

台灣 (Taiwan, ROC)

**Hung-kun Tsai**

**The National Well-being Indicators in R.O.C.(Taiwan),2016**

**Study Documentation**

December 19, 2017

# Metadata Production

<b>Metadata Producer(s)</b>	Survey Research Data Archive (Survey Research Data Archive(SRDA)) , Center for Survey Research, Research Center for Humanities Social Sciences, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan , DDI 文件製作
<b>Production Date</b>	December 19, 2017
<b>Version</b>	2.0版, 參考IHSN Nesstar Template修改
<b>Identification</b>	AA310004en

# Table of Contents

<a href="#">Overview</a>	4
<a href="#">Scope &amp; Coverage</a>	4
<a href="#">Producers &amp; Sponsors</a>	4
<a href="#">Sampling</a>	4
<a href="#">Data Collection</a>	5
<a href="#">Data Processing &amp; Appraisal</a>	5
<a href="#">Accessibility</a>	5
<a href="#">Files Description</a>	6
<a href="#">data2016</a>	6
<a href="#">Variables Group(s)</a>	7
<a href="#">Basic Information</a>	7
<a href="#">Social Connections</a>	8
<a href="#">Life satisfaction</a>	9
<a href="#">Civic Engagement and Government trust</a>	9
<a href="#">Weighted related variables</a>	11
<a href="#">Variables Description</a>	12
<a href="#">data2016</a>	13

## The National Well-being Indicators in R.O.C.(Taiwan),2016

### *The National Well-being Indicators in R.O.C.(Taiwan),2016*

Overview	
<b>Type</b>	國民幸福指數調查( The National Well-being Indicators in R.O.C.(Taiwan) )
<b>Identification</b>	AA310004en
<b>Version</b>	Production Date: 2017-12-19
<b>Abstract</b> Individuals' well-being can be evaluated by either the subjective or objective dimension. Studies that concentrated on the subjective dimension indicated that an individual's cognitive perception or satisfaction with different life domains deserves extensive exploration because such a perception reveals one's subjective evaluation of life experience. This project aims to understand subjective well-being of Taiwan population by collecting survey data on individuals' attitudes toward happiness, social connections, civil engagement, and governance. The associations of happiness with these important factors will be examined. Besides the telephone survey method adopted in the last year's project, we use web survey to collect data due to the concern that some cell phone and mobile device users may not be contacted by landline phones. The targeted subjects of the telephone and web surveys are the population of Taiwan aged 15 years or older. The sample of the telephone survey was drawn by random sampling, while the participants of the web survey were recruited online. Similar to the survey of previous year, this year's questionnaire contains items on social connections, civil engagement, governance, etc. Questions on happiness are retrieved from the core questions and domain evaluation questions of the "Guidelines on Measuring Subjective Well-being" published by the OECD. These questions are used to measure cognitive perception and satisfaction with life in general and with different life aspects. The constructed measures can be compared with those of the other countries in order to make cross-country analysis.	
<b>Kind of Data</b>	抽樣調查資料 (Sample survey data)
<b>Unit of Analysis</b>	individuals

Scope & Coverage	
<b>Time Period(s)</b>	2016
<b>Countries</b>	台灣 (Taiwan, ROC)
<b>Geographic Coverage</b> Taiwan, Penghu County, Lianjiang County in Fujian Province and Kinmen County	
<b>Universe</b> People with aged 15 or older will be interviewed.	

Producers & Sponsors	
<b>Primary Investigator(s)</b>	Hung-kun Tsai
<b>Other Producer(s)</b>	Center for Survey Research (CSR) , Research Center for Humanities Social Sciences
<b>Funding Agency/ies</b>	Directorate-General of Budget, Accounting and Statistic (DGBAS)

Sampling
<b>Sampling Procedure</b> (CATI survey) Random Digit Dialing using Stratified Multi-Stage Probability Proportionate to Size (PPS), within household sampling.

<b>Data Collection</b>	
<b>Data Collection Dates</b>	start 2016-04-14 end 2016-05-19
<b>Data Collection Mode</b>	Computer Assisted Telephone Interview (CATI)

<b>Data Processing &amp; Appraisal</b>
<p><b>Data Editing</b> CSR has checked wild codes and out-of-range values, to validate and clean data. There is no doubt about data accuracy after the validation.</p>

<b>Accessibility</b>	
<b>Contact(s)</b>	Survey Research Data Archive (Center for Survey Research, Research Center for Humanities Social Sciences, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan) , <a href="https://srda.sinica.edu.tw">https://srda.sinica.edu.tw</a> , <a href="mailto:srda@gate.sinica.edu.tw">srda@gate.sinica.edu.tw</a>
<b>Distributor(s)</b>	Survey Research Data Archive
<b>Depositor(s)</b>	Directorate-General of Budget, Accounting and Statistic
<p><b>Access Conditions</b> 會員版(一般會員、院內會員)--申請審核通過後下載</p>	

# Files Description

Dataset contains 1 file(s)

<b>data2016</b>	
<b># Cases</b>	2967
<b># Variable(s)</b>	75

# Variables Group(s)

Dataset contains 5 group(s)

<b>Group Basic Information</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	v1	1 When were you born?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2967	0	-
2	v1a	1a How old are you this year?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2967	0	-
3	v40	40 What is your educational attainment?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2967	0	-
4	v41	41 What is your marital status, never married, married, divorced, separated, widowed or cohabited?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2967	0	-
5	vz0	z0 What city or county is the number from?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2967	0	-
6	vz1	z1 What district is it in Taipei City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2967	0	-
7	vz2	z2 What district is it in New Taipei City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2967	0	-
8	vz3	z3 What district is it in Keelung City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2967	0	-
9	vz4	z4 What district is it in Taoyuan County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2967	0	-
10	vz5	z5 What district is it in Hsinchu County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2967	0	-
11	vz6	z6 What district is it in Hsinchu City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2967	0	-
12	vz7	z7 What district is it in Miaoli County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2967	0	-
13	vz8	z8 What district is it in Taichung City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2967	0	-
14	vz9	z9 What district is it in Changhua County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2967	0	-
15	vz10	z10 What district is it in Nantou County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2967	0	-
16	vz11	z11 What district is it in Yunlin County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2967	0	-
17	vz12	z12 What district is it in Chiayi County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2967	0	-
18	vz13	z13 What district is it in Chiayi City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2967	0	-
19	vz14	z14 What district is it in Tainan City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2967	0	-
20	vz15	z15 What district is it in Kaohsiung City?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2967	0	-
21	vz16	z16 What district is it in Pingtung County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2967	0	-
22	vz17	z17 What district is it in Yilan County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2967	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
23	vz18	z18 What district is it in Hualien County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2967	0	-
24	vz19	z19 What district is it in Taitung County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2967	0	-
25	vz20	z20 What district is it in Penghu County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2967	0	-
26	vz21	z21 What district is it in Kinmen County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2967	0	-
27	vz22	z22 What district is it in Lienchiang County?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2967	0	-
28	vh1	H1 Is your current residential address your registered residential address?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2967	0	-
29	vi1	I1 What is your average monthly income, considering all sources of income?	discrete	numeric-2.0	2967	0	-
30	ve1	E1 Would you like to help us with our future web-based survey? We're sure to keep your personal information private and safe.	discrete	numeric-2.0	2967	0	-
31	REC_NO	Sample Code	continuous	numeric-8.0	2967	0	-

### Group Social Connections

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	v2	2 If you were in trouble, do you have relatives or friends you can count on to help you whenever you need them, or not?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2967	0	-
2	v3	3 The frequency with which the respondent usually gets together with friends during a usual year(2015). Only friends who don't live in the same household as the respondent should be considered.	discrete	numeric-1.0	2967	0	-
3	v4	4 The frequency with which the respondent usually gets together with family during a usual year(2015). Only family who don't live in the same household as the respondent should be considered.	discrete	numeric-1.0	2967	0	-
4	v5	5 How many familiar people(family included) do you contact during a usual day?(nodding, greeting, talking, calling, mailing, contacting via internet)	discrete	numeric-1.0	2967	0	-
5	v6	6 How many people do you think can be trusted with in the society?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2967	0	-



<b>Group Life satisfaction</b>							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	v7	7 How you felt yesterday on a scale from 0 to 10. How about happy? [0-10]	discrete	numeric-2.0	2967	0	-
2	v8	8 How you felt yesterday on a scale from 0 to 10. How about worried? [0-10]	discrete	numeric-2.0	2967	0	-
3	v9	9 How you felt yesterday on a scale from 0 to 10. How about depressed? [0-10]	discrete	numeric-2.0	2967	0	-
4	v10	10 How satisfied you feel, on a scale from 0 to 10. Overall, how satisfied are you with life as a whole these days? [0-10]	discrete	numeric-2.0	2967	0	-
5	v11	11 how worth while you feel the things you do in your life?[0-10]	discrete	numeric-2.0	2967	0	-
6	v12	12 How satisfied are you with your standard of living? [0-10]	discrete	numeric-2.0	2967	0	-
7	v13	13 How satisfied are you with your health? [0-10]	discrete	numeric-2.0	2967	0	-
8	v14	14 How satisfied are you with what you are achieving in life? [0-10]	discrete	numeric-2.0	2967	0	-
9	v15	15 How satisfied are you with your personal relationships? [0-10]	discrete	numeric-2.0	2967	0	-
10	v16	16 How satisfied are you with how safe you feel? [0-10]	discrete	numeric-2.0	2967	0	-
11	v17	17 How satisfied are you with feeling part of your community? [0-10]	discrete	numeric-2.0	2967	0	-
12	v18	18 How satisfied are you with your future security? [0-10]	discrete	numeric-2.0	2967	0	-
13	v19	19 How satisfied you are with the amount of time you have to do the things that you like doing?[0-10]	discrete	numeric-2.0	2967	0	-
14	v20	20 How satisfied you are with the quality of your local environment?[0-10]	discrete	numeric-2.0	2967	0	-
15	v21	21 Do you currently have a job?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2967	0	-
16	v22	22 How satisfied you are with your job, on a scale from 0 to 10. (For respondents who are employed only)[0-10]	discrete	numeric-2.0	2967	0	-

### **Group Civic Engagement and Government trust**

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	v23	23 During a usual year(2015), have you contacted a politician, government or local government official	discrete	numeric-1.0	2967	0	-
2	v24	24 During a usual year(2015), have you worked in a political party or action group?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2967	0	-
3	v25	25 During a usual year(2015), have you worked in another organization or association?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2967	0	-
4	v26	26 During a usual year(2015), have you worn or displayed a campaign badge/ sticker?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2967	0	-
5	v27	27 During a usual year(2015), have you signed a petition?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2967	0	-
6	v28	28 During a usual year(2015), have you taken part in a lawful public demonstration?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2967	0	-
7	v29	29 During a usual year(2015), have you boycotted certain products?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2967	0	-
8	v30	30 During a usual year(2015), have you called in political panel shows?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2967	0	-
9	v31	31 Do you have confidence in Legislative Yuan?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2967	0	-
10	v32	32 Do you have confidence in Judicial system or courts?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2967	0	-
11	v33	33 Do you have confidence in other central government?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2967	0	-
12	v34	34 Do you have confidence in local government?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2967	0	-
13	v35	35 Do you have confidence in the quality and integrity of the media, or not?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2967	0	-
14	v36	36 Do you have confidence in the social security system of our country?(such as labor insurance, national pension, labor pension funds, etc.)	discrete	numeric-1.0	2967	0	-
15	v37	37 Are you satisfied with our democratic life?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2967	0	-
16	v38	38 Are you satisfied with our freedom of speech?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2967	0	-
17	v39	39 Some people say that the government officials care a lot about the opinions of the masses. Do you agree or disagree with this statement?	discrete	numeric-1.0	2967	0	-

<b>Group Weighted related variables</b>							
<b>#</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Type</b>	<b>Format</b>	<b>Valid</b>	<b>Invalid</b>	<b>Question</b>
1	sexgp	Population by genders	discrete	numeric-8.0	2967	0	-
2	agegp	Population by ages	discrete	numeric-2.0	2967	0	-
3	edugp	Population by educational attainment	discrete	numeric-2.0	2967	0	-
4	stratumgp	Population by city	discrete	numeric-2.0	2967	0	-
5	area	Population by area	discrete	numeric-8.0	2967	0	-
6	weight	Weight value	continuous	numeric-11.8	2967	0	-

# Variables Description

Dataset contains 75 variable(s)

# File : data2016

## # v1: 1 When were you born?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 14-99] [Missing=\*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2967 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
14		2	0.1%
15		3	0.1%
16		3	0.1%
17		3	0.1%
18		6	0.2%
19		1	0.0%
20		6	0.2%
21		4	0.1%
22		10	0.3%
23		3	0.1%
24		5	0.2%
25		6	0.2%
26		9	0.3%
27		15	0.5%
28		17	0.6%
29		19	0.6%
30		18	0.6%
31		14	0.5%
32		20	0.7%
33		24	0.8%
34		19	0.6%
35		26	0.9%
36		34	1.1%
37		36	1.2%
38		42	1.4%
39		42	1.4%
40		64	2.2%
41		53	1.8%
42		45	1.5%
43		47	1.6%
44		59	2.0%
45		64	2.2%
46		53	1.8%
47		59	2.0%
48		60	2.0%
49		57	1.9%
50		89	3.0%
51		62	2.1%
52		78	2.6%
53		78	2.6%
54		57	1.9%

## File : data2016

### # v1: 1 When were you born?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
55		79	2.7%
56		76	2.6%
57		75	2.5%
58		69	2.3%
59		54	1.8%
60		74	2.5%
61		64	2.2%
62		61	2.1%
63		63	2.1%
64		54	1.8%
65		68	2.3%
66		60	2.0%
67		66	2.2%
68		58	2.0%
69		72	2.4%
70		64	2.2%
71		38	1.3%
72		46	1.6%
73		34	1.1%
74		36	1.2%
75		17	0.6%
76		18	0.6%
77		28	0.9%
78		24	0.8%
79		25	0.8%
80		33	1.1%
81		31	1.0%
82		23	0.8%
83		18	0.6%
84		16	0.5%
85		22	0.7%
86		36	1.2%
87		38	1.3%
88		38	1.3%
89		29	1.0%
96	Before 1911	0	
97	DK(continue to answer v1a)	13	0.4%
98	Refused(continue to answer v1a)	35	1.2%
99	Missing or Skip	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # v1a: 1a How old are you this year?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2967 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

## File : data2016

### # v1a: 1a How old are you this year?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	15-24 years old	1	0.0%
2	25-34 years old	6	0.2%
3	35-44 years old	7	0.2%
4	45-54 years old	9	0.3%
5	55-64 years old	14	0.5%
6	65 years old and over	10	0.3%
7	DK	0	
8	Refused	1	0.0%
9	Missing or Skip	2919	98.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # v2: 2 If you were in trouble, do you have relatives or friends you can count on to help you whenever you need them, or not?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2967 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2730	92.0%
2	No	220	7.4%
7	DK	10	0.3%
8	Refused	7	0.2%
9	Missing or Skip	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # v3: 3 The frequency with which the respondent usually gets together with friends during a usual year(2015). Only friends who don't live in the same household as the respondent should be considered.

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2967 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Several times a week	411	13.9%
2	Every week(not every day)	354	11.9%
3	Several times a month (not every week)	422	14.2%
4	Once a month	494	16.6%
5	At least once a year (less then once a month)	1003	33.8%
6	Never	273	9.2%
7	DK	8	0.3%
8	Refused	2	0.1%
9	Missing or Skip	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # v4: 4 The frequency with which the respondent usually gets together with family during a usual year(2015). Only family who don't live in the same household as the respondent should be considered.

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2967 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

## File : data2016

# v4: 4 The frequency with which the respondent usually gets together with family during a usual year(2015). Only family who don't live in the same household as the respondent should be considered.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Several times a week	314	10.6%
2	Every week(not every day)	465	15.7%
3	Several times a month (not every week)	414	14.0%
4	Once a month	514	17.3%
5	At least once a year (less then once a month)	1130	38.1%
6	Never	122	4.1%
7	DK	7	0.2%
8	Refused	1	0.0%
9	Missing or Skip	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# v5: 5 How many familiar people(family included) do you contact during a usual day?(nodding, greeting, talking, calling, mailing, contacting via internet)

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2967 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	0-4	468	15.8%
2	5-9	616	20.8%
3	10-19	781	26.3%
4	20-49	702	23.7%
5	50-99	216	7.3%
6	Over 100	166	5.6%
7	DK	14	0.5%
8	Refused	4	0.1%
9	Missing or Skip	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# v6: 6 How many people do you think can be trusted with in the society?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2967 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Almost all people can be trusted	56	1.9%
2	The majority can be trusted	1629	54.9%
3	Half of people can be trusted	101	3.4%
4	The majority can not be trusted	997	33.6%
5	Almost all people can not be trusted	124	4.2%
7	DK	54	1.8%
8	Refused	6	0.2%
9	Missing or Skip	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# v7: 7 How you felt yesterday on a scale from 0 to 10. How about happy? [0-10]

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2967 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]



## File : data2016

### # v7: 7 How you felt yesterday on a scale from 0 to 10. How about happy? [0-10]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	64	2.2%
1		21	0.7%
2		43	1.4%
3		82	2.8%
4		76	2.6%
5		442	14.9%
6		338	11.4%
7		518	17.5%
8		791	26.7%
9		194	6.5%
10	All of the time	381	12.8%
97	DK	16	0.5%
98	Refused	1	0.0%
99	Missing or Skip	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # v8: 8 How you felt yesterday on a scale from 0 to 10. How about worried? [0-10]

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2967 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	618	20.8%
1		189	6.4%
2		477	16.1%
3		414	14.0%
4		154	5.2%
5		516	17.4%
6		204	6.9%
7		128	4.3%
8		123	4.1%
9		30	1.0%
10	All of the time	82	2.8%
97	DK	29	1.0%
98	Refused	3	0.1%
99	Missing or Skip	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # v9: 9 How you felt yesterday on a scale from 0 to 10. How about depressed? [0-10]

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2967 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all	1194	40.2%
1		212	7.1%
2		379	12.8%
3		325	11.0%

## File : data2016

### # v9: 9 How you felt yesterday on a scale from 0 to 10. How about depressed? [0-10]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
4		130	4.4%
5		362	12.2%
6		105	3.5%
7		82	2.8%
8		74	2.5%
9		14	0.5%
10	All of the time	66	2.2%
97	DK	21	0.7%
98	Refused	3	0.1%
99	Missing or Skip	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # v10: 10 How satisfied you feel, on a scale from 0 to 10. Overall, how satisfied are you with life as a whole these days? [0-10]

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2967 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all satisfied	59	2.0%
1		17	0.6%
2		37	1.2%
3		106	3.6%
4		98	3.3%
5		568	19.1%
6		380	12.8%
7		549	18.5%
8		683	23.0%
9		185	6.2%
10	Completely satisfied	265	8.9%
97	DK	18	0.6%
98	Refused	2	0.1%
99	Missing or Skip	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # v11: 11 how worth while you feel the things you do in your life?[0-10]

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2967 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all worthwhile	29	1.0%
1		8	0.3%
2		23	0.8%
3		76	2.6%
4		53	1.8%
5		523	17.6%
6		396	13.3%

## File : data2016

### # v11: 11 how worth while you feel the things you do in your life?[0-10]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7		658	22.2%
8		715	24.1%
9		202	6.8%
10	Completely worthwhile	262	8.8%
97	DK	18	0.6%
98	Refused	4	0.1%
99	Missing or Skip	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # v12: 12 How satisfied are you with your standard of living? [0-10]

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2967 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all satisfied	28	0.9%
1		14	0.5%
2		22	0.7%
3		90	3.0%
4		90	3.0%
5		447	15.1%
6		425	14.3%
7		667	22.5%
8		730	24.6%
9		200	6.7%
10	Completely satisfied	238	8.0%
97	DK	13	0.4%
98	Refused	3	0.1%
99	Missing or Skip	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # v13: 13 How satisfied are you with your health? [0-10]

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2967 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all satisfied	43	1.4%
1		13	0.4%
2		29	1.0%
3		106	3.6%
4		111	3.7%
5		471	15.9%
6		420	14.2%
7		644	21.7%
8		709	23.9%
9		208	7.0%
10	Completely satisfied	201	6.8%

## File : data2016

### # v13: 13 How satisfied are you with your health? [0-10]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	DK	9	0.3%
98	Refused	3	0.1%
99	Missing or Skip	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # v14: 14 How satisfied are you with what you are achieving in life? [0-10]

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2967 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all satisfied	56	1.9%
1		18	0.6%
2		39	1.3%
3		161	5.4%
4		106	3.6%
5		612	20.6%
6		492	16.6%
7		646	21.8%
8		535	18.0%
9		132	4.4%
10	Completely satisfied	153	5.2%
97	DK	13	0.4%
98	Refused	4	0.1%
99	Missing or Skip	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # v15: 15 How satisfied are you with your personal relationships? [0-10]

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2967 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all satisfied	33	1.1%
1		14	0.5%
2		36	1.2%
3		93	3.1%
4		86	2.9%
5		450	15.2%
6		365	12.3%
7		633	21.3%
8		785	26.5%
9		257	8.7%
10	Completely satisfied	202	6.8%
97	DK	12	0.4%
98	Refused	1	0.0%
99	Missing or Skip	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

## File : data2016

### # v16: 16 How satisfied are you with how safe you feel? [0-10]

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=\*]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=2967 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all satisfied	37	1.2%
1		6	0.2%
2		43	1.4%
3		67	2.3%
4		67	2.3%
5		390	13.1%
6		343	11.6%
7		581	19.6%
8		812	27.4%
9		304	10.2%
10	Completely satisfied	303	10.2%
97	DK	13	0.4%
98	Refused	1	0.0%
99	Missing or Skip	0	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # v17: 17 How satisfied are you with feeling part of your community? [0-10]

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=\*]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=2967 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all satisfied	55	1.9%
1		22	0.7%
2		80	2.7%
3		149	5.0%
4		112	3.8%
5		587	19.8%
6		502	16.9%
7		534	18.0%
8		559	18.8%
9		149	5.0%
10	Completely satisfied	197	6.6%
97	DK	20	0.7%
98	Refused	1	0.0%
99	Missing or Skip	0	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # v18: 18 How satisfied are you with your future security? [0-10]

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=\*]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=2967 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all satisfied	83	2.8%

## File : data2016

### # v18: 18 How satisfied are you with your future security? [0-10]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1		17	0.6%
2		71	2.4%
3		177	6.0%
4		129	4.3%
5		516	17.4%
6		481	16.2%
7		555	18.7%
8		572	19.3%
9		149	5.0%
10	Completely satisfied	196	6.6%
97	DK	18	0.6%
98	Refused	3	0.1%
99	Missing or Skip	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # v19: 19 How satisfied you are with the amount of time you have to do the things that you like doing?[0-10]

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2967 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all satisfied	35	1.2%
1		24	0.8%
2		63	2.1%
3		211	7.1%
4		108	3.6%
5		448	15.1%
6		382	12.9%
7		480	16.2%
8		603	20.3%
9		256	8.6%
10	Completely satisfied	341	11.5%
97	DK	15	0.5%
98	Refused	1	0.0%
99	Missing or Skip	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # v20: 20 How satisfied you are with the quality of your local environment?[0-10]

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2967 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Not at all satisfied	40	1.3%
1		18	0.6%
2		39	1.3%
3		114	3.8%
4		109	3.7%

## File : data2016

### # v20: 20 How satisfied you are with the quality of your local environment?[0-10]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5		415	14.0%
6		407	13.7%
7		642	21.6%
8		725	24.4%
9		217	7.3%
10	Completely satisfied	232	7.8%
97	DK	9	0.3%
98	Refused	0	
99	Missing or Skip	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # v21: 21 Do you currently have a job?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2967 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1935	65.2%
2	NO, but I am looking for a job or preparing to start my own	137	4.6%
3	NO, but I am neither looking for a job nor preparing to star	895	30.2%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # v22: 22 How satisfied you are with your job, on a scale from 0 to 10. (For respondents who are employed only)[0-10]

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-99] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2967 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		21	0.7%
1		5	0.2%
2		18	0.6%
3		70	2.4%
4		52	1.8%
5		246	8.3%
6		253	8.5%
7		460	15.5%
8		475	16.0%
9		166	5.6%
10		169	5.7%
97	DK	0	
98	Refused	0	
99	Missing or Skip	1032	34.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # v23: 23 During a usual year(2015), have you contacted a politician, government or local government official

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2967 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

## File : data2016

### # v23: 23 During a usual year(2015), have you contacted a politician, government or local government official

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	282	9.5%
2	No	2683	90.4%
7	DK	2	0.1%
8	Refused	0	
9	Missing or Skip	0	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # v24: 24 During a usual year(2015), have you worked in a political party or action group?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2967 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	118	4.0%
2	No	2847	96.0%
7	DK	2	0.1%
8	Refused	0	
9	Missing or Skip	0	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # v25: 25 During a usual year(2015), have you worked in another organization or association?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2967 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	308	10.4%
2	No	2659	89.6%
7	DK	0	
8	Refused	0	
9	Missing or Skip	0	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # v26: 26 During a usual year(2015), have you worn or displayed a campaign badge/sticker?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2967 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	90	3.0%
2	No	2875	96.9%
7	DK	2	0.1%
8	Refused	0	
9	Missing or Skip	0	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # v27: 27 During a usual year(2015), have you signed a petition?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2967 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]



## File : data2016

### # v27: 27 During a usual year(2015), have you signed a petition?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	143	4.8%
2	No	2820	95.0%
7	DK	4	0.1%
8	Refused	0	
9	Missing or Skip	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # v28: 28 During a usual year(2015), have you taken part in a lawful public demonstration?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2967 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	95	3.2%
2	No	2871	96.8%
7	DK	1	0.0%
8	Refused	0	
9	Missing or Skip	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # v29: 29 During a usual year(2015), have you boycotted certain products?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2967 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	855	28.8%
2	No	2108	71.0%
7	DK	4	0.1%
8	Refused	0	
9	Missing or Skip	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # v30: 30 During a usual year(2015), have you called in political panel shows?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2967 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	18	0.6%
2	No	2949	99.4%
7	DK	0	
8	Refused	0	
9	Missing or Skip	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # v31: 31 Do you have confidence in Legislative Yuan?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2967 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

## File : data2016

### # v31: 31 Do you have confidence in Legislative Yuan?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very confident	40	1.3%
2	Quite confident	770	26.0%
3	Hardly confident	1346	45.4%
4	Not at all	698	23.5%
7	DK	107	3.6%
8	Refused	6	0.2%
9	Missing or Skip	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # v32: 32 Do you have confidence in Judicial system or courts?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2967 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very confident	41	1.4%
2	Quite confident	673	22.7%
3	Hardly confident	1248	42.1%
4	Not at all	905	30.5%
7	DK	92	3.1%
8	Refused	8	0.3%
9	Missing or Skip	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # v33: 33 Do you have confidence in other central government?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2967 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very confident	68	2.3%
2	Quite confident	1092	36.8%
3	Hardly confident	1219	41.1%
4	Not at all	471	15.9%
7	DK	109	3.7%
8	Refused	8	0.3%
9	Missing or Skip	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # v34: 34 Do you have confidence in local government?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2967 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very confident	260	8.8%
2	Quite confident	1517	51.1%
3	Hardly confident	844	28.4%
4	Not at all	264	8.9%
7	DK	73	2.5%

## File : data2016

### # v34: 34 Do you have confidence in local government?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	Refused	9	0.3%
9	Missing or Skip	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # v35: 35 Do you have confidence in the quality and integrity of the media, or not?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2967 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very confident	40	1.3%
2	Quite confident	553	18.6%
3	Hardly confident	1394	47.0%
4	Not at all	891	30.0%
7	DK	81	2.7%
8	Refused	8	0.3%
9	Missing or Skip	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # v36: 36 Do you have confidence in the social security system of our country?(such as labor insurance, national pension, labor pension funds, etc.)

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2967 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very confident	196	6.6%
2	Quite confident	1200	40.4%
3	Hardly confident	1070	36.1%
4	Not at all	451	15.2%
7	DK	44	1.5%
8	Refused	6	0.2%
9	Missing or Skip	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # v37: 37 Are you satisfied with our democratic life?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2967 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	556	18.7%
2	Quite satisfied	1861	62.7%
3	Hardly satisfied	389	13.1%
4	Not at all	127	4.3%
7	DK	30	1.0%
8	Refused	4	0.1%
9	Missing or Skip	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

## File : data2016

### # v38: 38 Are you satisfied with our freedom of speech?

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=\*]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=2967 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	808	27.2%
2	Quite satisfied	1446	48.7%
3	Hardly satisfied	465	15.7%
4	Not at all	201	6.8%
7	DK	42	1.4%
8	Refused	5	0.2%
9	Missing or Skip	0	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # v39: 39 Some people say that the government officials care a lot about the opinions of the masses. Do you agree or disagree with this statement?

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=\*]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=2967 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	168	5.7%
2	Somewhat agree	804	27.1%
3	Somewhat disagree	1213	40.9%
4	Strongly disagree	723	24.4%
7	DK	56	1.9%
8	Refused	3	0.1%
9	Missing or Skip	0	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # v40: 40 What is your educational attainment?

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=\*]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=2967 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Illiterate	48	1.6%
2	Self-educated	11	0.4%
3	Elementary school	250	8.4%
4	Junior high school	287	9.7%
5	Senior high school or vocational school	937	31.6%
6	junior college	411	13.9%
7	University	766	25.8%
8	Master's degree	228	7.7%
9	PhD degree	28	0.9%
10	Other	0	
97	DK	0	
98	Refused	1	0.0%
99	Missing or Skip	0	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

## File : data2016

### # v41: 41 What is your marital status, never married, married, divorced, separated, widowed or cohabited?

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=\*]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=2967 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Never Married	659	22.2%
2	Married	1901	64.1%
3	Divorced	157	5.3%
4	Separated	28	0.9%
5	Widowed	197	6.6%
6	Cohabited	24	0.8%
7	Other	0	
98	Refused	1	0.0%
99	Missing or Skip	0	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # vz0: z0 What city or county is the number from?

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=\*]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=2967 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taipei City (jump to z1)	220	7.4%
2	New Taipei City (jump to z2)	602	20.3%
3	Keelung City (jump to z3)	43	1.4%
4	Taoyuan County(jump to z4)	301	10.1%
5	Hsinchu County(jump to z5)	66	2.2%
6	Hsinchu City(jump to z6)	43	1.4%
7	Miaoli County(jump to z7)	61	2.1%
8	Taichung City(jump to z8)	315	10.6%
9	Changhua County(jump to z9)	178	6.0%
10	Nantou County(jump to z10)	77	2.6%
11	Yunlin County(Jump to z11)	104	3.5%
12	Chiayi County(jump to z12)	77	2.6%
13	Chiayi City(jump to z13)	41	1.4%
14	Tainan County(jump to z14)	256	8.6%
15	Kaohsiung City(jump to z15)	313	10.5%
16	Pingtung County(jump to z16)	114	3.8%
17	Yilan County(jump to z17)	70	2.4%
18	Hualien County(jump to z18)	32	1.1%
19	Taitung County(jump to z19)	26	0.9%
20	Penghu County(jump to z20)	8	0.3%
21	Kinmen County(jump to z21)	14	0.5%
22	Lienchiang County(jump to z22)	0	
97	DK	1	0.0%
98	Refused	5	0.2%
99	Missing or Skip	0	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

## File : data2016

### # vz1: z1 What district is it in Taipei City?

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=\*]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=2967 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Jhongjheng District	9	0.3%
2	Datong District	10	0.3%
3	Jhongshan District	31	1.0%
4	Songshan District	16	0.5%
5	Daan District	34	1.1%
6	Wanhua District	14	0.5%
7	Sinyi District	20	0.7%
8	Shihlin District	20	0.7%
9	Beitou District	13	0.4%
10	Neihu District	24	0.8%
11	Nangang District	10	0.3%
12	Wunshan District	18	0.6%
13	Others	0	
97	DK	0	
98	Refused	1	0.0%
99	Missing or Skip	2747	92.6%

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # vz2: z2 What district is it in New Taipei City?

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=\*]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=2967 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Wanli Township	1	0.0%
2	Jinshan Township	2	0.1%
3	Banciao City	81	2.7%
4	Sijhih City	30	1.0%
5	Shenkeng Township	3	0.1%
6	Shihding Township	1	0.0%
7	Rueifang Township	2	0.1%
8	Pingsi Township	0	
9	Shuangsi Township	1	0.0%
10	Gongliao Township	0	
11	Sindian City	55	1.9%
12	Pinglin Township	1	0.0%
13	Wulai Township	0	
14	Yonghe City	33	1.1%
15	Jhonghe City	70	2.4%
16	Tucheng City	40	1.3%
17	Sansia Township	17	0.6%
18	Shulin City	27	0.9%
19	Yingge Township	11	0.4%

## File : data2016

### # vz2: z2 What district is it in New Taipei City?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
20	Sanchong City	54	1.8%
21	Sinjhuang City	54	1.8%
22	Taishan Township	17	0.6%
23	Linkou Township	20	0.7%
24	Lujhou City	33	1.1%
25	Wugu Township	7	0.2%
26	Bali Township	6	0.2%
27	Danshuei Township	28	0.9%
28	Sanjhih Township	4	0.1%
29	Shihmen Township	1	0.0%
30	Others	0	
97	DK	1	0.0%
98	Refused	2	0.1%
99	Missing or Skip	2365	79.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # vz3: z3 What district is it in Keelung City?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Renai District	3	0.1%
2	Sinyi District	7	0.2%
3	Jhongjheng District	6	0.2%
4	Jhongshan District	7	0.2%
5	Anle District	8	0.3%
6	Nuannuan District	9	0.3%
7	Cidu District	3	0.1%
8	Others	0	
97	DK	0	
98	Refused	0	
99	Missing or Skip	2924	98.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # vz4: z4 What district is it in Taoyuan County?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Jhongli City	65	2.2%
2	Pingjhen City	28	0.9%
3	Longtan Township	17	0.6%
4	Yangmei City	28	0.9%
5	Sinwu Township	3	0.1%
6	Guanyin Township	6	0.2%
7	Taoyuan City	64	2.2%

## File : data2016

### # vz4: z4 What district is it in Taoyuan County?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	Gueishan Township	23	0.8%
9	Bade City	25	0.8%
10	Dasi Township	14	0.5%
11	Fusing Township	0	
12	Dayuan Township	6	0.2%
13	Lujhu Township	21	0.7%
14	Others	0	
97	DK	0	
98	Refused	1	0.0%
99	Missing or Skip	2666	89.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # vz5: z5 What district is it in Hsinchu County?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2967 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Jhubei City	26	0.9%
2	Hukou Township	12	0.4%
3	Sinfong Township	4	0.1%
4	Sinpu Township	5	0.2%
5	Guansi Township	4	0.1%
6	Cyonglin Township	2	0.1%
7	Baoshan Township	1	0.0%
8	Jhudong Township	9	0.3%
9	Wufong Township	0	
10	Hengshan Township	2	0.1%
11	Jianshih Township	0	
12	Beipu Township	0	
13	Emei Township	1	0.0%
14	Others	0	
97	DK	0	
98	Refused	0	
99	Missing or Skip	2901	97.8%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # vz6: z6 What district is it in Hsinchu City?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2967 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	East District	23	0.8%
2	North District	14	0.5%
3	Xiangshan District	6	0.2%
4	Others	0	
97	DK	0	



## File : data2016

### # vz6: z6 What district is it in Hsinchu City?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Refused	0	
99	Missing or Skip	2924	98.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # vz7: z7 What district is it in Miaoli County?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2967 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Jhunan Township	13	0.4%
2	Toufen Township	15	0.5%
3	Sanwan Township	0	
4	Nanjhuang Township	2	0.1%
5	Shihtan Township	1	0.0%
6	Houlong Township	2	0.1%
7	Tongsiao Township	3	0.1%
8	Yuanli Township	5	0.2%
9	Miaoli City	13	0.4%
10	Zaociao Township	1	0.0%
11	Touwu Township	0	
12	Gongguan Township	0	
13	Dahu Township	1	0.0%
14	Taian Township	1	0.0%
15	Tongluo Township	2	0.1%
16	Sanyi Township	0	
17	Sihu Township	0	
18	Jhuolan Township	0	
19	Others	0	
97	DK	0	
98	Refused	2	0.1%
99	Missing or Skip	2906	97.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

<b># vz8: z8 What district is it in Taichung City?</b>			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=2967 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Taiping District	28	0.9%
2	Dali District	22	0.7%
3	Wufong District	4	0.1%
4	Wurih District	12	0.4%
5	Fongyuan District	20	0.7%
6	Houli District	4	0.1%
7	Shihgang District	2	0.1%
8	Dongshih District	3	0.1%
9	Heping District	0	
10	Sinshe District	2	0.1%
11	Tanzih District	9	0.3%
12	Daya District	12	0.4%
13	Shengang District	5	0.2%
14	Dadu District	6	0.2%
15	Shalu District	12	0.4%
16	Longjing District	7	0.2%
17	Wuci District	6	0.2%
18	Cingshuei District	1	0.0%
19	Dajia District	10	0.3%
20	Waipu District	3	0.1%
21	Daan District	1	0.0%
22	Central District	2	0.1%
23	East District	6	0.2%
24	West District	18	0.6%
25	North District	16	0.5%
26	South District	25	0.8%
27	Beitun District	29	1.0%
28	Situn District	22	0.7%
29	Nantun District	26	0.9%
30	Others	0	
97	DK	1	0.0%
98	Refused	1	0.0%
99	Missing or Skip	2652	89.4%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># vz9: z9 What district is it in Changhua County?</b>			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=2967 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
<b>Value</b>	<b>Label</b>	<b>Cases</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
1	Changhua City	37	1.2%
2	Fenyuan Township	3	0.1%
3	Huatan Township	10	0.3%
4	Sioushuei Township	3	0.1%

# vz9: z9 What district is it in Changhua County?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
5	Lugang Township	9	0.3%
6	Fusing Township	1	0.0%
7	Siansi Township	7	0.2%
8	Hemei Township	12	0.4%
9	Shengang Township	6	0.2%
10	Yuanlin Township	15	0.5%
11	Shetou Township	1	0.0%
12	Yongjing Township	4	0.1%
13	Pusin Township	1	0.0%
14	Sihu Township	9	0.3%
15	Dacun Township	7	0.2%
16	Puyan Township	4	0.1%
17	Tianjhong Township	5	0.2%
18	Beidou Township	10	0.3%
19	Tianwei Township	5	0.2%
20	Bitou Township	5	0.2%
21	Sijhou Township	5	0.2%
22	Jhutang Township	2	0.1%
23	Erlin Township	10	0.3%
24	Dacheng Township	0	
25	Fangyuan Township	4	0.1%
26	Ershuei Township	0	
27	Others	0	
97	DK	0	
98	Refused	3	0.1%
99	Missing or Skip	2789	94.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# vz10: z10 What district is it in Nantou County?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2967 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Nantou City	24	0.8%
2	Puli Township	14	0.5%
3	Caotun Township	16	0.5%
4	Jhushan Township	6	0.2%
5	Jiji Township	3	0.1%
6	Mingjian Township	3	0.1%
7	Lugu Township	1	0.0%
8	Zhongliao Township	3	0.1%
9	Yuchih Township	2	0.1%
10	Guosing Township	0	
11	Shueili Township	3	0.1%
12	Sinyi Township	0	
13	Renai Township	1	0.0%

**# vz10: z10 What district is it in Nantou County?**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
14	Others	0	
97	DK	0	
98	Refused	1	0.0%
99	Missing or Skip	2890	97.4%

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# vz11: z11 What district is it in Yunlin County?**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2967 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Douliou City	29	1.0%
2	Douan Township	12	0.4%
3	Huwei Township	14	0.5%
4	Siluo Township	5	0.2%
5	Tuku Township	4	0.1%
6	Beigang Township	9	0.3%
7	Gukeng Township	1	0.0%
8	Dabi Township	1	0.0%
9	Cihtong Township	4	0.1%
10	Linnei Township	0	
11	Erlun Township	6	0.2%
12	Lunbei Township	2	0.1%
13	Mailiao Township	3	0.1%
14	Dongshih Township	2	0.1%
15	Baojhong Township	2	0.1%
16	Taisi Township	2	0.1%
17	Yuanchang Township	0	
18	Sihhu Township	3	0.1%
19	Kouhu Township	2	0.1%
20	Shueilin Township	2	0.1%
21	Others	0	
97	DK	0	
98	Refused	1	0.0%
99	Missing or Skip	2863	96.5%

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# vz12: z12 What district is it in Chiayi County?**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2967 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Fanlu Township	1	0.0%
2	Meishan Township	3	0.1%
3	Jhuci Township	3	0.1%
4	Alishan Township	1	0.0%
5	Jhongpu Township	13	0.4%
6	Dapu Township	0	

### # vz12: z12 What district is it in Chiayi County?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	Shueishang Township	9	0.3%
8	Lucao Township	2	0.1%
9	Taibao City	7	0.2%
10	Puzih City	10	0.3%
11	Dongshih Township	2	0.1%
12	Lioujiao Township	1	0.0%
13	Singang Township	4	0.1%
14	Minsyong Township	12	0.4%
15	Dalin Township	4	0.1%
16	Sikou Township	1	0.0%
17	Yijhu Township	1	0.0%
18	Budai Township	2	0.1%
19	Others	0	
97	DK	0	
98	Refused	1	0.0%
99	Missing or Skip	2890	97.4%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # vz13: z13 What district is it in Chiayi City?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	East District	20	0.7%
2	West District	20	0.7%
3	Others	0	
97	DK	0	
98	Refused	1	0.0%
99	Missing or Skip	2926	98.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # vz14: z14 What district is it in Tainan City?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Sinhua District	8	0.3%
2	Yongkang District	32	1.1%
3	Gueiren District	8	0.3%
4	Zuojhen District	0	
5	Yujing District	1	0.0%
6	Nansi District	0	
7	Nanhua District	0	
8	Rende District	20	0.7%
9	Guanmiao District	3	0.1%
10	Longei District	0	
11	Guantian District	4	0.1%
12	Madou District	6	0.2%

### # vz14: z14 What district is it in Tainan City?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
13	Jiali District	7	0.2%
14	Sigang District	3	0.1%
15	Cigu District	0	
16	Jiangjyun District	0	
17	Syuejia District	2	0.1%
18	Beimen District	2	0.1%
19	Sinying District	13	0.4%
20	Houbi District	4	0.1%
21	Baihe District	1	0.0%
22	Dongshan District	1	0.0%
23	Lioujia District	4	0.1%
24	Siaying District	2	0.1%
25	Liouying District	1	0.0%
26	Yanshuei District	3	0.1%
27	Shanhua District	5	0.2%
28	Danei District	1	0.0%
29	Shanshang District	0	
30	Sinshih District	6	0.2%
31	Anding District	7	0.2%
32	Central andf West District	4	0.1%
33	East District	27	0.9%
34	North District	22	0.7%
35	South District	16	0.5%
36	Anping District	9	0.3%
37	Annan District	30	1.0%
38	Others	0	
97	DK	1	0.0%
98	Refused	3	0.1%
99	Missing or Skip	2711	91.4%

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

### # vz15: z15 What district is it in Kaohsiung City?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2967 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Jiasian District	0	
2	Renwu District	10	0.3%
3	Dashe District	4	0.1%
4	Gangshan District	9	0.3%
5	Lujhu District	5	0.2%
6	Alian District	4	0.1%
7	Tianliao District	0	
8	Yanchao District	3	0.1%
9	Ciaotou District	7	0.2%
10	Zihguan District	4	0.1%

### # vz15: z15 What district is it in Kaohsiung City?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
11	Mituo District	0	
12	Yongan District	2	0.1%
13	Hunei District	4	0.1%
14	Fongshan District	34	1.1%
15	Daliao District	12	0.4%
16	Linyuan District	6	0.2%
17	Niaosong District	4	0.1%
18	Dashu District	4	0.1%
19	Cishan District	1	0.0%
20	Meinong District	6	0.2%
21	Liouguei District	0	
22	Neimen District	0	
23	Shanlin District	0	
24	Taoyuan District	1	0.0%
25	Namasia District	0	
26	Maolin District	0	
27	Jiading District	2	0.1%
28	Sinsing District	5	0.2%
29	Cianjin District	1	0.0%
30	Lingya District	22	0.7%
31	Yancheng District	3	0.1%
32	Gushan District	25	0.8%
33	Cijin District	2	0.1%
34	Cianjhen District	27	0.9%
35	Sanmin District	45	1.5%
36	Nanzih District	19	0.6%
37	Siaogang District	16	0.5%
38	Zuoying District	23	0.8%
39	Others	0	
97	DK	0	
98	Refused	3	0.1%
99	Missing or Skip	2654	89.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # vz16: z16 What district is it in Pingtung County?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]		
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2967 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Donggang Township	8	0.3%
2	Pingtung City	39	1.3%
3	Sandimen Township	0	
4	Wutai Township	0	
5	Majia Township	0	
6	Jiouru Township	3	0.1%
7	Ligang Township	1	0.0%

**# vz16: z16 What district is it in Pingtung County?**

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	Gaoshu Township	3	0.1%
9	Yanpu Township	3	0.1%
10	Changjih Township	5	0.2%
11	Linluo Township	1	0.0%
12	Jhutian Township	4	0.1%
13	Neipu Township	8	0.3%
14	Wandan Township	3	0.1%
15	Chaozhou Township	10	0.3%
16	Taiwu Township	0	
17	Laiyi Township	1	0.0%
18	Wanluan Township	1	0.0%
19	Kanding Township	1	0.0%
20	Sinbi Township	1	0.0%
21	Nanjhou Township	1	0.0%
22	Linbian Township	1	0.0%
23	Liouciou Township	0	
24	Jiadong Township	3	0.1%
25	Sinyuan Township	5	0.2%
26	Fangliao Township	3	0.1%
27	Fangshan Township	0	
28	Chunrih Township	0	
29	Shihzih Township	0	
30	Checheng Township	3	0.1%
31	Mudan Township	0	
32	Hengchun Township	6	0.2%
33	Manjhou Township	0	
34	Others	0	
97	DK	0	
98	Refused	0	
99	Missing or Skip	2853	96.2%

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# vz17: z17 What district is it in Yilan County?**

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2967 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Datong Township	2	0.1%
2	Yilan City	18	0.6%
3	Toucheng Township	4	0.1%
4	Jiaosi Township	5	0.2%
5	Jhuangwei Township	2	0.1%
6	Yuanshan Township	7	0.2%
7	Luodong Township	6	0.2%
8	Sansing Township	2	0.1%
9	Wujie Township	9	0.3%



### # vz17: z17 What district is it in Yilan County?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	Dongshan Township	9	0.3%
11	Suao Township	6	0.2%
12	Nanao Township	0	
13	Others	0	
97	DK	0	
98	Refused	0	
99	Missing or Skip	2897	97.6%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # vz18: z18 What district is it in Hualien County?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2967 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Hualien City	10	0.3%
2	Sincheng Township	2	0.1%
3	Sioulin Township	2	0.1%
4	Jian Township	13	0.4%
5	Shoufong Township	2	0.1%
6	Fonglin Township	1	0.0%
7	Guangfu Township	0	
8	Fongbin Township	0	
9	Rueisuei Township	1	0.0%
10	Wanrong Township	0	
11	Yuli Township	0	
12	Jhuosi Township	0	
13	Fuli Township	1	0.0%
14	Others	0	
97	DK	0	
98	Refused	0	
99	Missing or Skip	2935	98.9%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # vz19: z19 What district is it in Taitung County?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2967 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Chenggong Township	1	0.0%
2	Taitung City	18	0.6%
3	Lyudao Township	0	
4	Lanyu Township	0	
5	Yanping Township	0	
6	Beinan Township	1	0.0%
7	Luye Township	0	
8	Guanshan Township	3	0.1%
9	Haiduan Township	0	
10	Chihshang Township	0	

### # vz19: z19 What district is it in Taitung County?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
11	Donghe Township	2	0.1%
12	Changbin Township	0	
13	Taimali Township	1	0.0%
14	Jinfong Township	0	
15	Dawu Township	0	
16	Daren Township	0	
17	Others	0	
97	DK	0	
98	Refused	0	
99	Missing or Skip	2941	99.1%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # vz20: z20 What district is it in Penghu County?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2967 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Wangan Township	0	
2	Magong City	3	0.1%
3	Siyu Township	1	0.0%
4	Cimei Township	0	
5	Baisha Township	1	0.0%
6	Husi Township	3	0.1%
7	Others	0	
97	DK	0	
98	Refused	0	
99	Missing or Skip	2959	99.7%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # vz21: z21 What district is it in Kinmen County?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2967 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Jinsha Township	0	
2	Jinhu Township	3	0.1%
3	Jinning Township	4	0.1%
4	Jincheng Township	6	0.2%
5	Lieyu Township	1	0.0%
6	Wuciou Township	0	
7	Others	0	
97	DK	0	
98	Refused	0	
99	Missing or Skip	2953	99.5%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # vz22: z22 What district is it in Lienchiang County?

<b>Information</b>	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]
--------------------	---

### # vz22: z22 What district is it in Lienchiang County?

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2967 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Nangan Township	0	
2	Beigan Township	0	
3	Jyuguang Township	0	
4	Dongyin Township	0	
5	Others	0	
97	DK	0	
98	Refused	0	
99	Missing or Skip	2967	100.0%

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # vh1: H1 Is your current residential address your registered residential address?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=\*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2967 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2255	76.0%
2	No	686	23.1%
97	DK	6	0.2%
98	Refused	20	0.7%
99	Missing or Skip	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

### # vi1: I1 What is your average monthly income, considering all sources of income?

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=\*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=2967 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	None	138	4.7%
2	NT\$9,999 or less	371	12.5%
3	NT\$10,000 - NT\$19,999	353	11.9%
4	NT\$20,000 - NT\$29,999	533	18.0%
5	NT\$30,000 - NT\$39,999	465	15.7%
6	NT\$40,000 - NT\$49,999	294	9.9%
7	NT\$50,000 - NT\$59,999	220	7.4%
8	NT\$60,000 - NT\$69,999	127	4.3%
9	NT\$70,000 - NT\$79,999	84	2.8%
10	NT\$80,000 - NT\$89,999	56	1.9%
11	NT\$90,000 - NT\$99,999	35	1.2%
12	NT\$100,000 - NT\$149,999	77	2.6%
13	NT\$150,000 - NT\$199,999	28	0.9%
14	NT\$200,000 - NT\$299,999	20	0.7%
15	NT\$300,000 or more	17	0.6%
97	DK	29	1.0%
98	Refused	120	4.0%
99	Missing or Skip	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

**# ve1: E1 Would you like to help us with our future web-based survey? We're sure to keep your personal information private and safe.**

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-9] [Missing=\*]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=2967 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	820	27.6%
2	No	1064	35.9%
3	I don't have any email addresses.	412	13.9%
4	I don't know how to surf the internet.	636	21.4%
7	DK	4	0.1%
8	Refused	31	1.0%
9	Missing or Skip	0	

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# REC\_NO: Sample Code**

**Information** [Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 25-34989] [Missing=\*]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=2967 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=16963.996 /-] [StdDev=9904.302 /-]

**# sexgp: Population by genders**

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=\*]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=2967 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Male	1364	46.0%
2	Female	1603	54.0%

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# agegp: Population by ages**

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=\*]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=2967 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	15-24 years old	285	9.6%
2	25-34 years old	336	11.3%
3	35-44 years old	647	21.8%
4	45-54 years old	726	24.5%
5	55-64 years old	575	19.4%
6	65 years old and over	397	13.4%
7	DK	0	
8	Refused	0	
98		1	0.0%

*Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.*

**# edugp: Population by educational attainment**

**Information** [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-98] [Missing=\*]

**Statistics [NW/ W]** [Valid=2967 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Junior high & below	596	20.1%
2	Senior high & vocational	937	31.6%
3	Junior college & above	1433	48.3%

<b># edugp: Population by educational attainment</b>			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	DK	0	
8	Refused	0	
98		1	0.0%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># stratungp: Population by city</b>			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-99] [Missing=*]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=2967 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Taipei City	220	7.4%
2	New Taipei City	602	20.3%
3	Keelung City	43	1.4%
4	Taoyuan County	301	10.1%
5	Hsinchu County	66	2.2%
6	Hsinchu City	43	1.4%
7	Miaoli County	61	2.1%
8	Taichung City	315	10.6%
9	Changhua County	178	6.0%
10	Nantou County	77	2.6%
11	Yunlin County	104	3.5%
12	Chiayi County	77	2.6%
13	Chiayi City	41	1.4%
14	Tainan City	256	8.6%
15	Kaohsiung City	313	10.5%
16	Pingtung County	114	3.8%
17	Yilan County	70	2.4%
18	Hualien County	32	1.1%
19	Taitung County	26	0.9%
20	Penghu County	8	0.3%
21	Kinmen and Matsu area	14	0.5%
97	DK	1	0.0%
98	Refused	5	0.2%
99	Missing or Skip	0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
<b># area: Population by area</b>			
<b>Information</b>		[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-8] [Missing=*]	
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>		[Valid=2967 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Northern Area	1345	45.3%
2	Central area	735	24.8%
3	Southern area	809	27.3%
4	Eastern area and Kinmen & Machu	72	2.4%
7	DK	0	
8	Refused	6	0.2%
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# weight: Weight value	
<b>Information</b>	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0.356928505-5.621578064] [Missing=*]
<b>Statistics [NW/ W]</b>	[Valid=2967 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1 /-] [StdDev=0.486 /-]