Wuqi District	4	0.3%
15	Houli District	3	0.2%
16	Shengang District	2	0.2%
17	Tanzi District	5	0.4%
18	Daya District	6	0.5%
19	Xinshe District	1	0.1%
20	Shigang District	1	0.1%
21	Waipu District,	0	
22	Daan District	0	
23	Wuri District	3	0.2%
24	Dadu District	3	0.2%
25	Longjing District	5	0.4%
26	Wufeng District	2	0.2%
27	Taiping District	7	0.6%
28	Dali District	11	0.9%
29	Heping District	0	
95	Refuse to answer	3	0.2%
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1093	89.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q53: 26.Which district in Tainan City?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1218 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Xinying District	3	0.2%
2	Yanshui District	0	
3	Baihe District	4	0.3%
4	Liuying District	4	0.3%
5	Houbi District	0	
6	Dongshan District	0	
7	Madou District	3	0.2%
8	Xiaying District	0	
9	Liujia District	2	0.2%
10	Guantian District	0	
11	Danei District	0	
12	Jiali District	5	0.4%
13	Xuejia District	1	0.1%

q53: 26.Which district in Tainan City?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
14	Sigang District	1	0.1%
15	Qigu District	1	0.1%
16	Jiangjun District	0	
17	Beimen District	0	
18	Xinhua District	3	0.2%
19	Shanhua District	2	0.2%
20	Xinshi District	5	0.4%
21	Anding District	0	
22	Shanshang District	0	
23	Yujing District	0	
24	Nanxi District	0	
25	Nanhua District	0	
26	Zuozhen District	1	0.1%
27	Rende District	7	0.6%
28	Guiren District	3	0.2%
29	Guanmiao District	0	
30	Longqi District	0	
31	Yongkang District	8	0.7%
32	East District	8	0.7%
33	South District	6	0.5%
34	North District	9	0.7%
35	Annan District	12	1.0%
36	Anping District	1	0.1%
37	West Central District	3	0.2%
95	Refuse to answer	3	0.2%
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1123	92.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q54: 26.Which district in Taoyuan City?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1218 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taoyuan District	18	1.5%
2	Zhongli District	22	1.8%
3	Daxi District	4	0.3%
4	Yangmei District	4	0.3%
5	Luzhu District	6	0.5%
6	Dayuan District	10	0.8%
7	Guishan District	5	0.4%
8	Bade District	7	0.6%
9	Longtan District	3	0.2%
10	PingzhenDistrict	13	1.1%
11	Xinwu District	0	
12	Guanyin District	1	0.1%

q54: 26.Which district in Taoyuan City?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
13	Fuxing District	0	
95	Refuse to answer	3	0.2%
98	Don't know	0	
99	Skipped	1122	92.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q55: 27.In 2016 Presidential Election, who did you vote for?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1218 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Eric Chu and Wang, Ju-Hsuan	160	13.1%
2	Tsai, Ing-Wen and Chen, Chien-Jen	454	37.3%
3	James Soong and Hsu, Hsin-Ying	68	5.6%
89	Didn't pick up the ballot	0	
91	Forget	68	5.6%
92	Not eligible to vote	19	1.6%
93	Didn't vote	339	27.8%
94	Cast an invalid vote	19	1.6%
95	Refuse to answer	91	7.5%
98	Don't know	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q56: 28.Do you have driver's license?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-95] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1218 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	962	79.0%
2	No	248	20.4%
95	Refuse to answer	8	0.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q57: 29.Do you have email address?

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-95] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1218 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	757	62.2%
2	No	455	37.4%
95	Refuse to answer	6	0.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q59: 30.Respondent's gender

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1218 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	589	48.4%
2	Female	629	51.6%

q59: 30.Respondent's gender

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

q60: 31.Language used to conduct interview

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1218 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Mandarin	1098	90.1%
2	Taiwanese	53	4.4%
3	Hakka	0	
4	Mandarin and Taiwanese	67	5.5%
5	Mandarin and Hakka	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

type: Sample type

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1218 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Home-phone	916	75.2%
2	Cell-phone	302	24.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

sex: Sex

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1218 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	589	48.4%
2	Female	629	51.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

age: Age

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1218 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	20-29 years	180	14.8%
2	30-39 years	266	21.8%
3	40-49 years	278	22.8%
4	50-59 years	237	19.5%
5	60 years and above	236	19.4%
9	Nonresponse	21	1.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

edu: Education

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1218 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Below-primary school	89	7.3%
2	Junior high school	88	7.2%
3	High school or vocational school	346	28.4%

edu: Education

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4	Technical college	175	14.4%
5	Post-university	509	41.8%
9	Nonresponse	11	0.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

arear: Area

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1218 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taipei metro area	234	19.2%
2	New Taipei city-Chilung	90	7.4%
3	Taoyuan-Hsinchu-Miaoli	171	14.0%
4	Taichung-Changhwa-Nanto	244	20.0%
5	Yunlin-Chiai-Tainan	196	16.1%
6	Kaohsiung-Pingtung-Pemghu	200	16.4%
7	Yi Lan-Hwalian-Taitung	46	3.8%
9	Nonresponse	37	3.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

career: Occupation5

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-5] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1218 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	High-class white collar	522	42.9%
2	Low-class white collar	277	22.7%
3	Farmer	50	4.1%
4	Worker	337	27.7%
5	Others	32	2.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

career8: Occupation8

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1218 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Civil servants	158	13.0%
2	Managers and Professionals (priv.)	316	25.9%
3	Clerks (priv.)	219	18.0%
4	Labor (priv.)	265	21.8%
5	Farmer	41	3.4%
6	Students	63	5.2%
7	Housekeeping	139	11.4%
8	Others	17	1.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

t_cidentity: Taiwanese/Chinese identity

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1218 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

t_cidentity: Taiwanese/Chinese identity

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taiwanese	639	52.5%
2	Both	506	41.5%
3	Chinese	34	2.8%
9	Nonresponse	39	3.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

partyid: Party identification

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1218 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	KMT	282	23.2%
2	DPP	304	25.0%
3	NP	5	0.4%
4	PFP	24	2.0%
5	TSU	3	0.2%
6	New Power Party	61	5.0%
7	Neutral and it depends	468	38.4%
9	Nonresponse and other parties	71	5.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

party: Party support

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-19] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1218 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly support KMT	42	3.4%
2	Somewhat support KMT	186	15.3%
3	Lean to the KMT	54	4.4%
4	Strongly support DPP	84	6.9%
5	Somewhat support DPP	181	14.9%
6	Lean to the DPP	39	3.2%
7	Strongly support NP	3	0.2%
8	Somewhat support NP	2	0.2%
9	Lean to the NP	0	
10	Strongly support PFP	6	0.5%
11	Somewhat support PFP	15	1.2%
12	Lean to the PFP	3	0.2%
13	Strongly support TSU	2	0.2%
14	Somewhat support TSU	1	0.1%
15	Lean to the TSU	0	
16	Strongly support New Power Party	26	2.1%
17	Somewhat support New Power Party	29	2.4%
18	Lean to the New Power Party	6	0.5%
19	Neutral and other parties	539	44.3%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

sengi: Father's ethnic background

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1218 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taiwanese Hakka	152	12.5%
2	Taiwanese Min-nan	859	70.5%
3	Mainlander	147	12.1%
4	Aboriginal	16	1.3%
5	Foreigner	1	0.1%
9	Nonresponse	43	3.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

masengi: Mother's ethnic background

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1218 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taiwanese Hakka	159	13.1%
2	Taiwanese Min-nan	911	74.8%
3	Mainlander	74	6.1%
4	Aboriginal	22	1.8%
5	Foreigner	2	0.2%
9	Nonresponse	50	4.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

tondu: Position on unification and independence

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1218 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Immediate unification	15	1.2%
2	Maintain the status quo, move toward unification	112	9.2%
3	Maintain the status quo, decide either unification or indepe	421	34.6%
4	Maintain the status quo forever	311	25.5%
5	Maintain the status quo, move toward independence	245	20.1%
6	Immediate independence	58	4.8%
9	Nonresponse	56	4.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

w: weight

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.431886899-3.334537178] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1218 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0.439 /-]